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Anonymous Referee #2 Received and published: 2 April 2014

It is interesting to relate soil-air dynamics with soil-water dynamics, and potentially of
value because both concern the flow of fluids with known properties within porous media, but there are serious complexities that must be addressed and that are not adequately treated here, as per examples described below. Serious problems include: 1) Lack of testing with independent sites and media, and 2) Too few samples used in development of empirical relationship.

In soil, water is usually a wetting phase and air is a non-wetting phase. With lesser water content, there is higher air content, which means the air will predominantly occupy large pores and large spaces within those pores. Meanwhile, water occupies small pores and narrow crevices. This situation generally remains the case as the soil gets wetter, though with the air confined to shrinking spaces while water occupies increasingly large ones. At any given water content, the water and air occupy portions of the pore space with notably different characteristics. Therefore their individually measured transport properties, such as the hydraulic and pneumatic conductivity, will not have a simple systematic relation to each other.

The rate of diffusion of air pressure through the soil will be less in wetter soil. Likewise the rate of infiltration, if it is controlled by capillary gradients (which usually occurs at the beginning of infiltration into dry soil), can be less in wetter soil. There are many complexities here, for example when infiltration is dominated by gravity, the response to wetness is not the same. With that condition (which usually occurs during late stages of infiltration), water infiltration will be faster in wetter soil, while air pressure still diffuses more slowly in wetter soil. A relation between air diffusion rate and either water infiltration rate or water content depends on factors that vary from soil to soil, such as pore structure and pore-size distribution, and also on factors that vary with the ever-changing conditions, such as water content and the distribution of water within the mass of soil. Because of these various sensitivities and their complex relation to wetness and other factors, it is not feasible with present theory or measurement techniques to formulate a generally applicable quantitative relationship. The authors seem to recognize this because they realize the basis must be empiricism rather than theory. The key problem is
that an empirical representation of the complex interrelationships of the pneumatic and hydraulic phenomena (which should be discussed here more thoroughly also) would have to be based on a huge database of diverse soils and conditions. Calibration and testing with data from only three sites does not make a convincing case for the utility of the models presented here.

Therefore I do not see the value of publishing a paper that attempts these objectives in this way. The air infiltrometer described could well be useful for purposes related to the flow and diffusion of air in soils, and I would recommend that the authors pursue that sort of application rather than attempting to use it for investigation of hydraulic properties.
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Response to the comments from Anonymous Referee #2

(1) Experimental tests of using independent media were conducted in the laboratory to have the complimentary data to support the tests of the natural soil sites. Even if we have performed much more experiments of using the different devices at many natural soil sites for gaining the confidence of validating data and experimental procedure, we didn’t use all the experimental data due to the temporal variability of the natural soil conditions is not rational of comparing the flow behaviours of fluids with each other.

(2) We believe, due to experimental tests, that this study does have enough information, and therefore more accurate the text can be improved as follows: “Despite many experimental tests have been performed for the soils in different locations to validate data and experimental procedure, the data collected from three natural soil sites around the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia campus and supporting by the data of laboratory tests for the artificial sandy clay (50% sand; 50% clay) were used to describe the below ground and surface processes that involve the dynamics of air and water movement from the land surface to subsurface.” (see page 6 lines 233-238 in Marked Manuscript; see Response to Referee 01)
We are aware that there are many complexities of investigating air diffusion into the ground and are dependent on many factors. Because of the various sensitivities and complex relations between both the circumstances of air diffusion and water infiltration into the ground, this study attempts to find a simple equation to be feasible to formulate a generally applicable quantitative relationship. Even though any empirical investigation needs an explicit or implicit theoretical framework which helps to identify categories in the data and to relate them in meaningful ways, having new research opportunities on basic knowledge of air diffusion into the ground will contribute to have more insights in measuring the infiltration rate and soil moisture content.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
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