Interactive comment on “A scaling approach to Budyko’s framework and the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration in humid environments: case study of the Amazon River basin” by A. M. Carmona et al.

Color guide: Referee’s comments appear in black font, the lines from the manuscript in question appear in blue and the answers to the observations appear in red.

Answers to Anonymous Referee #1

The manuscript of Carmona et al presents an interesting perspective on the Budyko curve. I liked the idea of looking at covariations across the three variables and the discussion on the complementary relationship within this framework. The paper is of potential interest to HESS but needs to be made clearer and easier to read. I think a lot of the discussion cold be reduced to avoid some circular discussion. Nonetheless I want to reiterate my interest so that the authors do not feel discouraged. Also the authors should discuss the results of Lintner et al. 2015 which discusses the role of the complementary relationship and Budyko curve, published in HESS and on the Amazon!

We would like to thank you for taking the time to go over our manuscript and for the constructive comments. An effort will be made towards reducing the discussion so it becomes clearer and less repetitive.

Line 1 3D is unclear, I think you should maybe give another name because we think in terms of physical x,y, z space.

“This paper studies a 3-D generalization of Budyko’s framework designed to capture the mutual interdependence among long-term mean actual evapotranspiration (E), potential evapotranspiration (Ep) and precipitation (P)”

Thank you for your observation. Accordingly, this sentence can be reframed as: “This paper studies a three-dimensional state space representation of Budyko’s framework designed to capture the mutual interdependence along the three dimensions of long-term mean actual evapotranspiration (E), potential evapotranspiration (Ep) and precipitation (P)”

In the abstract and introduction you should refer to Lintner et al who found things along the same lines as what you found and the fact that the complementary relationship and Budyko curve in very humid catchments are modified.

Thank you for bringing this manuscript to our attention. As far as we know, references are not allowed in the abstract, but it will definitely be included in the introduction. Specifically in Line 18, page 6.
Line 15 p6 the statement on independence is incorrect: what you mean is that \( ET \) and \( Ep \) have been assumed independent again it is clear that the authors should refer to Lintner et al line 20 as it is very close to the discussion of the paper and uses the complementary relationship as well, as the problem on the wet end was mentioned in that paper.

“Nevertheless, all of these studies have focused on the bi-dimensional approach of the Budyko hypothesis, assuming that \( P \), \( E \), and \( Ep \) are independent on each other”

What we meant by this sentence is that in general, studies on the Budyko framework have been carried out assuming that \( P \) and \( Ep \) are independent on each other. For example, the analytical derivation of the Budyko equation by Yang et al., (2008) was carried out under the assumption that \( \partial P/\partial Ep = 0 \). On the contrary, complementary relationship studies (such as the one by Lintner et al. 2015 and others) show that that \( P \) and \( Ep \) are indeed connected via actual evapotranspiration.

This paragraph has been reframed as: “Nevertheless, all of these studies have focused on the two dimensional approach of the Budyko hypothesis, assuming that \( E \), \( P \) and \( Ep \) (but mostly \( P \) and \( Ep \)) are independent on each other. For example, the analytical derivation of the Budyko equation by Yang et al. (2008) (Eq. 4) was carried out under the assumption that \( \partial P/\partial Ep = 0 \). Such an assumption is questionable given the well-known complementary relationship of evapotranspiration (Bouchet, 1963; Morton, 1983; Hobbins et al., 2001; Xu & Singh, 2005; Szilagyi & Jozsa, 2009; Han et al., 2014 and Lintner et al., 2015), but also having in mind the important role of evapotranspiration in the recycling of precipitation (Shuttleworth, 1988; Elthair & Brass 1994; Dominguez et al., 2006; Zemp et al 2014).”

Line 15 p7: study THE water

“Motivated by Budyko’s coupling between water and energy balances and considering the mutual inter-dependence between \( E \), \( Ep \) and \( P \), we propose to study water and energy balances on a 3-D space defined by three dimensionless parameters: \( \Phi = Ep/P \), \( \Psi = E/P \), and \( \Omega = E/Ep \)”

The paragraph has been modified as: “Motivated by Budyko’s coupling between the water and energy balances and considering the mutual inter-dependence between \( E \), \( Ep \) and \( P \), we propose to organize the analysis within a 3-dimensional space defined by three dimensionless variables: \( \Phi = Ep/P \), \( \Psi = E/P \), and \( \Omega = E/Ep \)”

Line 23: again you should mention Lintner et al. 2015

“Briefly, this approach combines the water balance from Budyko’s perspective with the energy balance from the perspective of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration.”
We agree. Both the studies by Lintner et al. (2015) and Yang et al. (2006) bring together both perspectives (Budyko hypothesis + complementary relationship). Thus both studies will be mentioned as follows in line 23, P7:

“Briefly, this approach combines the analysis of annual water balance based on Budyko’s perspective with the energy balance from the perspective of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration, as has also been attempted previously by Yang et al. (2006) and Lintner et al. (2015”).

Before section 2.2: I have difficulties with the theoretical argument (the limit is correct though) because it is just a curve fitting at the end of the day and of course no places on earth as a 0 aridity index. You should reframe your argument.

“Section 2.1.2 A physical inconsistency of Budyko-type equations”

Following your observation, this section will be reframed for clarity. Previously we were attempting to show mathematically, that for very humid environments theoretical Budyko-type equations force the aridity index to be equal to zero using the limit of \( \phi \to 0 \), which for us implies a physical impossibility. However, it has been brought to our attention that it would be better to use the limit of the inverse function, that is, the limit of \( \Omega \to 1 \). For this reason this section will be changed as follows:

2.1.2 A physical inconsistency of Budyko-type equations

The proposed 3-dimensional state space and its 2-dimensional projections (\( \Psi \) vs. \( \Phi \), \( \Psi \) vs. \( \Omega \) and \( \Phi \) vs. \( \Omega \)) provide an interesting setting to test for the physical soundness of Budyko’s original hypothesis. In terms of our dimensionless variables, Budyko’s Eq. (3) and Yang et al.’s Eq. (4) can be written, respectively, as

\[
\Psi = [\phi \tanh(\phi^{-1})(1 - e^{-\phi})]^{1/2} (5)
\]

and

\[
\Psi = (1 + (\phi)^{-n})^{-1/n} (6)
\]

Using Equation (6), the relationship between \( \Omega = E/E_p \) and \( \Phi = E_p/P \) can be expressed as:

\[
\Phi = \left(\frac{1}{\Omega^n} - 1\right)^{1/n} (7)
\]

Analytically, for very humid environments, if \( \Omega \to 1 \) it can be demonstrated that:

\[
\lim_{\Omega \to 1} \left(\frac{1}{\Omega^n} - 1\right)^{1/n} = 0
\]
The same result is obtained if instead of Eq. (6) we use Eq. (5). Thus, the Budyko-type
equations mathematically require that for humid environments, when $\Omega \to 1$, $\Phi=0$. This
theoretical prediction of Budyko’s framework entails a physical inconsistency in the
relationship between $\Omega=E/Ep$ and $\Phi=Ep/P$, i.e., in the relationship between the partitioning
of energy and the aridity index. Budyko-type equations (Eq. 7) suggest two possibilities for
the case of $\Phi=Ep/P=0$: (i) that $Ep$ can be zero (negligible atmospheric demand), or (ii) that
$P$ approaches infinity. However, even in the most humid regions of the world (i.e., Lloró,
Colombia or Cherrapunji, India) there is always a potential for evapotranspiration, and even
though rainfall is very high (up to 12,000-13,000 mm yr$^{-1}$) it is never infinite. We consider
this to be a physical inconsistency of Budyko’s theoretical framework for humid
environments. Therefore, a different approach is in order: this provides the main motivation
for this study.

**Line 20 p9: the data**

“Data used for this study consisted of 3123 agro-climatic stations from the CLIMWAT 20
2.0 database, a joint product of the Water Development and Management Unit and…”

Both “Data” and “The data” are grammatically correct, thus this line will remain
unchanged.

**Line 10 p10: you didn’t mention storage**

“$E$ was also calculated using Budyko’s Eq. (3) with data and estimates of mean annual $P$
and $Ep$…”

For the data set provided by FAO no information about soil moisture was available, and no
water balance equation was used since these are not catchments but in-situ “point” data. For
this reason, for the estimation of annual $E$, only data pertaining to $P$ and $Ep$ were used.

**Line 10 p11: why is this method the most appropriate (data limitation)**

“$Ep$ was calculated using the Hargreaves equation (Hargreaves et al., 1985) following
Trabucco and Zomer (2009) and Vallejo-Bernal et al. (2015), who showed that for South
America, particularly for the Amazon River basin, this model based on temperature and
extra-terrestrial radiation is one of the most appropriate methods to estimate $Ep$”

Indeed, data limitation is one of the reasons why Hargreaves’ equation is the most
appropriate to estimate $Ep$ in the Amazon River basin; nonetheless, it is not the only one. It
has been shown that estimates of $Ep$ from databases such as the Climatic Research Unit
(CRU) underestimate $Ep$, as evidenced in the annual regime curves of $E$ vs. $Ep$ (Vallejo-
Bernal et al., in preparation).
Equation 9: what is the advantage of the 3-D perspective for the curve fitting?

Equation 9: $\Psi = k\Phi$

On the one hand, the power law relationship provides better fits to the Budyko curves for catchments in the Amazon River basin than the traditional (Yang et al., 2008) and non-traditional (Cheng et al., 2011) Budyko-type equations. In addition, the advantage of this power law is revealed later on in the manuscript, when interannual variability is analyzed. Given the dependent nature of the considered variables, we demonstrate that the coefficient in the power law ($k$) is closely related to the partitioning of energy via evapotranspiration, that is, in terms of $\Omega$ in each sub-catchment ($\Omega = 0.994k$, $R^2 = 0.95$). It should also be pointed out that $\Omega$ is a variable from the 3-D space that does not appear in the power law. For this reason, we believe that our scaling approach (Eq. 9) implicitly incorporates the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration into the formulations of the Budyko curve. Thus, the parameter $k$ could be deemed a sign of energy limitations in a catchment.

For clarity, the explanation presented above will be included in the manuscript.

Line 23 p14: remove

“Nevertheless, the linear relationship does not fully comply with the energy limit in the Budyko curve as can be seen in Fig. 5a”.

We kindly ask the reviewer to explain this comment with more details so we can understand better why this line should be removed.

Fully remove line 24-25: it can be seen..., obvious it is fair to mention that you have two parameters

“In fact, it can be seen that in order to fulfill the energy limit, its intercept would have to be restricted to $b = 0$”.

Again, we would like the reviewer to please expand his observation so we can understand his point of view regarding this line and thus, attend his observation. We have trouble following his line of thinking.

Line 6 p17: but Budyko only applies on long time scales, please justify

“In contrast, the scatter present in the year to year variations does affect the performance of Eq. (6), as reflected in a decrease of $R^2$”

Not necessarily. Even though Budyko was first designed for the long-term time scale (long mean annual water balance) it has been successfully used to assess the interannual variability of coupled water and energy balances, such as in the studies by Koster and

Line 10 p19: again mention Lintner et al. 2015 who discusses this point over the Amazon further

“Also, it can be seen in Fig. 8a how Budyko-type equations suggest that for very humid environments (Ep/P \to 0) changes in E are equal to changes in Ep (\partial E/\partial Ep = 1), which is not necessarily true (Granger, 1989; Kahler and Brutsaert, 2006; Szilagyi, 2007).”

In Lintner et al.’s (2015) paper entitled “The Budyko and complementary relationships in an idealized model of large-scale land–atmosphere coupling”, they do mention environments with increased precipitation and soil moisture, even though the Amazon basin was not specifically mentioned. Nevertheless, they do reinforce the fact that the complementary relationship is naturally asymmetrical, which they attribute to the dependence of this relationship to the Clausius-Clapeyron equation. Also, and very interestingly, they state that under a warming climate, the complementary relationship is expected to become more asymmetric as higher values of the slope imply a larger change in potential evaporation for a given change in evapotranspiration. For this reason, this paper (Lintner et al 2015) will be cited in Line 10, p. 19.

P25: again the justification on Ep/P goes to zero is a bit sketchy please make it cleaner

“We demonstrate analytically that the Budyko framework is unable to capture the physical limits of the relation \Omega vs. \Phi in humid environments, owing to the unfeasibility of Ep/P \to 0 at E/Ep= 1. This means that if Budyko-type equations are used to study the relationship between \Omega and \Phi a physical inconsistency is found, since Budyko-type equations suggest two things: (i) that Ep can be zero (non-existent atmospheric demand) or (ii) that P tends to infinity. However, even for the most humid regions of the world there is always non-negligible atmospheric demand and even though rainfall can be high it is never infinite”.

According to one of the previous comments and the changes that were made in Section 2.1.2, this paragraph will be re-written as follows:

“By studying the mathematical limits of traditional Budyko-type equations (Eq. 3 and Eq. 4) we demonstrate that these relationships are unable to capture the physical nature of water balance in humid environments. This is because they theoretically require that when \Omega \to 1 (very humid environments), \Phi=0. We believe this is not possible, given that (i) that Ep cannot be zero (non-existent atmospheric demand) and (ii) P is not infinite”.
Answers to Anonymous Referee #2

This paper by A. M. Carmona et al. provides a new perspective on Budyko framework and finds the physical inconsistency of the Budyko curve for humid environments using $\Omega = E/Ep$ and $\Phi = Ep/P$. A simple but new scaling approach was proposed to overcome this inconsistency. The results are important and interesting. This manuscript has been well written and I recommend to accept after including comments listed as follows:

1. I found Figure 3 not very helpful as one could not justify how it behaves.

   Figure 3 is included in the manuscript since it presents the three dimensional view of the proposed state space between $\Phi = Ep/P$, $\Psi = E/P$, and $\Omega = E/Ep$. Data from the United States of America (USA), China, and FAO agro-climatic stations were chosen to prove that there is a surface that can capture the data using a wide range of climates and both in-situ stations and catchments. However, even though this surface comes from a valid mathematical equation, figure 3 shows that it does not necessarily guarantee that all parts of the surface are physically feasible in nature, as explained in the manuscript (section 3.1). Thus, it is this figure that provided the motivation to further explore the bi-dimensional projections of our 3-D space. In conclusion, we are positive that figure 3 is necessary, not only because it shows for the first time the 3-D perspective of our approach, but also because it is through one of the 2-D projections of this figure, the one that captures the physical inconsistency of Budyko-type equations, which provided the main motivation for our study.

2. Line 3-4 in Page 10532, I would not agree. In Yang et al. 2008, it was assumed that the $P$ and $Ep$ are independent, which is obviously not true in reality. But to my best knowledge, in Fu's derivation, there is no such assumption. That is the reason why Sun (2007) and Yang et al. (2006) used mathematical derivative (your Fig.8) based on Fu's equation instead of using Choudhury 1999 equation to reconcile the complementary relationship and the Budyko curve. Therefore I would suggest to use Fu's equation when expressing Fig.8 for theoretical consistency. (Sun, F.: Study on Watershed Evapotranspiration based on the Budyko Hypothesis, Doctor of Engineering, Tsinghua University, 147 pp., 2007).

   “So far, in the analytical deduction of Budyko type equations, $P$ and $Ep$ have been considered completely independent (Fu, 1981; Yang et al., 2008) and thus the terms $\partial P/\partial Ep$ and $\partial Ep/\partial P$ have been neglected”.

   The reviewer is right. For this reason, we will specify that Yang et al.’s equation is the one that has been derived under the assumption that $\partial P/\partial Ep = 0$. Accordingly, Line 15 p6, has been reframed to: “Nevertheless, all of these studies have focused on the two-dimensional formulation of the Budyko hypothesis, assuming that $E$, $P$ and $Ep$ (but mostly $P$ and $Ep$) are independent on each other. For example, the analytical
derivation of the Budyko equation by Yang et al., (2008) (Eq. 4) is carried out under the assumption that $\partial P/\partial Ep=0$. Such an assumption is questionable, given the well-known complementary relationship of evapotranspiration (Bouchet, 1963; Morton, 1983; Hobbins et al., 2001; Xu & Singh, 2005; Szilagyi & Jozsa, 2009; Han et al., 2014 and Lintner et al. 2015), but also having in mind the important role of evapotranspiration in the recycling of precipitation (Shuttleworth, 1988; Elthair & Brass 1994; Dominguez et al., 2006; Zemp et al 2014)."

Also, in line 3-4 in Page 10532 the citation of Fu (1981) will be removed, although it should be pointed out that Yang et al. (2006), who used Fu’s equation, did ignore the terms $\partial P/\partial Ep$ and $\partial Ep/\partial P$ when interpreting the complementary relationship in non-humid environments based on the Budyko hypothesis.

In addition, in order to fully address the reviewer’s comment, new calculations were made using Fu’s equation (Fu, 1981). The main results of the study remained the same, as shown in the figures presented below. On the one hand, Fu’s equation (green thick line) also theoretically requires that when $\Omega \rightarrow 1$ (very humid environments), $\Phi=0$ (Fig. 1 below and Fig. 5 in the manuscript). Thus, it also entails the physical inconsistency pointed out in section 2.1.2. Furthermore, if Fu’s equation is used instead of Yang et al.’s equation for the complementary relationship, as suggested by the reviewer (Fig. 2 below and Fig. 8 in the manuscript) the results also remain the same, except for the value of the parameter ($n \neq \omega$). Specifically, fig.2 shows the theoretical relationships between $\partial E/\partial Ep$ and $\partial E/\partial P$ with $Ep/P$ using the differential forms of the equations proposed by Yang et al. (2008), Fu (1981) and our power law relationship, for different values of the parameters $n$, $\omega$ and $e$. This figure shows that for small values of $Ep/P$, the value of $\partial E/\partial Ep$ is larger compared to the value of $\partial E/\partial P$ which means that in humid catchments changes in $E$ are mostly governed by changes in $Ep$ rather than in $P$. Also, it can be seen how traditional Budyko-type equations (Yang et al., 2008 and Fu, 1981) suggest that for very humid environments ($Ep/P \rightarrow 0$) changes in $E$ are equal to changes in $Ep$ ($\partial E/\partial Ep=1$), which is not necessarily true (Granger, 1989; Kahler and Brutsaert, 2006; Szilagyi, 2007, Lintner et al 2015). However, our scaling approach allows $E$ to change more than $Ep$, which is consistent with the asymmetrical nature of the complementary relationship.
Figure 1. Bi-dimensional projections of the 3-D state space for the Amazon River basin

Figure 2. Complementary relationship as presented by Yang et al 2006.

3. Line 18-22 in Page 10519, again I don't agree with that. I don't think anyone could ever demonstrate there is a unique solution. Otherwise how to explain there are many Budyko curves.

“In particular, Yang et al. (2008) demonstrated analytically that there is a unique solution for the set of partial differential equations representing the coupled water and energy balances in catchments…”

The reviewer has a valid point: there are many Budyko curves and many equations that represent them. However, Yang et al. (2008) do claim that their equation is a unique solution for the set of partial differential equations, as specified in their paper: “This paper aims to prove the existence of a unique solution to the mean annual water-energy balance equation and to find the analytical solution under general conditions” and later in their conclusions: “Through dimensional analysis and mathematical reasoning, this
paper mathematically derived a general solution to the mean annual water-energy balance equation, and proved its uniqueness”.

Nevertheless, and given that we also aim at proposing an alternative equation for the Budyko hypothesis, this paragraph (Line 18-22) will be changed to: “In particular, Yang et al. (2008) mathematically derived a general solution for the set of partial differential equations representing the coupled water and energy balances in catchments…”

4. In terms of the complementary relationship, I think it is more about $\partial E_p/\partial E$ rather than $\partial E/\partial E_p$.

Both expressions ($\partial E_p/\partial E$ and $\partial E/\partial E_p$) denote changes in one variable given changes in the other. In addition, these changes are neither linear nor straightforward. This means that $E_p$ changes because $E$ changes, but also $E$ changes because $E_p$ changes, that is what the complementary relationship is all about. Nevertheless, previous studies (Granger, 1989 and Yang et al., 2006) have dealt with $\partial E/\partial E_p$ and thus, for comparison purposes (mainly with Yang et al. (2006)) we will continue to analyze this expression instead of the one suggested by the reviewer.

5. Page 10536 Move the description of topography, groundwater levels and vegetation in Section 3.3.4 to Section 2.2 Data sets.

Thank you for this relevant suggestion. The description of the topography, groundwater levels and vegetation used for section 3.3.4 will be moved to section 2.2.

6. The focus of study area in the manuscript should be humid environment. There is a jump between using the global agro-climatic stations or the data of the arid area in US and China and humid environment.

We respectfully disagree with the reviewer. The US and China datasets were used as mentioned previously (comment #1) to depict the 3-dimensional state space for a wide range of climates and environments and not just for the humid ones. Besides, these datasets allowed us to identify the physical inconsistency of Budyko-type equations for humid environments, and for this reason we later on focus our study on the Amazon River basin. Nevertheless, at the end of the paper (section 3.3.5) we attempt at generalizing our scaling approach. That is, we show that although the power law equation was derived for humid environments (Amazonia) and suit them better, it could also be used for other catchments such as those in the USA and China.
Answer to Editor’s comments

I have a minor comment on Figure 6. For the Between-year Budyko Curve, E/P>1 may be due to the water storage change (ΔS) from year to year. Then (P-ΔS) needs to be treated as available water, and the plot can be E/(P-ΔS) versus Ep/(P-ΔS) as suggested by Wang (2012, doi:10.1029/2011WR010759). Similarly, in the monthly abcd model, the available water is computed as the summation of monthly precipitation and initial storage (Wang and Tang, 2014, doi:10.1002/2014GL060509). You may consider to add this discussion in the paper.

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your pertinent observation. You are right, values of E/P>1 could be owed to water storage changes in soils and vegetation or to other sources of available water, which could be important in humid environments such as the Amazon River basin. This discussion was included in page 16, lines 25-29. Nevertheless, so far we do not have data of water stored in soils (ΔS) for the studied 146 catchments and for this reason it is not possible to plot E/(P-ΔS) versus Ep/(P-ΔS), yet.
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Abstract

This paper studies a three-dimensional state space representation of Budyko’s framework designed to capture the mutual interdependence among long-term mean actual evapotranspiration ($E$), potential evapotranspiration ($E_p$) and precipitation ($P$). For this purpose we use three dimensionless and dependent quantities: $\Psi = E/P$, $\Phi = E_p/P$ and $\Omega = E/E_p$.

This 3-D space and its 2-D projections provide an interesting setting to test the physical soundness of Budyko’s hypothesis. We demonstrate analytically that Budyko-type equations are unable to capture the physical limit of the relation between $\Omega$ and $\Phi$ in humid environments, owing to the unfeasibility of $E_p/P = 0$ when $E/E_p \to 1$. Using data from 146 sub-catchments in the Amazon River basin we overcome this inconsistency by proposing a physically consistent power law: $\Psi = k\Phi^e$, with $k = 0.66$, and $e = 0.83$ ($R^2 = 0.93$). This power law is compared with two other Budyko-type equations. Taking into account the goodness of fits and the ability to comply with the physical limits of the 3-D space, our results show that the power law is better suited to model the coupled water and energy balances within the Amazon River basin. Moreover, $k$ is found to be related to the partitioning of energy via evapotranspiration in terms of $\Omega$. This suggests that our power law implicitly incorporates the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration into the Budyko curve, which is a consequence of the dependent nature of the studied variables within our 3-D space. This scaling approach is also consistent with the asymmetrical nature of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration. Looking for a physical explanation for the parameters $k$ and $e$, the inter-annual variability of individual catchments is studied. Evidence of space–time symmetry in Amazonia emerges, since both between-catchment and between-year variability follow the same Budyko curves. Finally, signs of co-evolution of catchments are explored by linking spatial patterns of the power law parameters with fundamental characteristics of the Amazon River basin. In general, $k$ and $e$ are found to be related to vegetation, topography and water in soils.
1 Introduction

The pioneering work of Budyko (Budyko, 1974) introduced a theoretical framework to link the long-term average water and energy balances in a river basin considering the dominant controls on actual evapotranspiration \( E \), assuming that the water balance is mostly governed by water availability (precipitation, \( P \)) and energy availability (represented for convenience by potential evapotranspiration, \( E_p \)). According to Budyko, mean annual \( E \) approaches mean annual \( P \) as the climate becomes drier, provided that water storage change in the catchment is negligible. Such water and energy coupling is represented in a bi-dimensional space relating two non-dimensional variables, the evapotranspiration ratio \( E/P \), and the aridity index \( E_p/P \), such that,

\[
\frac{E}{P} = f \left( \frac{E_p}{P} \right).
\]

The ratio \( E/P \) can be considered a measure of the long-term mean annual water balance in a catchment, since it is the fraction of the water falling as precipitation that is partitioned into evapotranspiration. On the other hand, \( E_p/P \) is a measure of the long-term mean climate being a ratio of energy availability \( E_p \) to water availability \( P \). Small values of \( E_p/P \) \( (E_p/P < 1) \) are associated with humid catchments where precipitation is significant and the energy supply is the limiting factor for evapotranspiration. Conversely, large values of \( E_p/P \) \( (E_p/P > 1) \) are found in arid regions where precipitation is low and evapotranspiration is limited by water supply. Budyko (1958, 1974) carried out an empirical analysis of long-term mean annual water balances in a large number of environments around the world and demonstrated that the water balance of catchments in different climatic regions provided a nice fit to the curve presented in Fig. 1 (called the Budyko curve), bounded by the relevant physico-mathematical water and energy limits:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{E}{P} &= \frac{E_p}{P} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{E_p}{P} < 1 \quad (\text{Energy-Limited Evapotranspiration}), \\
\frac{E}{P} &= 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{E_p}{P} > 1 \quad (\text{Water-Limited Evapotranspiration}).
\end{align*}
\] (2)

Budyko proposed an equation to model the mean annual water balance (Eq. (1), and Fig. 1) building on two equations previously formulated by Schreiber (1904) and Ol’dekop (1911). The formulation proposed by Schreiber (1904) implied that the evaporation ratio asymptotically approached unity \((E/P \to 1)\) for large values of the aridity index, given that in extremely arid regions all precipitation is essentially converted into evapotranspiration \((E = P)\). In other words, in arid regions, available energy greatly exceeds the amount required to evaporate the entire annual precipitation, \(P\), and annual \(E\) approaches annual \(P\), whereas in humid regions available energy, \(E_p\), is only a fraction of the amount required to evaporate the annual precipitation, \(P\), and thus annual \(E\) approaches annual \(E_p\). Ol’dekop (1911) developed a similar relationship albeit using a hyperbolic tangent relationship. Budyko found that Schreiber’s equation underestimated evapotranspiration while Ol’dekop’s equation overestimated it, and thus he set forth a new equation using the geometric mean between those two, given as,

\[
\frac{E}{P} = \left[ \frac{E_p}{P} \tanh \left( \frac{P}{E_p} \right) \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{E_p}{P} \right) \right) \right]^{1/2},
\] (3)

which satisfies the previously discussed limits (Eq. [2]). Diverse authors have derived equations to further develop Budyko’s framework (Mezentsev, 1955; Pike, 1964; Fu, 1981; Choudhury, 1999; Yang et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2015). In particular, Yang et al. (2008) mathematically derived a general solution for the set of partial differential equations representing the coupled water and energy balances in catchments, which in terms of the evapotranspiration ratio, \((E/P)\), and the aridity index, \((E_p/P)\), can be written as:
\[
\frac{E}{P} = \left(1 + \left(\frac{E_p}{P}\right)^{-n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}},
\]

where \( n \) is a parameter that captures the combined effects of river basin and vegetation characteristics.

The coupled water and energy balance framework postulated by Budyko (1958, 1974) has provided a rich setting to address fundamental questions in hydrology such as water availability, and water resources management and streamflow prediction in ungauged basins (Arora, 2002; Ma et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; Renner and Bernhofer, 2011; Renner et al., 2011; Roderick and Farquhar, 2011; Wang and Hejazi, 2011; Blöschl et al., 2013; Greve et al., 2015). In particular, it has been used at various spatial and temporal scales to perform diagnostic analyses of the long-term mean annual water balances in catchments and to study the interactions between hydro-climate, soil, vegetation and topography and their role in water balance variability (Milly, 1994; Zhang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2007; Donohue et al., 2007). Nevertheless, all of these studies have focused on the two dimensional approach of the Budyko hypothesis, assuming that \( P, E, \) and \( E_p \) (but mostly \( P \) and \( E_p \)) are independent of each other. For example, the analytical derivation of the Budyko equation by Yang et al. (2008) (Eq.4) was carried out under the assumption that \( \partial P/\partial E_p = 0 \). Such an assumption is questionable given the well-known complementary relationship of evapotranspiration (Bouchet, 1963; Morton, 1983; Hobbins et al., 2001; Xu and Singh, 2005; Szilagyi and Jozsa, 2009; Han et al., 2014; Lintner et al., 2015), but also having in mind the important role of evapotranspiration in the recycling of precipitation (Shuttleworth, 1988; Elthair and Bras, 1994; Dominguez et al., 2006; Zemp et al., 2014).

This paper presents a 3-D generalization of the Budyko hypothesis, intended to capture the mutual interdependence among \( E, E_p, \) and \( P \) by involving the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration. We achieve this by studying a three parameter space defined by three dimensionless and dependent quantities: \( \Phi = E_p/P, \Psi = E/P, \) and \( \Omega = E/E_p \). Towards that aim the paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 provides the methods used for
this study including the justification for the 3-D generalization of Budyko’s framework. This generalization is interpreted from the perspective of the complementary relationship and reveals a physical inconsistency implied in Budyko’s framework for humid environments. Datasets in which our methods are applied are also described in Sect. 2, namely agro-climatic stations around the world and catchments in the continental US of America, China and Amazonia. We focus our research on the Amazon River basin as a case study recognizing the need to further test the validity of the Budyko framework and the complementary relationship in humid environments. Application to the Amazon is also motivated by it being the largest river basin in the world, by its tropical location, and by the mostly undisturbed condition of its natural vegetation. The results of the analyses and their discussion are presented in Sect. 3. Finally, Sect. 4 presents the main conclusions drawn from the study.

2 Methods and data

2.1 Rationale for a 3-D generalization of the Budyko hypothesis

Motivated by Budyko’s coupling between the water and energy balances and considering the mutual inter-dependence between $E$, $E_p$, and $P$, we propose to organize the analysis within a 3-dimensional space defined by three dimensionless variables: $\Phi = E_p/P$, $\Psi = E/P$, and $\Omega = E/E_p$. Recall that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are, respectively, the aridity index, and the evapotranspiration ratio. In turn, $\Omega$ denotes the partitioning of energy via evapotranspiration, understanding potential evapotranspiration as the physical upper limit for $E$ (Thornthwaite, 1948). Thus, $\Omega$ is introduced in the 3-D space to capture the complementary relationship existing between $E$ and $E_p$. Briefly, this approach combines the analysis of the annual water balance based on the Budyko hypothesis with the energy balance from the perspective of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration, as has also been attempted previously by Yang et al. (2006) and Lintner et al. (2015).
2.1.1 The complementary relationship of evapotranspiration

A strong body of literature has been dedicated to study the relationship between $E$ and $E_p$, in particular the complementary relationship hypothesis (Bouchet, 1963; Morton, 1983; Hobbins et al., 2001; Xu and Singh, 2005; Szilagyi and Jozsa, 2009; Han et al., 2014). Before the study of Bouchet (1963) it was thought that a higher $E_p$ implied a greater $E$. He corrected this misconception based on energy balance arguments, demonstrating that as a surface dries up from initially wet conditions, $E_p$ increases while $E$ decreases as the available water drops. This can be explained because a decrease in evapotranspiration from a dry surface will make the overlying air warmer and drier, thus increasing available energy and producing a compensatory increase in $E_p$. On the other hand, an increase in $P$ increases the availability of surface water and thus $E$ increases. Since $E$ is a cooling process it causes the surrounding air to cool and to become wetter and consequently this produces a decrease in $E_p$. Finally, if the surface is sufficiently moist $E = E_p$. This is known as the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration. Mathematically, the complementary relationship is often assessed as those changes in $E$ given changes in $E_p$ ($\partial E/\partial E_p$). Bouchet (1963) proposed that such relation is inverse and symmetrical for dry environments $\partial E/\partial E_p = 1$, whereas $\partial E/\partial E_p = 0$, for very humid environments, but it has been shown that such relation is not perfectly symmetrical (Granger, 1989; Kahler and Brutsaert, 2006; Szilagyi, 2007; Lintner et al., 2015).

2.1.2 A physical inconsistency of Budyko-type equations

The proposed 3-dimensional state space and its 2-dimensional projections ($\Psi$ vs. $\Phi$, $\Psi$ vs. $\Omega$ and $\Phi$ vs. $\Omega$) provide an interesting setting to test for the physical soundness of Budyko’s original hypothesis. In terms of our dimensionless variables, Budyko’s Eq. (3) and Yang et al.’s Eq. (4) can be written, respectively, as,

$$\Psi = [\Phi \tanh(\Phi^{-1})(1 - \exp(-\Phi))]^{1/2}$$

(5)
and
\[ \psi = (1 + \Phi^{-n})^{-1/n} \]  
(6)

Using Eq. 6 the relationship between \( \Omega = E/E_p \) and \( \Phi = E_p/P \) can be expressed as:

\[ \Phi = \left( \frac{1}{\Omega^n} - 1 \right)^{1/n} \]  
(7)

Analytically, for very humid environments, if \( \Omega \to 1 \) it can be demonstrated that:

\[ \lim_{\Omega \to 1} \left( \frac{1}{\Omega^n} - 1 \right)^{1/n} = 0 \]  
(8)

The same result is obtained if instead of Eq. 6 we use Eq. 5 or even the equation proposed by Fu (1981). Thus, traditional Budyko-type equations require that for humid environments, when \( \Omega \to 1 \), \( \Phi = 0 \). This theoretical prediction of Budyko’s framework entails a physical inconsistency in the relationship between \( \Omega = E/E_p \) and \( \Phi = E_p/P \), that is, in the relationship between the partitioning of energy and the aridity index. Budyko-type equations (Eq. 7) suggest two possibilities for the case of \( \Phi = E_p/P = 0 \): (i) that \( E_p \) can be zero (negligible atmospheric demand), or (ii) that \( P \) approaches infinity. However, even in the most humid regions of the world (i.e. Lloró, Colombia or Cherrapunji, India) there is always a potential for evapotranspiration, and even though rainfall is very high (up to 12,000-13,000 mm/yr) it is never infinite. We consider this to be a physical inconsistency of Budyko’s theoretical framework for humid environments. Therefore, a different approach is in order: this provides the main motivation for this study.

2.2 Data sets

Data used for this study consisted of 3123 agro-climatic stations from the CLIMWAT 2.0 database, a joint product of the Water Development and Management Unit and the Climate
Change and Bioenergy Unit of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). CLIMWAT 2.0 includes meteorological data from 144 countries providing long-term monthly mean values of seven climatic parameters, including: maximum and minimum temperature, monthly rainfall and potential evapotranspiration. All variables are direct observations or conversions of observations, except for $E_p$ which is calculated using the Penman–Monteith equation (Monteith, 1965). The CLIMWAT 2.0 database can be freely downloaded from: [http://www.fao.org/nr/water/infores_databases_climwat.html](http://www.fao.org/nr/water/infores_databases_climwat.html). Long-term mean actual evapotranspiration ($E$) was calculated by means of Turc’s equation (Turc, 1954), which requires information on mean annual precipitation and temperature. $E$ was also calculated using Budyko’s Eq. (3) with data and estimates of mean annual $P$ and $E_p$.

Furthermore, information from 419 catchments in the continental US belonging to the MOPEX dataset (Duan et al., 2006) and from 108 catchments in China (Yang et al., 2007) are also included in our analysis. The MOPEX dataset contains daily time series of hydrologic data, with $P$ processed by the Hydrology Laboratory of the National Weather Service (NWS) and $E_p$ based on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Evaporation Atlas (Farnsworth et al., 1982). On the other hand, the Chinese dataset provides information of $P$ and $E_p$ (calculated with the Penman equation, Penman, 1948) in catchments with relatively little human interference in the form of dams and irrigation projects. For both datasets $E$ was first calculated by means of the long-term water balance equation using information of long-term precipitation and river runoff ($E = P - Q$), and also using Budyko’s Eq. (3). In terms of the aridity index ($\Phi$), the MOPEX river basins span a wide range of climates with values of $\Phi$ from 0.27 to 4.97 while the Chinese river basins are all arid ($\Phi > 1$).

To represent hydrologic characteristics in humid environments we used data from 146 catchments in the Amazon River basin. For this purpose information of $P$ and $E$ was obtained from the AMAZALERT project in Amazonia ([http://www.eu-amazalert.org/home](http://www.eu-amazalert.org/home)). Specifically $P$ was obtained from the Observation Service SO-HYBAM (formerly Environmental Research Observatory ORE-HYBAM) dataset available at [http://www.ore-hybam.org/](http://www.ore-hybam.org/). Information on precipitation in the Ama-
zon River basin was also available from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) but data from HYBAM was chosen because of the need for a longer dataset. It is important to emphasize that for these catchments $E$ was not estimated via the long-term water balance equation but from an independent dataset compiled by the Max Plank Institute (MPI) using a global monitoring network with meteorological and remote sensing observations (Jung et al., 2010). $E_p$ was calculated using the Hargreaves equation (Hargreaves et al., 1985) following Trabucco and Zomer (2009) and Vallejo-Bernal et al. (2016) who showed that for South America, this model based on temperature and extra-terrestrial radiation is one of the most appropriate methods to estimate $E_p$. Specifically, according to Vallejo-Bernal et al. (2016), data limitation is not the only reason why Hargreaves’ equation works better in Amazonia. This is because estimates of $E_p$ from databases such as the one from the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) tend to underestimate this variable, as evidenced in the annual regime curves of $E$ vs. $E_p$. For the Amazon River basin $P$, $E$ and $E_p$ were available on a monthly scale (mm/month) covering 27 years (1982–2007) of information for all the catchments. Figure 2 shows the location of the study area and the gauging stations defining the set of 146 sub-catchments.

In addition, three landscape features were chosen based on available information to depict some of the main characteristics of the Amazon River Basin, including topography, groundwater levels and vegetation. To represent topography, a digital elevation model (DEM) processed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and available at [http://hydrosheds.cr.usgs.gov/index.php](http://hydrosheds.cr.usgs.gov/index.php) was used to calculate the mean elevation (m a.s.l.) for each sub-catchment in the Amazon River basin, which ranges from 0 to 6250 m a.s.l. in the Andes Mountain range. As for groundwater levels, the climatological mean water table depth simulated by Fan et al. (2013) was used. This water table depth is a result of the long-term hydrologic balance between the groundwater recharge and the lateral, geologic, and topographically induced flow below and parallel to the water table and shows values from 0 m near the bodies of water (rivers and permanent wetlands) to 818 m up in the Andes. Regarding vegetation, we used the MODIS-based Maximum Green Vegetation Fraction dataset generated by Broxton et al. (2014) and available at
http://landcover.usgs.gov/green_veg.php This dataset comprises the mean annual maximum green vegetation fraction from 2001 to 2012, based on the MOD13A2 normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI). In the Amazon River basin values range from 0 % (no vegetation cover) to 100 (100 % vegetation cover), but most values range between 80 and 100 %.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 The 3-D view of Budyko’s framework and its 2-D projections

Figure 3 shows our 3-D space ($\Phi - \Omega - \Psi$) within Budyko’s framework for the FAO agro-climatic stations (Fig. 3 left panel) and catchments in the US and China (Fig. 3 right panel). Red dots represent observed data with $E$ calculated with Turc’s equation or the water balance equation, respectively. In both cases, despite the scatter observed in the plots, it can be seen that there is a surface that captures the data sets within the proposed 3-D parameter space, which was obtained by estimating $E$ using Budyko’s Eq. (3). Grey lines on the faces of the “cubes” represent the 2-D projections of the surface. Taking into consideration how the three dimensionless variables were defined and that they are not independent of each other, the equation of the surface (Fig. 3) can be easily obtained as: $\Psi = \Phi \Omega$. Figure 3 also shows that red dots are limited to specific parts of the surface. This means that although this surface comes from a valid mathematical equation it does not necessarily mean that all parts of the surface are physically feasible in nature. For example, there are no environments with low $\Omega$ and low $\Phi$ at the same time or environments with low $\Psi$ and high $\Phi$ simultaneously. This leads us to further explore the bi-dimensional projections of our 3-D space.

Figure 4 shows the three bi-dimensional projections of the proposed 3-D space: $\Psi$ vs. $\Phi$, $\Psi$ vs. $\Omega$ and $\Phi$ vs. $\Omega$. Blue dots denote actual data for the FAO agro-climatic stations (Fig. 4a–c) and for the US-China catchments (Fig. 4d–f). Thick black lines and dashed
black lines represent the Budyko curves (and their corresponding projections on the bi-
dimensional spaces of our 3-D approach) using Eqs. (3) and (4) with $n = 2$, respectively.

Figure 4a and b present the relationship $\Psi$ vs. $\Phi$ (traditional Budyko approach). On these panels, the Budyko curve has two physically consistent limits denoting energy-limited and water-limited evapotranspiration (Eq. 2). Despite the observed scatter, which could be explained by other factors affecting $E$ such as soils, vegetation and topography, amongst others, data from agro-climatic stations (Fig. 4a) and from catchments (Fig. 4d) follow the Budyko curve. Figure 4b and e, illustrate the relationship $\Psi$ vs. $\Omega$, which also has two physically consistent limits. The first limit is a vertical line at $\Omega = 1$, because physically $E$ can never exceed $E_p$. Thus, $\Omega = 1$ corresponds to very humid environments where precipitation is large, there is no water limitation and $E = E_p$. The second physical limit in Fig. 4b and e is a horizontal line at $\Psi = 1$, where $E = P$, since in the long-term time scale, $E$ should not exceed $P$. In summary, in arid regions $\Psi$ is large (with a maximum value at $\Psi = 1$) and $\Omega$ is small, whereas in humid regions $\Omega$ is large (with a maximum value at $\Omega = 1$) and $\Psi$ is low. Figure 4c and f show the relationship between the remaining dimensionless variables, $\Phi$ and $\Omega$, which were related previously using Eq. (7) and for which the physical inconsistency was found. This relationship has one physical limit at $\Omega = 1$, for very humid regions where $E = E_p$. It was demonstrated mathematically that Eq. (7) requires that for $\Omega \rightarrow 1$, $\Phi = 0$, as revealed by both theoretical black curves in Fig. 4c and f. However, actual data never reach zero at $\Omega = 1$, confirming what was evidenced in Sect. 2.1.2. Given this physical inconsistency, found particularly in wet environments, we propose a new way to address the Budyko hypothesis in humid regions such as the Amazon River Basin, as explained next.

3.2 A scaling approach to Budyko’s framework in humid environments

For many years power laws have been popular in the geosciences, mainly because of their simplicity, unique mathematical properties and because of the surprisingly physical mechanisms they represent (Parzen, 1999). Many hydrological, climatic, ecological processes, among others, exhibit emergent patterns that manifest as power laws (Gupta and Dawdy,
which reveal certain types of universalities emerging from the complexity of nature (Brown et al., 2002). By fitting empirical relationships using power laws, one assumes that the system is essentially self-similar or fractal (Mandelbrot, 1983), which suggests that the main characteristics of the system exhibit an invariant organization that remains the same over a wide range of scales.

With the purpose of overcoming the physical inconsistency of Budyko’s framework for the relationship between $\Phi$ vs. $\Omega$ in humid environments, we study the proposed 3-D Budyko space (and its bi-dimensional projections) using data from 146 catchments in the Amazon River basin, with values of $\Phi$ ranging from 0.43 to 1.55. Considering the behavior of the data and bearing in mind all the physical limits of the Budyko hypothesis, we suggest the following power law to represent the Budyko curve for the studied catchments:

$$\Psi = k\Phi^e.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Using a nonlinear least squares regression algorithm with confidence bounds set at a 95% confidence level, the coefficient and scaling exponent were estimated as $k = 0.66$ and $e = 0.83$ ($R^2 = 0.93$), respectively. Since our interest is to capture the behavior of the data in humid environments in the best way possible, we compared the performance of Eq. (9) with two other approaches. First, we used Eq. (6) (Yang et al., 2008) to model the data in the Amazon River basin. However, instead of assuming $n = 2$, we used the same nonlinear least squares regression algorithm and obtained the best value of $n$ for this dataset which turned out to be $n = 1.58$ ($R^2 = 0.85$). Then, we followed the study by Cheng et al. (2011) who justified the use of linear relationships to address the Budyko hypothesis. For this approach we fitted the data to a linear relationship $\Psi = a\Phi + b$, with $a = 0.55$ and $b = 0.11$ ($R^2 = 0.91$). However, it is worth remarking that Cheng et al. (2011) only assessed the inter-annual variability of the water balance rather than long-term mean values.
Our next step was to test the 3-D generalization of Budyko’s framework in the Amazon River basin, focusing on its three bi-dimensional projections (Fig. 5), with emphasis on the relationship between $\Phi$ and $\Omega$ which exhibited the physical inconsistency. Figure 5a shows the traditional Budyko curve for the 146 sub-catchments within the Amazon River basin (blue dots) and the results of the parameters obtained with Eqs. (6), (9) and the linear relationship. Figure 5b and c show the remaining bi-dimensional projections of our 3-D space with actual data and the theoretical curves that come from the previously mentioned equations. From Fig. 5a–c, and taking into account the goodness of fit, it can be seen how both the power law and the linear relationship are better suited to model the data in these humid catchments. Also, both approaches theoretically overcome the physical inconsistency found for the relationship between $\Phi$ and $\Omega$ (Fig. 5b), since unlike the thick black line (Yang et al., 2008), the dashed black line (Cheng et al., 2011) and the thick red line (Power law) do not approach zero as $\Omega$ increases. Nevertheless, the linear relationship does not fully comply with the energy limit in the Budyko curve as can be seen in Fig. 5a. In fact, it can be seen that in order to fulfill the energy limit, its intercept would have to be restricted to $b = 0$. For these reasons, we conclude that the power law is the best equation among the three of them to capture the long-term mean coupled water-energy balances in Amazonia.

One of the reasons that explains why both the power law and the linear relationship work better than traditional Budyko-type equations, which was first pointed out by Cheng et al. (2011), is that these relationships have two parameters ($k$, $e$ and $a$, $b$), while Eq. (6) has only one ($n$). Particularly in this case, since we are dealing with humid environments it also has to do with the way these catchments partition water and energy, in the sense that for most of these catchments in Amazonia evapotranspiration is energy-limited rather than water-limited. This observation locates the Amazonian catchments closer to the energy limit in the Budyko curve (grey dashed line in Fig. 5a), along its “linear 1 : 1” part and this makes data suitable for a power law.

With these results in mind, several questions arise: how do the parameters change from catchment to catchment? Are the values of these parameters in the long-term the same as at the inter-annual scale? Can any of these parameters be explained by landscape features
or catchment properties? In order to answer these questions our next goal is to study the inter-annual variability of the coupled water and energy balances in Amazonia. By inter-annual variability we mean the year to year variations within each one of the 146 sub-catchments, as explained in the following section.

### 3.3 Assessing inter-annual variability of the coupled water and energy balances in the Amazon River basin

With 27 years of information for each of the 146 sub-catchments in Amazonia, the inter-annual variability of the water balance is studied. Once more the three approaches that were tested before are compared. For this purpose, Eqs. (6), (9) and the linear relationship are fitted to the data and thus the parameters \( k, e, a, b \) and \( n \) are obtained for each catchment. The first question that we will try to answer is whether the values of these parameters in the long-term are the same as at the inter-annual scale in order to search for signs of space–time symmetry of the coupled water and energy balances (Sivapalan et al., 2011; Carmona et al., 2014; Perdigão and Blöschl, 2014). Later on, signs of catchment co-evolution will be explored by linking the parameters with characteristic landscape features within the Amazon River basin.

#### 3.3.1 Space–time symmetry

In hydrology, the term space–time symmetry has been adopted when an equation or model can be used to depict between-catchment variability of long-term mean annual water balances and the corresponding between-year variability within individual catchments, thus implying ergodicity of the hydrological system at the catchment scale (Sivapalan et al., 2011; Carmona et al., 2014; Perdigão and Blöschl, 2014). Figure 6 presents a comparison of the long-term mean Budyko curve (Fig. 6a) and the inter-annual Budyko curve for the 146 catchments in Amazonia (Fig. 6b), as well as the results of the implementation of Eqs. (6), (9) and the linear relationship. Each triangle represents one catchment (Fig. 6a) and each dot represents 1 year of the 27 years of data of each catchment (Fig. 6b). It should be
pointed out that Figs. 5a and 6a are essentially the same; however in Fig. 6a catchments can be distinguished from each other by different colors. In the previous section we described how we used a nonlinear least squares regression algorithm to obtain the parameters for the three equations which are shown in Fig. 6a. Now, for the case of Fig. 6b, the procedure is the same, although all catchments with their 27 years of data were taken together as if they were a single dataset. With confidence bounds set at a 95% confidence level, the coefficient and scaling exponent of the power law, the slope and intercept of the linear relationship and the parameter from Eq. (6) were estimated as $k = 0.67$, $e = 0.87$, $a = 0.59$, $b = 0.08$ and $n = 1.64$, respectively. These results appear to reveal that there is indeed space–time symmetry within these 146 catchments in the Amazon River basin, especially for the power law equation, given that the values of the parameters $k$ and $e$ do not seem to change much.

Moreover, for the case of the power law and the linear relationship, the scatter present in the inter-annual variability of the water balance does not seem to affect the goodness of fit, and actually both coefficients of determination increase ($R^2 = 0.94$ and $R^2 = 0.92$, respectively); nevertheless, bearing in mind the physical limits of the Budyko framework that have been discussed throughout this paper, it can be seen once more how the linear relationship does not fully satisfy the energy limit at the inter-annual scale (Fig. 6b). The increase in the goodness of fit for both equations could be possibly attributed to an increase of data points and also because in Amazonia, the scatter in the inter-annual variability is evidenced somehow parallel to the energy limit, that is, in the direction of the power law and linear relationships (Fig. 6b). In contrast, the scatter present in the year to year variations does affect the performance of Eq. (6), as reflected in a decrease of $R^2$. In fact, in Amazonia, the inter-annual variability of the water balance diverges from the traditional Budyko curve as $\Phi$ increases (Fig. 6b). This is because while in arid environments moisture available for $E$ comes mostly from $P$ and $E/P \to 1$ as $E_p/P$ increases; in humid environments there can be other sources of moisture besides $P$, such as water stored in soils and vegetation, which in the Amazon River basin can be significant. This is also the reason why at the inter-annual time scale (and at shorter time scales) values of $E > P$ are feasible in humid
environments (Fig. 6b). In addition, the complementary relationship between actual and potential evapotranspiration becomes relevant, because in environments like the Amazon River basin, where $E$ is significant, $E_p$ can decrease and thus, even if $P$ diminishes, in these catchments the aridity index does not increase as much.

3.3.2 The complementary relationship from the perspective of the scaling approach

Yang et al. (2006) use the mathematical derivatives of Budyko-type equations to determine whether in a catchment changes in $E$ are mostly dominated by changes in $P$ or $E_p$. For our power law relationship (Eq. 9) the derivatives are as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial E_p} = k \left[ (1 - e) \left( \frac{E_p}{P} \right)^e \frac{\partial P}{\partial E_p} + e \left( \frac{E_p}{P} \right)^{(e-1)} \right]
$$

(10)
\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial P} = k \left[ (1 - e) \left( \frac{E_p}{P} \right)^e + e \left( \frac{E_p}{P} \right)^{(e-1)} \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial P} \right].
\]

(11)

For the analytical derivation of their Budyko–type equation, Yang et al. (2008) considered \( P \) and \( E_p \) to be completely independent of each other and thus the terms \( \partial P / \partial E_p \) and \( \partial E_p / \partial P \) were neglected. On the other hand, while in Fu’s mathematical derivation (Fu, 1981) there is no such supposition, studies who have used this formulation, like the one carried out by Yang et al. (2006), do not consider these derivatives when interpreting the complementary relationship based on the Budyko hypothesis. As mentioned previously, assuming that \( P \) and \( E_p \) are independent variables is not valid since they are correlated through \( E \) via the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration, as shown in Fig. 7 for the 146 sub-catchments of the Amazon River basin. Figure 7a shows between-catchment variability among \( E \) and \( E_p \) vs. \( P \), while Fig. 7b shows their between-year variability. Triangles and diamonds are used to denote the relationship between long-term mean \( E \) and \( E_p \) with respect to \( P \) where each marker represents one catchment, whereas circles and squares are used to depict the relationship between inter-annual \( E \) and \( E_p \) vs. \( P \), where each marker represents one year. Once more, colors are used to separate catchments. Figure 7 reflects another sign of space–time symmetry within the Amazon River basin, since both inter-annual variability and the long-term mean relationship between \( E \) and \( E_p \) with \( P \) exhibit the same pattern: \( E \) increases as \( P \) increases while \( E_p \) seems to decrease. To quantify the symmetry between both cases, a linear relationship was fitted to the data. For the case of \( E \), the slope and intercept from Fig. 7a were estimated as 0.04 and 1094 respectively, while from Fig. 7b they were calculated as 0.03 and 1119. For the case of \( E_p \), both slopes were estimated as -0.05 while the intercepts were 1840 and 1825 respectively. However, in both cases the coefficients of determination (\( R^2 \)) were very small and thus these results are not statistically significant. This means that an equation for the relationship between \( P \) and \( E_p \) could not be obtained empirically. This issue requires further studies, and we believe an effort should be made towards the development of either an empirical or an analytical formulation for the relationship between \( P \) and \( E_p \) in humid
environments, as they are evidently not independent (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, since this formulation is still not available and in order to compare our analytical derivations with those of Yang et al. (2006), in the present study, the terms $\partial P/\partial E_p$ and $\partial E_p/\partial P$ are not considered.

Figure 8a and b show the theoretical relationships between $\partial E/\partial E_p$ and $\partial E/\partial P$ for different values of the parameters $e$ and $n$. For the case of the power law the value of $k$ was fixed at $k = 0.6$. This figure shows that for small values of $E_p/P$, the value of $\partial E/\partial E_p$ is larger compared to the value of $\partial E/\partial P$ which means that in humid catchments changes in $E$ are mostly governed by changes in $E_p$ rather than in $P$. Also, it can be seen in Fig. 8a how Budyko-type equations suggest that for very humid environments ($E_p/P \rightarrow 0$) changes in $E$ are equal to changes in $E_p$ ($\partial E/\partial E_p = 1$), which is not necessarily true (Granger, 1989; Kahler and Brutsaert, 2006; Szilagyi, 2007; Lintner et al., 2015). Results remain the same if instead of the equation proposed by Fu (1981) is used to depict Fig. 8. However, our scaling approach allows $E$ to change more than $E_p$, which is consistent with the asymmetrical nature of the complementary relationship.

### 3.3.3 Between-catchment variability of the parameters at the inter-annual scale

To explore how the parameters change from catchment to catchment, Eqs. (6), (9) and the linear relationship were fitted to represent the observed inter-annual variability and thus individual values of $k$, $e$, $a$, $b$ and $n$ were obtained for each catchment. Regarding the goodness of fit (with 95% confidence bounds in all cases) we found that for the power law the estimated range of the parameters were as follows: $0.52 < k < 0.82$ and $0.85 < e < 1.08$ with $0.88 < R^2 < 0.99$. For the linear relationship, $0.51 < a < 0.86$, $-0.05 < b < 0.12$ with $0.87 < R^2 < 0.99$ and for the Budyko type equation $1.07 < n < 3.54$, $0.30 < R^2 < 0.88$. Once more the data set is best modelled by either the power law equation or the linear relationship, which in both cases exhibit higher $R^2$ than Eq. (6). Also, results found for the power law and for the linear relationship are very similar, as can be seen in the values of both parameters $k$ and $a$. This happens mainly because: (i) the intercepts of the linear
relationship \((b)\) are close to zero, and (ii) the scaling exponents of the power law \((e)\) are close to 1. Both characteristics make both equations resemble, and assuming \(e = 1\) and \(b = 0\), the power law and the linear relationship become the same equation:

\[
\Psi = k\Phi^1 = a\Phi + 0 \quad \text{therefore} \quad a = k.
\]  

Moreover, in the context of our 3-D approach, since \(\Psi = E/P\) and \(\Phi = E_p/P\), then mathematically from Eq. (12), \(a = k = \Omega = E/E_p\). This was tested for the data and is shown in Fig. 9 where \(k\), \(a\) and \(\Omega\) were plotted against each other. These results indicate that the slope \((a)\) of the linear relationship and the coefficient \((k)\) of the power law are linked through the way that each catchment partitions its energy via evapotranspiration. Nonetheless, taking into account the goodness of fit (Fig. 9) \(k\) is actually closer related to \(\Omega \left(R^2 = 0.95\right)\) than \(a \left(R^2 = 0.69\right)\). This outcome suggests that our scaling approach (Eq. 9) for the Budyko Curve implicitly incorporates the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration (in terms of \(\Omega\)) and thus \(k\) becomes a sign of energy limitations in a catchment. This is a consequence of the dependent nature of the studied variables within our 3-D space but also of the physically mutual interdependence between \(E\), \(E_p\) and \(P\). In particular, in humid environments, where normally there is little water stress, changes in \(E\) are mostly dominated by changes in \(E_p\) rather than changes in \(P\), as evidenced in Fig. 8 and as pointed out by Yang et al. (2006), Zeng and Cai (2015) and Cheng et al. (2011). The latter studied 547 catchments in the continental US and noticed that catchments in subtropical and humid regions exhibited larger slopes and smaller intercepts. They also reformulated the linear relationship to \(E = aE_p + bP\) in order to explain physically both parameters, and found that the slope \(a\) and intercept \(b\) reflect the variability of \(E\) with respect to \(E_p\) and \(P\), respectively. Accordingly, in this study \(k\) represents the variability of \(E\) due to \(E_p\), which is in agreement with results for the long-term mean annual \(E\), \(E_p\) and \(P\) for each catchment, shown in Fig. 10. As for the scaling exponent, it could also be thought of as a measure of the dependence of \(E\) on \(E_p\). The more humid the catchment is, the more likely is \(e = 1\) and thus the more dependent is \(E\) on \(E_p\) rather than on \(P\). Figure 10a shows the distribution of long-term mean annual \(P\) in...
the Amazon River Basin with values ranging from 1179 to up to 3735 mm yr$^{-1}$. A consistent spatial pattern can be found, with the highest precipitation occurring in the Colombian Amazon (north-west region) and the lowest precipitation taking place near Peru (western region), Bolivia (south-western region) and some parts of Brazil (south-eastern region). This is consistent with macroclimatic factors such as the migration of the Inter-tropical Convergence Zone (ITZC), and the South Atlantic Convergence Zone (SACZ), land surface–atmosphere interactions (Poveda and Mesa, 1997) as well as interactions between the Amazon and the Andes and the Atlantic Ocean (Nobre et al., 2009; Poveda et al., 2006, 2014; Boers et al., 2015). Yet, the most distinctive spatial pattern can be identified in the regional distribution of $E_p$ (Fig 10c), with highest values (up to 1885 mm yr$^{-1}$) over the eastern region of the Amazon River basin, decreasing systematically westwards. Regarding $E$ (Fig. 10b) values range from 869 up to 1313 mm yr$^{-1}$ and although some regionalization can be observed it is not as clear as with $P$ or $E_p$. However it should be noticed that the highest values of $E$ are found not where $P$ is greater but where $E_p$ is greater, even if values of $P$ are not the highest in that region. In general it can be seen that in the Amazon River basin $E$ follows $E_p$ more than it follows $P$.

3.3.4 Searching for signs of catchment co-evolution: power law parameters vs. landscape features

As stated by Troch et al. (2015), catchment co-evolution studies the process of spatial and temporal interactions between water, energy, landscape properties such as bedrock, soils, channel networks, sediments and anthropogenic influences that lead to changes of catchment characteristics and responses. In particular, landscape organization determines how a catchment filters climate into hydrological response in time. For this reason catchment co-evolution is not studied in the time domain, but from a spatial perspective (Perdigão and Blöschl, 2014; Troch et al., 2015).

To determine the possible links between the power law parameters ($k$ and $e$) and the chosen landscape features (topography, groundwater levels and vegetation, described in section 2.2), the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($\rho$) was used. The Spearman’s
\( \rho \) estimates the statistical dependence between two variables and how well their relationship can be described using a monotonic function, thus it is a measure of nonlinear co-dependence. If there are no repeated data values, a perfect correlation is obtained when \( \rho = \pm 1 \). The statistical significance of the results was tested by \( p \) values with significance levels set at 5% as presented in Fig. 11 for \( k \). As remarked by Perdigão and Blöschl (2014), high correlations indicate that there might be a relationship between climate and landscape, however the lack of correlations does not necessarily indicate independence.

In this study, the strongest connection appears to be with vegetation and mean elevation above sea level. Specifically \( k (\rho = 0.57, \text{Fig. 11b}) \) and \( e (\rho = 0.47) \) seem to increase with average maximum green fraction. This result is not surprising, considering that the Amazon River basin is predominantly covered by tropical rainforest, given the relationship that we found previously between \( k \) and \( \Omega \) and the role of vegetation in evapotranspiration. In addition, both \( k (\rho = -0.58, \text{Fig. 11a}) \) and \( e (\rho = -0.28) \) seem to decrease with mean elevation above sea level. The influence of elevation on evapotranspiration (mainly on \( E_p \)) has been studied previously by Jaramillo (2006) for the Colombian Andes, where a decreasing exponential relationship between reference evapotranspiration and elevation for the Cauca and Magdalena river basins was found. Moreover, the inverse relationship between elevation and \( E_p \) could be due to the way \( E_p \) was estimated, given that Hargreaves et al. (1985) equation is temperature-based and temperature decreases as elevation increases. Results also show that \( k (\rho = -0.42, \text{Fig. 11b}) \) and \( e (\rho = -0.30) \) appear to decrease with water table depth which is also connected to elevation above sea level. Water table depths are larger in the mountains and shallower at lower elevations. Correlations between the other parameters \( (a, b \text{ and } n) \) were also carried out for comparison purposes. The slope of the linear relationship \( (a) \) exhibited similar results as \( k \), while the intercept \( (b) \) exhibited similar results as \( e \), but in general \( k \) and \( e \) showed stronger connections to landscape features than \( a \) and \( b \). As for the parameter \( n \), none of the landscape features shows any statistically significant \( \rho \). Thus, even though we are aware that our power law is an empirical relationship, landscape features and catchment characteristics are somehow embedded in the values of the associated parameters.
3.3.5 An alternative equation for evapotranspiration

Our results show that among the three tested equations the best equation to represent data from the Amazon River basin is the power law (Eq. 9), not only from the perspective of Budyko’s framework in humid environments and its physical limits for the 3-D space, but also from the perspective of the complementary relationship, space–time symmetry and catchment co-evolution. At this point it is worth mentioning that the emerging power law was tested for the entire set of 527 catchments in the US and China, with $k = 0.63$ and $e = 0.46$ ($R^2 = 0.61$). It was also tested just for the humid catchments ($\Phi < 1$) from the MOPEX dataset with $k = 0.74$ and $e = 0.96$ ($R^2 = 0.60$). In addition, the mean aridity index and evaporation ratio for these datasets are respectively: $\Phi_{\text{US-China}} = 1.28$, $\Phi_{\text{MOPEX}} = 0.74$, $\Omega_{\text{US-China}} = 0.63$ and $\Omega_{\text{MOPEX}} = 0.76$, while for the catchments within the Amazon River basin, $\Phi_{\text{Amazon}} = 0.86$ and $\Omega_{\text{Amazon}} = 0.68$. Recalling that for Amazonia $k = 0.66$, $e = 0.83$, this results seemingly show that $\Omega \simeq k$. They also appear to reveal that $k$ decreases with $\Phi$ while $e$ increases. This suggests that our scaling approach could possibly be used as an alternative to the traditional Budyko-type equations in other catchments with different climatic conditions, although it might work better for humid environments. Nevertheless, it should be remembered that for the US and China $E$ was calculated from the water balance equation and therefore estimates of $E$ and $P$ are not mathematically independent, whereas for the Amazon River basin $E$ was estimated using an independent dataset based on remote sensors and meteorological observations. For this reason, the universality of the coefficients ($k$) and scaling exponents ($e$) of the power law should be explored in the future using diverse datasets. In particular, calculations should be carried out using different and more reliable estimates of $E_p$ considering that there are still difficulties in appropriately estimating the potential of evaporation in very humid environments, especially when there are tropical rainforests and mountains involved such as in the Amazon River basin.
4 Conclusions

We have introduced a physically consistent scaling (power law) approach towards a 3-D generalization of the Budyko framework in humid environments, which opens up a new research avenue to understand the coupling between the long-term mean annual water and energy balances catchments, and the hydrological effects brought about by climate change. This new approach combines the water balance from Budyko’s perspective with the energy balance from the perspective of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration. Results for the FAO agro-climatic stations and catchments in the US, China and the Amazon indicate that the well-known Budyko function that relates $\Psi$ vs. $\Phi$ corresponds to a particular bi-dimensional cross-section of a broader coupling existing between $\Phi$, $\Psi$, and $\Omega$ and in turn of the mutual interdependence between precipitation ($P$), potential evapotranspiration ($E_p$) and actual evapotranspiration ($E$). By studying the mathematical limits of traditional Budyko-type equations (Eq. 5 and 6) we demonstrate that these relationships are unable to capture the physical nature of the water balance in humid environments. This is because they theoretically require that when $\Omega \to 1$ (very humid environments), $\Phi = 0$. We believe this is not possible, given that (i) $E_p$ cannot be zero (non-existent atmospheric demand) and (ii) $P$ is not infinite. We have shown this to be a limitation of the Budyko hypothesis and proposed to overcome it by means of a physically consistent power law $\Psi = k\Phi^e$, with $k = 0.66$ and $e = 0.83$ ($R^2 = 0.93$) for Amazonia. The proposed power law is compared with other Budyko-type equations, namely those by Yang et al. (2008) and Cheng et al. (2011). Taking into account the goodness of fit and the ability to comply with the physical limits in our 3-D space, our results show that the power law provides a better fit to the data associated with the long-term water balance of the Amazon River basin. Also, our scaling approach is consistent with the asymmetrical nature of the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration as revealed by Fig. 8.

By comparing two kinds of variability (long-term mean annual and inter-annual) signs of space–time symmetry were detected in the Amazon River basin, in the sense that our power law can be used to depict both between-catchment variability of long-term mean
annual water balance and the between-year variability in individual catchments \((k = 0.66 \text{ and } e = 0.83 \text{ vs. } k = 0.67 \text{ and } e = 0.87 \text{ respectively})\). In addition, the coefficient from the power law \((k)\) is closely related to the partitioning of energy via evapotranspiration \((\Omega)\) in each sub-catchment \((\Omega = 0.994k, R^2 = 0.95)\). It should be pointed out that \(\Omega\) is the variable from the initial 3-D state space that does not appear in the power law. For this reason, we believe that our scaling approach (Eq. 9) implicitly incorporates the complementary relationship of evapotranspiration into the formulations of the Budyko curve. Thus, the parameter \(k\) becomes a sign of energy limitations in a catchment. In general, the higher the scaling exponent \((e)\), the more will \(\Omega\) and \(k\) resemble. Mathematically \(\Omega = k\) if \(e = 1\). This is a consequence of the dependent nature of the studied variables within our 3-D space but also of the physically mutual interdependence between \(E\), \(E_{p}\) and \(P\). In addition, signs of catchment co-evolution were detected since the spatial patterns of the water balance parameters were found to be associated with relevant landscape features. In general, \(k\) and \(e\), seem to decrease with both mean elevation above sea level and water table depth and to increase with the average maximum green fraction, which was used as a proxy for vegetation. In both cases these could be related to the role of vegetation on evapotranspiration and also on the fact that the estimation of \(E_{p}\) was carried out with a temperature-based equation. None of the landscape features exhibited any statistically significant relationship with the parameter \(n\). Thus, landscape features and catchment characteristics are somehow embedded in the values of the associated parameters of our power law.

Finally, our power law equation was used to model data from catchments in the U.S. and China. Results show that our scaling approach could potentially be used as an alternative equation to traditional Budyko-type equations, mostly in humid environments, given that it is not only physically consistent, but it is also suitable from the perspective of space–time symmetry and catchment co-evolution, taking into account the feedbacks between topography, vegetation and water in soils.

The scaling approach proposed in the present study offers several advantages from both theoretical and practical viewpoints. In particular, the space–time symmetry detected for the Amazon River basin, could provide a framework for extrapolating between "climatic
scales” with climate represented by means of $\Phi$ both in the long-term and inter-annual variability. Besides, given that our 3-D space and the proposed scaling approach are able to capture the mutual interdependence of $E$, $E_p$ and $P$, this framework could be potentially used for studies of climatic change, in particular to understand changes in $E$ given both changes in $E_p$ and $P$. This will be explored in the future. However further analyses will be required in other catchments and other humid environments so that the scaling exponents and coefficients of the power law relationship can be confirmed using different datasets. This is a subject that is left for future research.
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Figure 1. Budyko Curve relating the evapotranspiration ratio ($E/P$) to the aridity index ($E_P/P$), and their limits for wet (energy-limited) and dry environments (water-limited).
Figure 2. Location of the study area and the 146 sub-catchments in the Amazon River basin.
Figure 3. 3-D state space for the FAO agro-climatic stations (left panel) and catchments in the US and China (right panel). Grey lines represent the 2-D projections of the blue surface estimated with $E$ calculated using Eq. (3).
Figure 4. Bi-dimensional projections of the 3-D space for the FAO agro-climatic stations (a–c) and for catchments in US and China (d–f).
Figure 5. Bi-dimensional projections of the 3-D space for the 146 Amazon River sub-catchments.
Figure 6. (a) Between-catchment variability of the long-term mean Budyko curve and (b) between-year variability of the Budyko curve. Colors denote different sub-catchments within Amazonia. The insets show the results of fitting Eqs. (6), (9) and the linear relationship, with their respective parameters.
Figure 7. (a) Between-catchment and (b) between-year complementary relationships for the 146 sub-catchments of the Amazon River basin.
Figure 8. Relations between (a) $\frac{\partial E}{\partial E_p}$ and (b) $\frac{\partial E}{\partial P}$ with $E_p/P$. 
Figure 9. Relationship between $k$ (Eq. 9), $a$ (Cheng et al., 2011) and $\Omega$. 
Figure 10. Spatial distribution of the long-term mean annual $P$, $E$ and $E_p$ across the sub-catchments of the Amazon River basin.
Figure 11. Parameter $k$ vs. landscape features.