Continental moisture recycling as a Poisson process
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Abstract

On their journey across large land masses, water molecules experience a number of precipitation-evaporation cycles (recycling events). We derive analytically the frequency distributions of recycling events for the water molecules contained in a given air parcel. Given the validity of certain simplifying assumptions, continental moisture recycling is shown to develop either into a Poisson distribution or a geometric distribution.

We distinguish two cases: in case (A) recycling events are counted since the water molecules were last advected across the ocean-land boundary. In case (B) recycling events are counted since the water molecules were last evaporated from the ocean. For case B we show by means of a simple scale analysis that, given the conditions on Earth, realistic frequency distributions may be regarded as a mixture of a Poisson distribution and a geometric distribution. By contrast, in case A the Poisson distribution generally appears as a reasonable approximation. This conclusion is consistent with the simulation results of an earlier study where an atmospheric general circulation model equipped with water vapor tracers was used. Our results demonstrate that continental moisture recycling can be interpreted as a Poisson process.

1 Introduction

Since the pioneering studies on the isotopic composition of precipitation and moisture recycling in the Amazon basin in the late 70’s (Salati et al., 1979; Lettau et al., 1979), it has become conventional wisdom that tropical forests maintain a substantial fraction of their precipitation by their own evaporation. That continental evaporation contributes a large fraction to continental precipitation has been confirmed by a large number of studies since (e.g. Brubaker et al., 1993; Eltahir and Bras, 1994; Numaguti, 1999; van der Ent et al., 2010; Goessling and Reick, 2012). Moreover, it has been shown by means of climate model simulations that reduced continental evaporation rates, for
example due to deforestation, result in less continental precipitation (e.g. Shukla and Mintz, 1982; Shukla et al., 1990; Werth and Avissar, 2002; Goessling and Reick, 2011). Recently, Spracklen et al. (2012) found evidence also from observations that moisture recycling is an important factor for the generation of continental rainfall.

So far, most studies dealing with continental moisture recycling focussed on determining the evaporative source regions of precipitation, for example the fraction of continental moisture in precipitation, using either atmospheric general circulation models equipped with passive water vapour tracers (Numaguti, 1999; Bosilovich et al., 2002; Goessling and Reick, 2012), or using reanalysis data together with diagnostic moisture tracing algorithms (Yoshimura et al., 2004; van der Ent et al., 2010). Numaguti (1999) (in the following N99) distinguished moisture not only by source region (continental versus oceanic), but distinguished the continental fraction further according to the number of recycling events the water molecules have experienced since they last evaporated from the ocean, where a recycling event comprises precipitation on and subsequent reevaporation from land. N99 noticed in one case that “from the first through the fourth generation the precipitation amount of the child generation is about 0.6 times that of the parent generation”. However, N99 did not attempt to explain what determines the shapes of the simulated frequency distributions.

In this paper we provide such an explanation. We show analytically that, given the validity of certain simplifying assumptions, the frequency distribution of continental recycling events for the water molecules contained in a given air parcel attains either a Poisson distribution or a geometric distribution, depending on the assumptions.

2 Theory

The goal of the following derivations is to find simple analytical expressions for the frequency distribution of $n$, where $n$ is the number of continental recycling events the water molecules contained in an air parcel have experienced either (A) since they were last advected across an ocean-land boundary, or (B) since they last evaporated from
the ocean. To achieve this goal, we first consider how in general a moisture species \( i \) is transported in the atmosphere and how it is exchanged between the atmosphere and the surface (including the subsurface).

### 2.1 Exact transport equations

For convenience, in the following derivations we consider only one of the two horizontal dimensions, the second one being analogous. The partial differential equation that governs the temporal evolution of the specific concentration \( q_i \) \([\text{kgkg}^{-1}]\) of moisture species \( i \) reads

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho q_i)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho q_i u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho q_i w)}{\partial z} = S_i \tag{1}
\]

where \( \rho \) is air density \([\text{kgm}^{-3}]\), \( t \) is time \([\text{s}]\), \( u \) \([\text{m} \text{s}^{-1}]\) is wind speed in the horizontal dimension \( x \) \([\text{m}]\), \( w \) \([\text{m} \text{s}^{-1}]\) is wind speed in the vertical dimension \( z \) \([\text{m}]\), and \( S_i \) \([\text{kgm}^{-3} \text{s}]\) is a non-advective source/sink term.

Following Goessling and Reick (2012), the vertical integral of Eq. (1) can be written in terms of the effective speed \( u_i^{\text{eff}} \) \([\text{m} \text{s}^{-1}]\) at which \( q_i \) is horizontally transported. Using the notation \( \hat{\chi} = \int_0^\infty \rho \chi \, dz \) (where \( \chi \) can be any variable), with \( u_i^{\text{eff}} = \hat{q}_i \frac{u}{\hat{q}_i} \) vertical integration gives

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{q}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \left( \hat{q}_i u_i^{\text{eff}} \right)}{\partial x} = E_i - P_i \tag{2}
\]

where we substituted the vertical integral of \( S_i \) by the difference between surface evaporation \( E_i \) \([\text{kgm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]\) and precipitation (including dew formation) \( P_i \) \([\text{kgm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]\). We can rewrite Eq. (2) such that it resembles a Lagrangian formulation:

\[
\frac{d\hat{q}_i}{dt} = E_i - P_i - \hat{q}_i \frac{\partial u_i^{\text{eff}}}{\partial x} \tag{3}
\]

with \( d\hat{q}_i/dt = \partial \hat{q}_i/\partial t + u_i^{\text{eff}} (\partial \hat{q}_i/\partial x) \).
2.2 The “well-mixed” assumption

While Eqs. (2) and (3) are still exact, we now make the first approximation by assuming vertically well-mixed conditions, i.e. we assume that

\[ f_i(t, x, z_1) = f_i(t, x, z_2) \quad \text{for all} \quad t, x, z_1, z_2 \]  

where \( f_i = q_i / q \) (and also, under well-mixed conditions, \( f_i = \hat{q}_i / \hat{q} \)) and \( q = \sum_i q_i \) is total specific moisture. This implies two simplifications, namely that (I) the species-dependent effective transport speed becomes \( u_{\text{eff}}^i = u^\text{eff} = \hat{q} \hat{u} / \hat{q} \) for all moisture species, and that (II) the precipitation term becomes \( P_i = f_i P \) where \( P = \sum_i P_i \) is total precipitation (plus dew formation). For a comprehensive analysis of the errors that are introduced when well-mixed conditions are assumed in realistic situations, we refer the reader to Goessling and Reick (2012).

Since Eq. (3) likewise holds for \( q \) (omitting all indices \( i \)), we can use Eq. (3) to derive the Lagrangian time derivative of \( f_i = \hat{q}_i / \hat{q} \). Under well-mixed conditions we obtain the very simple expression

\[
\frac{df_i}{dt} = \left( E_i - f_i P - \hat{q}_i \frac{\partial u_{\text{eff}}}{\partial x} \right) \hat{q} - \left( E - \hat{q} \frac{\partial u_{\text{eff}}}{\partial x} \right) \hat{q}_i \hat{q}^{-1} \left( \tilde{f}_i - f_i \right) E
\]

where \( E \) is total surface evaporation and \( \tilde{f}_i = E_i / E \). Note that \( P \) has dropped out here.

2.3 Species definition and the “steady-state” assumption

In the above derivations we have not specified how the moisture species \( i \) are defined, but required only that the only sources and sinks of the moisture species are those associated with surface evaporation and precipitation (including dew formation). One
possible way to define different moisture species is to distinguish them according to their non-overlapping evaporative source region. In this case \( \tilde{f}_i \) would be either 1 or 0, depending on whether or not the considered air mass is located above the source region corresponding to species \( i \). Instead, in the following we consider the case where moisture species are defined according to the number \( n \) of recycling events the water molecules have experienced on their journey across the land masses.

As adumbrated at the beginning of this section, there are two natural alternatives how one can define \( n \):

- case A: \( n \) is the number of recycling events a water molecule has experienced since it was last advected across an ocean-land boundary.

- case B: \( n \) is the number of recycling events a water molecule has experienced since it last evaporated from the ocean.

These two cases can roughly be characterised as intra-continental (A) and inter- or pan-continental (B) moisture recycling because in case B the recycling events can take place on different continents while this is forbidden in case A.

In either case \( \tilde{f}_n \) over land depends on the composition of the surface reservoir from which the water evaporates. The composition of the surface reservoir is determined by the composition of antecedent precipitation events and the intermediate redistribution within the reservoir. However, it is possible to circumvent an explicit treatment of the surface reservoir by assuming that over land

\[
\tilde{f}_n = f_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\
\tilde{f}_0 = 0.
\]  

While Eq. (6b) is by definition of \( n \) generally valid over land, Eq. (6a) constitutes an approximation that becomes exact in two limit cases: the first one is given when evaporation is fed by water that precipitated immediately before reevaporation. In reality, evaporation from intercepted water may often be close to this situation. The second
limit case is given when the system is in steady state, meaning that the atmospheric composition with respect to the moisture fractions \( f_n \) is temporally constant. When this is the case, the surface reservoir attains the same composition as the atmosphere above and, hence, the approximation defined by Eq. (6a) becomes exact. In reality, conditions that are not too far from such a steady-state situation may be given in tropical regions with weak variability of the meteorological conditions both at the daily and the seasonal time scale. In the following we denote the simplification given by Eq. (6a) the “steady-state” assumption, keeping in mind that it is exact not only in steady state but also if evaporation is fed by water that precipitated immediately before.

2.4 The Poisson distribution as solution over land

With our specification of moisture species according to the number of continental recycling events \((n)\) and with the “steady-state” assumption, which beside the “well-mixed” assumption constitutes the second approximation we invoke, Eq. (5) becomes over land

\[
\frac{df_n}{dt} = (f_{n-1} - f_n) \frac{E^c}{q}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\]

(7a)

\[
\frac{df_0}{dt} = -f_0 \frac{E^c}{q}
\]

(7b)

where \( E^c \) is total continental evaporation. This system of ordinary differential equations forms the master equation of a Poisson process, a special type of a continuous time Markov process (e.g. van Kampen, 2010, p. 136). It has the following solution:

\[
f_n = \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0
\]

(8)
where $\lambda$ grows with time $t$:

$$
\lambda(t) = \lambda(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{E^c(t')}{\hat{q}(t')} \, dt'. \tag{9}
$$

Equation (8) is a Poisson distribution, characterised by the only parameter $\lambda$ which also is the mean value and the variance of the distribution. For Eq. (8) to be the actual solution of Eq. (7), it is necessary and sufficient that the initial state of the frequency distribution is a Poisson distribution, i.e. $f_n(t_0)$ must follow Eq. (8) with an arbitrary initial value $\lambda(t_0)$. If this condition is fulfilled, continental moisture recycling can be interpreted as an inhomogeneous Poisson process with the intensity $E^c/\hat{q}$, where inhomogeneous means that the intensity of the Poisson process varies as $E^c$ and $\hat{q}$ vary with time (for a general discussion of Poisson processes see e.g. Ross, 1983; van Kampen, 2010).

Whether $f_n(t_0)$ is Poisson distributed depends on which of the cases A and B is considered. In case A, $f_n(t_0)$ is indeed Poisson distributed with $t_0$ being the moment of advection across the ocean-land boundary and $\lambda(t_0)$ being equal to zero. This simply means that at $t = t_0$ all water molecules have experienced zero recycling events ($f_0(t_0) = 1$, $f_n(t_0) = 0 \forall n > 0$, which is a special case of Eq. 8). After $t = t_0$, $f_n$ develops as a Poisson distribution with $\lambda$ growing according to Eq. (9) as long as the parcel's trajectory stays over land. Since Eq. (7) only holds over land, the solution loses validity when the parcel eventually leaves the continent. When the parcel is again advected across an ocean-land boundary, the solution regains validity starting with $\lambda = 0$, and so forth.

### 2.5 Extension to the ocean (case B) and the high intensity limit

In contrast to case A, in case B there is no moment in time at which the frequency distribution of $n$ is set to an initial Poisson distribution; a parcel crossing the ocean-land boundary contains water molecules with $n > 0$. This reflects the fact that some
molecules have not been newly evaporated from the ocean but experienced recycling events earlier and then crossed the ocean without being lost from the atmosphere as precipitation. The prerequisites that are necessary for the specific solution given by Eq. (8) to be the actual solution to Eq. (7) are thus not given anymore.

While Eqs. (7a, b) hold only for continental evaporation (given the “steady-state” assumption), which is sufficient for case A, for case B it is necessary to extend the equations so that they can be applied also over the ocean:

\[ \tilde{f}_n = \begin{cases} f_{n-1} & \text{over land} \\ 0 & \text{over the ocean} \end{cases}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \]  
\[ \tilde{f}_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{over land} \\ 1 & \text{over the ocean}. \end{cases} \]  

Entering this into Eq. (5) gives as extension of Eqs. (7a, b) to the ocean

\[ \frac{df_n}{dt} = (f_{n-1} - f_n) \frac{E^c}{\hat{q}} - f_n \frac{E^o}{\hat{q}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \]  
\[ \frac{df_0}{dt} = -f_0 \frac{E^c}{\hat{q}} + (1 - f_0) \frac{E^o}{\hat{q}} \]

where \( E^o \) is total oceanic evaporation. Like Eqs. (7a, b), Eqs. (11a, b) constitute the master equation of a continuous time Markov process, but Eqs. (11a, b) describe a Poisson process only as long as the considered parcel is over land where \( E^o = 0 \).

Still assuming vertically well-mixed and steady-state conditions, we now show that there are two limit cases for which also in case B the distribution of \( f_n \) can be obtained analytically. To this end we consider the integrated intensity \( \lambda^* \), defined as

\[ \lambda^* = \int_{t_0-T}^{t_0} (E/\hat{q}) \, dt \]
where $T$ is the typical time it takes atmospheric air to cross either an ocean ($T^o$) or a continent ($T^c$). $\lambda^*$ relates the amount of evaporation, integrated along a trajectory from one coast to the next across an ocean or a continent, to the vertically integrated atmospheric moisture content, and thereby measures to what extent atmospheric moisture is replaced by newly evaporated moisture while the air is transported from one coast to the next.

The first limit case is the high intensity limit $\lambda^* \gg 1$. In this case, during an ocean crossing (almost) all water molecules originally contained in the air are replaced by newly evaporated water from the ocean for which by definition $n = 0$ (note that, because the magnitude of $\hat{q}$ typically does not change, in this limit case it also holds that precipitation and evaporation are of the same order). Accordingly, $f_0 \approx 1$ and $f_{n>0} \approx 0$ at the ocean-land boundary so that in the high intensity limit case B becomes equivalent to case A. This implies that the distribution of $f_n$ evolves as a Poisson distribution with growing mean value (Eqs. 8 and 9) until, finally, the considered air parcel leaves the continent.

2.6 The geometric distribution as stationary solution in the low intensity limit

We now consider the low intensity limit which is given if $\lambda^* \ll 1$. In this limit a single crossing of an ocean or a continent does not much affect the distribution of $f_n$, and the latter becomes largely determined at time scales larger than $T$. In fact, it is now possible to treat oceanic and continental evaporation as if they occurred simultaneously. In mathematical terms this is consistent with the limit $T \rightarrow 0$, which is one way how to arrive at the low intensity limit. Treating oceanic and continental evaporation simultaneously requires to weight $E^c$ and $E^o$ in Eqs. (11a, b) according to $T^c$ and $T^o$. Thus replacing $E^c$ by $\bar{E}^c = (T^c/(T^c + T^o))E^c$ and $E^o$ by $\bar{E}^o = (T^o/(T^c + T^o))E^o$, and with $\bar{E} = \bar{E}^c + \bar{E}^o$.
and $r^c = \bar{E}^c / \bar{E}$, Eqs. (11a, b) become

$$\frac{df_n}{dt} = (r^c f_{n-1} - f_n) \frac{\bar{E}}{\bar{q}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$  
$$\frac{df_0}{dt} = (1 - r^c - f_0) \frac{\bar{E}}{\bar{q}}.$$  

(13a)  
(13b)

While Eqs. (11a, b) still contain the Poissonian nature of continental moisture recycling because the continental and oceanic parts of the parcel trajectories are separable, Eqs. (13a, b) do not form the master equation of a Poisson process but of a more general continuous time Markov process.

Given $\bar{E}/\bar{q} > 0$, the only steady state solution of Eqs. (13a, b) reads

$$f_n = (r^c)^n (1 - r^c), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$  

(14)

and can easily shown to be stable. Equation (14) is a geometric distribution with mean value $r^c/(1 - r^c)$.

The steady-state solution given by Eq. (14) (not to be confused with the “steady-state” assumption formulated in Sect. 2.3), i.e. the geometric distribution, is attained and permanently maintained if $r^c$ is globally constant. This condition is met if the low intensity limit (Eq. 12) is formulated even more stringent as $\lambda^{*g} = \int_{t_0}^{t_0 - T^g} (E/\bar{q}) \, dt \ll 1$, where $T^g$ is the typical time it takes air to travel global distances. In this case, the distribution of $f_n$ is a globally uniform geometric distribution with mean value $r^{c,g}$, where the latter is global land evaporation divided by total global evaporation.

3 Qualitative assessment of the involved assumptions

3.1 The intensity limits

While the intensity limits are irrelevant for case A, for case B we have shown the following: (I) in the high intensity limit the frequency distribution of $n$ over land is a Poisson
distribution with a mean value that grows as continental moisture evaporates into the
considered air parcel (case B behaves like case A for the continental parts of the tra-
jectories). (II) In the low intensity limit the frequency distribution of \( n \) is a stationary
geometric distribution. Note that both of these solutions also require that the “well-
mixed” assumption and the “steady state” assumption are valid. We now investigate to
what extent the two intensity limits apply to real conditions on Earth by means of simple
scale analysis.

Neglecting dry continental regions and ice-covered surfaces, typical values of \( E \)
range between \( \sim 1 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in the high latitudes and \( \sim 5 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in the tropics.
Because typical values of \( \hat{q} \) range between \( \sim 10 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \) in the high latitudes and
\( \sim 50 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \) in the tropics, the intensity itself can be considered to be spatially more
or less uniform at \( E/\hat{q} \approx 0.1/\text{d} \). It is thus rather \( T \) which largely determines the order of
\( \lambda^* \) (Eq. 12).

We now estimate \( T \) examplarily for air crossing the North Atlantic and for air crossing
the tropical Pacific. For the easterlies above the tropical Pacific with \( u_{\text{eff}} \approx 5 \text{ m s}^{-1} \approx
500 \text{ km d}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta x \approx 15000 \text{ km} \) one arrives at \( \lambda^* \approx 3 \). For the westerlies above the
North Atlantic with \( u_{\text{eff}} \approx 10 \text{ m s}^{-1} \approx 1000 \text{ km d}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta x \approx 5000 \text{ km} \) one arrives at
\( \lambda^* \approx 0.5 \). This suggests that the situation on Earth is somewhere between the two in-
tensity limits.

The results of this simple scale analysis are consistent with studies where continen-
tal precipitation recycling ratios were quantified by means of numerical moisture trac-
ing. Considering the situation along a latitude within the northern summer extratropical
westerlies, the fraction of continental moisture in precipitation significantly increases
from west to east over the continents and decreases from west to east over the ocean
basins (e.g. Fig. 8 in Goessling and Reick, 2012). The fact that the recycling ratio does
not stay constant along the westerlies implies that the low intensity limit is not valid.
On the other hand, the high intensity limit is likewise invalid because the recycling ratio
does by far not drop to zero over the ocean. The situation is slightly different in the
tropics, in particular south of the equator. Air masses arriving at the eastern coasts of
the continents located there – South America, Africa, and Australia – are characterised by recycling ratios that are very low compared to the maxima located close to western coasts. This suggests that here the high intensity limit is a reasonable first-order approximation.

The fact that conditions on Earth are somewhere between the two intensity limits suggests that real frequency distributions of $n$ can be interpreted as mixtures of Poisson distributions and geometric distributions if $n$ is defined according to case B. Moreover, depending on location and season, real distributions may be closer to either of the two analytical solutions.

### 3.2 The “well-mixed” assumption

In both cases A and B our analytical solution for the frequency distribution of recycling events is based on the “well-mixed” assumption (Eq. 4) as well as the “steady-state” assumption (Eq. 6a). In the following we discuss qualitatively how realistic these assumptions are, focussing on case A and the Poisson distribution. The “well-mixed” assumption is discussed in this section and the “steady-state” assumption in the next section.

The applicability of the “well-mixed” assumption was investigated in depth by Goessling and Reick (2012) in the context of 2-D moisture tracing because the latter is based on the “well-mixed” assumption. It was found that well-mixed conditions are seldom present in the atmosphere. In particular in the tropics, where horizontal winds often blow in different directions at different heights (directional vertical shear), the 2-D approximation often leads to substantial errors. By contrast, in the extratropics errors associated with 2-D moisture tracing arise mostly from the neglect of fast-recycling and are rather moderate.

This suggests that in tropical regions with strong directional vertical shear of the winds, for example in western Africa during northern summer, real frequency distributions of $n$ may significantly deviate from Poisson distributions. One can imagine that vertically sheared winds act to mix air masses of different origin horizontally. Even
if one assumes that those different air masses exhibit Poisson-distributed $f_n$'s before horizontal mixing takes place, the horizontal mixing results in non-Poisson-distributed $f_n$'s because linear combinations of Poisson distributions (with different mean values) are not Poisson distributions. If air masses with strongly different mean values mix horizontally, the resulting frequency distributions can even be multimodal. However, even in such cases it may be admissible to interpret the resulting frequency distributions as linear combinations of Poisson distributions, corroborating that the process by which these distributions are generated is still a Poisson process.

In the extratropics horizontal mixing of air masses is weaker and, thus, horizontal advection is captured relatively well by the 2-D approximation. This is true despite the fact that vertically well-mixed conditions are typically not given (Goessling and Reick, 2012). We thus expect that deviations from well-mixed conditions in the extratropics do not strongly distort the resulting frequency distributions of $n$. However, it still depends on the second simplification – the “steady-state” assumption – whether real frequency distributions of $n$ indeed resemble Poisson distributions.

### 3.3 The “steady-state” assumption

First of all, it is important to recall that what we term the “steady-state” assumption, given by Eq. (6a), is valid not only if the atmospheric composition with respect to the moisture fractions $f_n$ is temporally constant (i.e. in steady-state), but also if evaporation is fed by precipitation that occurred immediately before.

In reality, the latter condition (fast evaporation) is approximately fulfilled in situations where evaporation is drawn from the surface skin reservoir, i.e. water that has been intercepted by leaves or retained by the uppermost millimeters of the soil. Skin evaporation rates can be substantial, in particular in warm climates with weak seasonality, and in some cases contributes up to 50% to the total annual evaporation (Savenije, 2004). By contrast, if water from the deep soil is transpired by plants, the water can be several months (or more) old. Particularly large amounts of old water are transpired by deep-rooted forests that are located in tropical wet-dry or tropical monsoon climates.
during the dry season, but also by temperate or boreal forests during summer. In this case Eq. (6a) can be a poor approximation.

However, even if old water is evaporated Eq. (6a) can still be exact, namely if the precipitation that formed the old water had the same composition with respect to the moisture fractions $f_n$ as the current atmospheric moisture. The “steady-state” assumption is thus a reasonable approximation also for regions with weak seasonality of the frequency distribution of $f_n$, which may be the case for example in tropical rainforest climates.

In conclusion, these qualitative considerations suggest that (in case A) significant deviations from Poisson distributions occur globally, but for different reasons in the tropics and in the extratropics. For the tropics we expect that deviations arise mainly due to the invalidity of the “well-mixed” assumption in combination with directional vertical shear of the horizontal winds, whereas the “steady-state” assumption may often be considered acceptable. The opposite is the case for the extratropics where we expect that the invalidity of the “well-mixed” assumption plays a minor role while the “steady-state” assumption may be the main cause of deviations.

4 Comparison with Numaguti (1999)

N99 used an atmospheric general circulation model equipped with water vapor tracers to simulate explicitly frequency distributions of continental recycling events. However, in that study the shapes of the resulting distributions were not investigated in much detail. In the following we reexamine the relevant parts of the N99 results to see if our theory provides an explanation for the simulated frequency distributions.

Figure 1 shows the frequency distribution of $n$ from N99 for July precipitation in the eastern Tibet region. Instead of treating water evaporated from all ocean basins together, only water that originally evaporated either from the North Atlantic or from the North Indian Ocean is considered separately. Accordingly these simulation results cannot be used to investigate the adequacy of the intensity limits. Rather, by construction
of the simulation setup, in good approximation the data correspond to the high intensity limit, which is on the continents equivalent to case A (Sect. 2.5). It is thus not surprising that the simulated distributions are much closer to Poisson distributions than to geometric distributions (Fig. 1). The fitted Poisson distribution has a mean value $\lambda = 2.58$ for the moisture that has travelled the long distance from the North Atlantic, and a mean value $\lambda = 1.68$ for the moisture that has travelled the shorter way from the North Indian Ocean. The similarity of the Poisson distributions with the simulated data suggests that violations of the “well-mixed” assumption and the “steady-state” assumption are small.

For the precipitation stemming from the North Indian Ocean N99 noticed that “from the first through the fourth generation the precipitation amount of the child generation is about 0.6 times that of the parent generation”. While N99 made this statement independent of the considered month, we consider only the results for July. One may wonder how this exponential law for subsequent generations ($f_n = 0.6 \cdot f_{n-1}$) relates to our analytical solutions. And indeed one solution complies exactly with such a law, namely a geometric distribution with mean value 1.5, which is slightly less than the mean value 1.71 of the fitted geometric distribution (Fig. 1, bottom). In case of the fitted Poisson distribution with mean value 1.68, the corresponding factors are dependent on $n$ and amount to 0.84 (first to second generation), 0.56 (second to third generation), and 0.42 (third to forth generation), which considerably deviates from a constant factor of 0.6. However, we recalculated these factors from the N99 data for July and found that they are in fact closer to the ones “predicted” by the fitted Poisson distribution, namely 0.79 (first to second generation), 0.56 (second to third generation), and 0.47 (third to forth generation). This corroborates that the Poisson distribution is a much better approximation than the geometric distribution in the considered case.

We conclude that our theory indeed provides an explanation for the frequency distributions simulated by N99: the distributions arise from the Poissonian nature of continental moisture recycling, the process that generates them.
5 Summary and conclusion

We have shown that under certain conditions continental moisture recycling resembles a Poisson process. This implies that the number of continental recycling events \((n)\) of the water molecules contained in an atmospheric column over land is Poisson distributed. Only the “well-mixed” assumption and the “steady-state” assumption are required for this solution if atmospheric moisture is a priori considered to be purely oceanic when the air crosses the ocean-land boundary (case A). If continental moisture is “allowed” to cross the ocean (case B), Poisson distributions are attained only in the high-intensity limit, i.e. if all molecules of continental origin are replaced by evaporation from the ocean during an ocean crossing. If the opposite is true, i.e. if the air traverses continents and ocean basins much faster than it would take to replace the atmospheric moisture by surface evaporation, \(n\) attains a geometric distribution.

Simple scale analysis reveals that neither of the two intensity limits is adequate in the extratropics, suggesting that (in case B) real frequency distributions of \(n\) in the extratropics can be interpreted as mixtures of Poisson distributions and geometric distributions. In the tropics, however, the high intensity limit may be a reasonable first-order approximation, leading to Poisson distributions of \(n\) if the “well-mixed” assumption and the “steady-state” assumption are valid.

The comparison of our theoretical solutions with the simulation data of Numaguti (1999) corroborates our finding that continental moisture recycling can be interpreted as a Poisson process. However, the N99 results are not comprehensive enough to sufficiently assess the transferability of our theoretical solutions to real conditions on Earth. Instead, our results should be confronted with new, more specific simulations in the spirit of N99. It would then also be possible to check the adequacy of the involved assumptions one by one, and to investigate how the solutions depend on the climatic conditions, i.e. the geographical location and the season.
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Fig. 1. Frequency distributions of the number of continental recycling events (\(n\)) for July precipitation in the eastern Tibet region. Top: precipitation stemming from the North Atlantic. Bottom: precipitation stemming from the North Indian Ocean. Black: simulated frequencies for \(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\) and \(n \geq 6\), derived from Fig. 14 in Numaguti (1999). Blue: fitted Poisson distributions with mean values \(\lambda = 2.58\) (top) and \(\lambda = 1.68\) (bottom). Red: fitted geometric distributions with mean values \(r^c/(1 - r^c) = 2.66\) (top) and \(r^c/(1 - r^c) = 1.71\) (bottom).