How effective is river restoration in re-establishing groundwater – surface water interactions? – A case study
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Abstract

In this study we investigated whether river restoration was successful in re-establishing vertical connectivity and, thereby, groundwater-surface water interactions, in a degraded urban stream. Well-tried passive Distributed Temperature Sensing (DTS) and novel active and passive DTS approaches were employed to study groundwater-surface water interactions in an experimental reach of an urban stream before and after its restoration and in two (near-) natural reference streams. Results were validated with Radon-222 analyses. Our results indicated that river restoration at the study site was indeed successful in increasing groundwater-surface water interactions. Increased surface water downwelling occurred locally at the tip of a gravel island created during river restoration. Hence, the installation of in-stream structures increased the vertical connectivity and thus groundwater-surface water interactions. With the methods presented in this publication it would be possible to routinely investigate the success of river restorations in re-establishing vertical connectivity, thereby gaining insight into the effectiveness of specific restoration measures. This, in turn, would enable the optimization of future river restoration projects, rendering them more cost-effective and successful.

1 Introduction

In recent years, significant efforts have been taken worldwide to restore degraded rivers and streams (Filoso and Palmer, 2011; Gilvear et al., 2012; Haase et al., 2013) in order to protect ecosystem health, incorporate sustainable flood protection, and preserve valuable water resources (Andrea et al., 2012; Palmer et al., 2005; Wortley et al., 2013).

The aim of river restoration is often stated as achieving the highest possible ecological status or re-establishing the natural function of streams to a pre-degraded state (EU WFD, 2000; Maher et al., 2001; Swiss Water Protection Act 814.20). This includes
the recreation of a natural river morphology, the provision of habitats for native flora and fauna, and re-establishing groundwater-surface water interactions.

The latter, in particular, is of paramount importance with regard to the natural functioning of streams: the interaction between groundwater and surface water controls the availability of nutrients in the hyporheic zone (Fuller and Harvey, 2000; Gooseff et al., 2002; Butturini et al., 2003), impacts water temperature (Bencala, 2005; Hannah et al., 2009; Norman and Cardenas, 2014) and quality (Boulton et al., 1998; Findlay, 1995; Fuller and Harvey, 2000), and thus influences ecosystem functioning (Boulton et al., 1998; Malard et al., 2002; Thorp et al., 2006) and health (Bardini et al., 2012; Wondzell, 2011).

Many river restoration efforts worldwide focus on the re-establishment of longitudinal and lateral connectivity and appearance, rather than vertical connectivity (Mendiondo, 2008; Filoso and Palmer, 2011; Sudduth et al., 2011; Kurth and Schirmer, 2014), a fact that might explain the often cited failure of river restoration with respect to ecosystem functioning (Louhi et al., 2011; Sudduth et al., 2011; Violin et al., 2011). Clearly, it is not sufficient to change the appearance of a short stretch of a stream to restore the complex interplay of riverine ecosystems and their surroundings. Hence, more and more projects take a more holistic approach to river restoration (Kurth and Schirmer, 2014).

The success of these river restorations may be evaluated with respect to abiotic parameters, such as hydromorphological characteristics, biotic parameters, such as biodiversity, or socioeconomic aspects, e.g. the recreational value (Jähnig et al., 2011). Most river restoration success evaluations, however, seem to focus on biotic parameters (Wortley et al., 2013). Reports regarding the success in re-establishing the vertical connectivity in streams could not be found.

We were therefore interested in evaluating the hydrogeological success of a restoration project which had been planned by a multidisciplinary team of biologists, chemists, civil engineers, ecologists, hydraulic engineers, hydrogeologists, physicists, and social scientists. We define hydrogeological success as an increase in vertical connectivity...
along the restored site of the stream, indicated by increased groundwater-surface wa-

ter interactions.

In this paper we discuss the results gained from investigations with Distributed Tem-

perature Sensing (DTS), a fibre-optical method for temperature measurements along

glass fibre (Kurth et al., 2013; Selker et al., 2006a, b). Fibre-optic cables were ei-

er either installed directly on top or in ca. 0.4 m depths of the streambed. This enabled

us to determine the groundwater-surface water interactions under gaining and losing

stream conditions. Additionally, hydrogeological conditions in the area surrounding the

study site at the restored stream were examined and Radon-222 measurements were

performed to verify the DTS data. Apart from investigating a stream before and af-

fer its restoration, experiments were performed in natural and near-natural reference

streams. “Natural” and “near-natural” thereby refer to the condition of the stream bed as

being unaffected or only mildly affected by anthropogenic alterations. Thus, we tested

the hypothesis that the vertical connectivity, and therefore groundwater-surface water

interactions, indeed improves after river restoration. We conclude with an outlook and

recommendations for restoration practise based on our insights.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study sites

In our study we evaluated three perennial Swiss streams: the Chriesbach, the Röthen-

bach and the Urbach (Fig. 1). Apart from similarities in their dimensions and discharge,

they vary in their state of morphological degradation: while the Urbach is a natural

alpine stream, the Röthenbach and the Chriesbach are mildly to severely degraded

streams of the Swiss Plateau in rural and urban areas, respectively. Flowing between

gentle-sloped meadows and steep rock walls, the Urbach is a braided stream with var-

ious main and side channels and in-stream islands (Doering et al., 2012). In spite of

upstream hydropower production and the installation of stone crib walls as flood protec-
tion measures in the meadows, the Urbach has maintained its natural river morphology and thus was selected as a reference for presumably natural groundwater-surface water interactions. Although being lowered and straightened led to a rather uniform stream width, the Röthenbach still has a naturally varying water depth and flow velocities. The stream is impacted by diffuse manure inflow into the stream, by discharge of warm water from power production in a nearby sawmill and by a significant drawdown due to water abstraction in the surrounding areas. Nevertheless, the stream bed was still considered to be near-natural and thus used as reference for near-natural hydrogeological conditions. Originally a meandering stream, the Chriesbach was lowered and channelized in the 1910s and 1970s, leading to a loss of in-stream structures and habitats, and causing severe degradation. Hence, between 2006 and 2014, 900 m of the Chriesbach were restored: the channel was widened, shores levelled, and water depth and width varied. In-stream islands and ponds were created and a site-specific riparian vegetation planted. Even though these measures hardly reverse the severe effect of channelization and lowering, the Chriesbach now has a more natural river morphology.

2.2 Water temperature measurements with Distributed Temperature Sensing (DTS)

Raman-based DTS is a fibre-optic method for temperature measurements along a glass fibre into which laser light pulses are injected (Selker et al., 2006a; Tyler et al., 2009). Inside the glass fibre, the laser light’s photons are backscattered either inelastically or elastically, i.e. with or without a change in their energy, depending on the temperature-sensitive energy level of the glass molecules with which the photons interact. The DTS instrument then analyses the energy and the time of arrival of the elastically and inelastically backscattered photons, the so called Stokes and Anti-Stokes signal, respectively, and calculates the temperature for each section, e.g. every meter, of the glass fibre. Thus, the temperature of the entire length of the glass fibre is measured simultaneously. Careful calibration of the DTS instrument with reference baths thereby improves the accuracy of the measurement. It is generally assumed that the
temperature of the fibre-optic cable equals the surrounding temperature, e.g. the surface water temperature.

DTS technology is very convenient in hydrogeology, as the temperature of long stretches of streams can be determined simultaneously or groundwater upwelling into streams can be monitored due to differences in their temperatures (Anderson, 2005; Briggs et al., 2012; Tyler et al., 2009). DTS measurements may be performed as passive and active measurements. Passive measurements are standard temperature measurements along the glass fibre (Steele-Dunne et al., 2010). In active measurements, on the other hand, the metal components of the fibre-optic cable, e.g. copper or steel wires, are heated by sending an electrical current through them (Read et al., 2014). This allows retrieving information on the cooling behaviour of the fibre-optic cable, indicating areas with lower or higher rates of water flow over the cable. The cooling rate of the fibre-optic cable was calculated as a temperature change per minute. To avoid possible correlations between the temperature of the fibre-optic cable and the cooling rate of the fibre-optic cable, the cooling rate was investigated in the temperature range of 15.9 to 16.1°C. Thereby, the temperature resolution was 0.1°C. This temperature range was selected as it had the highest number of measurement points.

2.3 Radon-222 measurements

Radon-222 is a product of the decay of Radium-226 in the decay chain of Uranium-238 to Lead-206. As decaying Uranium-238 is present in the subsurface, groundwater is generally enriched in Radon-222. Surface water, on the other hand, has lower Radon-222 levels due to rapid degassing of Radon-222. Hence, Radon-222 is an ideal tracer for groundwater upwelling in surface waters (e.g. Cartwright et al., 2014; Cook, 2013; Hoehn et al., 1992).
2.4 Experimental set-up in the field

DTS measurements were performed with an Agilent DTS N4386A and a Sensornet Oryx® DTS, with a sampling interval of 1 m, and a spatial resolution of 1.5 m, respectively. We employed a heatable multimode BRUsens fibre-optic cable (BRUGG AG, Switzerland) with copper and steel wires for heatability. As it was impossible to maintain a temperature reference bath in the field, a 200 m section of the cable exposed to air was used as reference and the cable temperature determined with Hobo TidbiTs® temperature probes. Two additional temperature probes were installed in the water at the beginning and the end of the fibre-optic cable submerged in the streams. Measurements were performed on days with low flow and no precipitation, on the coldest days in winter (Chriesbach, Röthenbach) and a moderately warm day in summer (Urbach). DTS measurements at the Urbach could not be performed in winter, as the valley is closed during winter due to an elevated risk of avalanches.

All measurements in the Urbach and the Röthenbach were passive measurements. At the Chriesbach site, measurements were passive before restoration, and passive and active after restoration. For passive measurements at the Urbach, the Röthenbach and the Chriesbach prior to its restoration, the fibre-optic cables were fixed on the streambed; for passive (P) and active (A) measurements at the Chriesbach after restoration the fibre-optic cable was buried (B) with a plough at a depth of about 0.4 m within the streambed (PAB approach). These measurements could not be performed prior to restoration, as the inserted fibre-optic cable would have been damaged by the mechanical diggers remodelling the streambed during river restoration. During active measurements, the metal components of the fibre-optic cable were heated with a current of 10 A for 30 min twice a day.

Measurements in the Urbach were performed with the fibre-optic cable being passed through three areas: a side channel (cable sections 140 to 188 m), a drainage ditch draining the surrounding meadows (cable sections 194 to 266 m), and the main channel of the Urbach (cable sections 269 to 327 m. The drainage ditch was measured to
provide insight into the local groundwater temperature, as it was assumed that it was solely fed by groundwater that day.

At the Chriesbach site, groundwater temperature and the groundwater level were measured every 15 min with an STS logger situated in around 3 m depth of a piezometer situated next to the investigated reach of the stream.

Radon-222 measurements for the detection of groundwater inflow into the Chriesbach were performed after river restoration with a RAD7 instrument (Niton-Durridge, USA). Water samples were taken shortly before analysis from surface water and groundwater in the restored site and from an unrestored reference site further upstream. Groundwater samples were also taken from piezometers at the restored site. The piezometers were flushed for 15 min and water samples taken from 6 m depths. All sample bottles were triple-washed and samples taken without air inclusion. Comparative measurements with the Urbach and Röthenbach sites were not possible due to unavailability of the Radon-222 detector.

3 Results

3.1 Passive DTS water temperature measurements

The surface water temperature profile of the Chriesbach was investigated before (Fig. 2) and after river restoration (Fig. 3). During the data collection before river restoration, the air temperature varied between −5.3 and 8.8°C (Fig. 2, left side). The surface water temperature (Fig. 2, right side) closely followed the air temperature, varying between 6.4 and 9.9°C. Groundwater temperatures ranged between 11.3 and 11.4°C during the investigated period. Apart from two cable sections with elevated surface water temperatures at 372 and 379 m, the surface water temperature distribution in the Chriesbach was relatively uniform, decreasing after sunset and increasing again in the morning.
The data collected after the restoration of the Chriesbach appeared to be rather different (Fig. 3). Here, air temperatures varied between 0.5 and 4.9 °C and surface water temperatures ranged between 8.6 and 10.3 °C. The surface water temperature reached its minimum and maximum in the morning and afternoon, respectively. However, the pattern is less distinct than in the pre-restoration data set. Surface water temperature anomalies were to be found around cable sections 299, 357, 372, and 478 m.

The surface water temperature data from the Urbach, the natural reference site, was very diverse (Fig. 4). The air temperature ranged between 18.6 °C in the late morning and 23.6 °C in the afternoon. The surface water temperature in the side channel (cable sections 140 to 188 m) closely followed the air temperature, ranging between 9.4 and 12.3 °C, as the fibre-optic cable was installed in a shallow part of the stream, which was exposed to the sun throughout the measurement. Surface water temperatures in the drainage ditch (cable sections 194 to 266 m) ranged between 7.8 °C in the late afternoon and 10.6 °C around noon. Thereby, the 7.8 °C are assumed to represent the groundwater temperature in that area. The drainage ditch was partially exposed to sunlight between the morning and the early afternoon and completely shaded afterwards. The higher temperatures at cable section 247 m at the beginning of the measurement were caused by the fibre-optic cable being exposed to air. The surface water in the main channel (cable sections 269 to 327 m) had water temperatures similar to the drainage ditch, ranging from 9.0 °C in the morning to 10.7 °C in the early afternoon. The stream was completely exposed to sunlight throughout the measurement period.

The data from the near-natural reference site, the Röthenbach (Fig. 5), differed strongly from the previously seen natural reference of the Urbach. Air temperatures ranged between −4.5 and 13.1 °C. Surface water temperatures closely followed the diurnal variations in air temperature, with the surface water temperature ranging from 1.2 °C in the morning to a maximum of 7.6 °C. However, this maximum water temperature occurred exclusively around cable section 199 m and was constant throughout the measurement period. Around cable section 273 m the surface water temperature was elevated as well, but less pronounced and not as constant as around cable section.
199 m. With the exception of these two sections, the surface water temperature in the Röthenbach was very uniform. It decreased after sun-set and increased around noon, following the air temperature with a delay of a few hours. This delay was due to the Röthenbach being in shadow until noon.

3.2 Active and passive DTS measurements with the buried fibre-optic cable

During passive (P) and active (A) DTS data acquisition with the buried (B) fibre-optic cable (PAB approach), surface water temperatures varied between 14.3 and 19.2 °C (Fig. 6). The streambed temperatures, on the other hand, varied more strongly, ranging between 14.3 and 29.6 °C. The streambed temperature distribution, however, was not uniform. Maximum streambed temperatures occurred around cable sections 205 and 240 m. Elevated and minimum streambed temperatures appeared around cable sections 45, 135 and 143 m, but also, less pronounced, around cable sections 60, 70, 79 and 180 m. In the other sections of the fibre-optic cable, streambed temperatures changed less throughout the day and night, varying only slightly between 15.4 and 16.5 °C, except during periods in which the fibre-optic cable was heated. The heating of the fibre-optic cable caused a rise in cable temperatures of between 1.3 and 1.6 °C, depending on the initial temperature of the cable. In the section of maximum streambed temperatures, heating was more rapid and led to a slightly higher temperature difference ($\Delta T$ 1.6 °C), than in the other sections ($\Delta T$ 1.3 °C). The lowest cooling rate was seen at cable sections 111 m (Fig. 7) in a shallow part of the stream with stagnant water, the highest cooling rate was seen at cable section 195 m at the tip of a gravel island. About 80 % of cooling rates ranged between 0.082 and 0.086 °C min$^{-1}$.

3.3 Radon-222 measurements

The Radon-222 activity in the surface water samples of the Chriesbach, obtained after its restoration, was very low (Table 1). However, sample 5.1 had a higher Radon-222 activity, which was not seen during the second sampling campaign. The Radon-222
activity in the groundwater samples was significantly higher than in the surface water samples, and increased with increasing distance from the stream.

4 Discussion

In this study we investigated whether river restoration in an urban setting indeed enhanced the vertical connectivity in the stream and thus created conditions in which the ecosystem can, under given conditions, unfold its full potential.

The surface water temperature profile of the unrestored Chriesbach was very uniform along the investigated section of the stream. However, there were two cable sections at 372 and 379 m with slightly elevated surface water temperatures. The purple line visible after 3 p.m. on 14 March 2013, around cable section 372 m (Fig. 2), was caused by a sharp bend in the cable, a defect that was visible in the post-restoration data as well (Fig. 3). The slightly elevated surface water temperatures around cable section 379 m (Fig. 2) were due to algae and debris accumulating at this section of the fibre-optic cable, possibly acting as a temperature buffer.

A similarly uniform surface water temperature profile was seen in the Urbach. The drainage ditch was groundwater-fed on the day of the experiment. The surface water temperature profile in the main channel was very similar to the drainage ditch, indicating that the main channel was mainly groundwater-fed in the investigated section of the stream. The slightly elevated surface water temperatures in the main channel were caused by the channel being fully exposed to the sunlight throughout the experiment, while the drainage ditch was shaded in the afternoon. Robinson and Doering (2013) observed a similar pattern of groundwater upwelling in the investigated section of the Urbach’s main channel and groundwater-fed tributaries on the eastern side of the stream. Presumably groundwater upwelling occurred uniformly and on a large scale, as no localised regions of groundwater temperatures were observed in the main channel or the drainage ditch.
Localized groundwater upwelling, however, was observed in the Röthenbach. Here, groundwater upwelling occurred in discrete zones, in which surface water temperatures were constant or elevated throughout the experiment. In the zones with constant surface water temperatures, significant amounts of groundwater were infiltrating into the stream. Similar observations were made e.g. by Unland et al. (2013). In this context, significant means a groundwater inflow rate sufficient to maintain surface water temperature at a constant value equalling the groundwater temperature. In the zones with elevated surface water temperatures, groundwater was infiltrating, albeit not in significant volumes.

In case of the Chriesbach, these results would indicate that there was either groundwater upwelling on a large scale or no groundwater upwelling at all. The surface water temperature of the Chriesbach was ca. 2 K below the groundwater temperature and strongly varied with the daily temperature fluctuations, indicating that there was no significant groundwater upwelling in the investigated section of the stream. The surface water temperature profile of the Chriesbach after restoration was equally uniform in space as prior to its restoration, with four exceptions. The lower surface water temperatures around cable section 372 m were caused by the defect in the fibre-optic cable already seen in the data of the unrestored Chriesbach. The surface water temperature anomalies around cable sections 299 and 478 m were due to the fibre-optic cable being exposed to the air. The lower surface water temperatures around cable section 357 m, on the other hand, were induced by the fibre-optic cable resting in a section of the stream with stagnant and very shallow water, which cooled down more rapidly than the rest of the stream.

Apart from these anomalies, the surface water temperature profile was very uniform in space. However, it was very uniform in time as well. There are several explanations for this behaviour: either the amount of groundwater infiltrating from further upstream increased due to river restoration or the significantly lower variation in air temperature (14.1 °C before restoration, 4.4 °C after restoration) induced a much smaller change in the surface water temperature. Hydrogeological investigations at that time indicated
that the Chriesbach was a losing stream in the investigated section, which confirmed that the homogeneous surface water temperature profile was caused by the lower air temperature variations.

Radon-222 measurements in the restored Chriesbach and an unrestored reference section of the Chriesbach further upstream confirmed the losing conditions of the Chriesbach in the investigated section and indicated that no groundwater upwelling occurred upstream either.

The active DTS data with the fibre-optic cable buried at about 0.4 m depth indicated that most surface water downwelling occurred at cable section 195 m, the tip of a gravel island newly created during restoration of the Chriesbach. Research by Shope et al. (2012) confirm this observation. The lowest downwelling was seen at cable section 111 m, a section of the cable buried in a shallow pool of stagnant water at the side of the stream. Cooling rates in the other cable sections were rather uniform, which might be explained by the homogeneous sediment composition and a lack of in-stream structures in these stream sections.

5 Conclusions

Even though success evaluations in river restoration are increasingly being employed to test whether restoration measures were successful in improving conditions for the ecosystem, hydrogeological success, which influences ecological success as well, is not routinely investigated. We therefore, for the first time, examined hydrogeological success, i.e. groundwater-surface water interactions, before and after the restoration of an urban stream and compared results to streams in natural and near-natural conditions. Results indicated that in the Chriesbach, groundwater-surface water interactions after restoration significantly increased due to the installation of gravel islands. Although our results were site specific, it may be assumed that the installation of gravel islands, riffle-pool sequences and other in-stream structures generally improve groundwater-surface water interactions.
Future research should focus on investigating, amongst others, the hydrogeological success of river restorations. Suitable methods for investigating the hydrogeological success in gaining and losing conditions is the PAB approach which applies passive (P) and active (A) Distributed Temperature Sensing (DTS) to a buried (B) fibre-optic cable. Admittedly, it would be impossible to install a fibre-optic cable in or on the streambed of every restored stream. However, an installation in the streambed would only be necessary under losing conditions; in gaining streams a simple installation on the streambed would suffice. These methods could then be employed only in selected case studies to help elucidate which restoration measures improved hydrogeological conditions and under which circumstances. In this way, future restoration projects could be optimized towards cost-effectiveness and efficiency in re-establishing vertical connectivity, which would help to increase the overall effectiveness of river restorations.
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Table 1. Radon-222 activities in Bq m$^{-3}$ in groundwater and surface water samples from the Chriesbach. Samples 4.2 and 5.2 are replicates of samples 4.1 and 5.1, taken 39 days after the first sampling event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>sample location</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Radon-222 activity [Bq m$^{-3}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>restored section, close to stream</td>
<td>groundwater</td>
<td>3482 ± 627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>restored section, close to piezometers</td>
<td>surface water</td>
<td>517 ± 246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>restored section, further away from stream</td>
<td>groundwater</td>
<td>5037 ± 563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>restored section, 0.4 km upstream of investigated area</td>
<td>surface water</td>
<td>411 ± 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90 ± 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>unrestored section, ca. 0.5 km upstream of investigated section</td>
<td>surface water</td>
<td>1103 ± 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47 ± 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>unrestored section, ca. 0.55 km upstream of investigated section</td>
<td>surface water</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Locations of the Chriesbach (top), the Röthenbach (left) and the Urbach (right) in Switzerland.
Figure 2. Air temperature (line diagram, left side) and surface water temperatures (colour plot, right side) of the Chriesbach before river restoration, on 13–15 March 2013. The x axis of the colour plot shows the sections of the fibre-optic cable in meters, the colours represent the surface water temperatures in °C. Thereby, each coloured line on the x axis, from cable section 214 to 501 m, represents one measurement. The y axis states the time of the measurements.
Figure 3. Air temperature (line diagram, left side) and surface water temperatures (colour plot, right side) of the Chriesbach after river restoration, on 28–29 November 2013. The x axis of the colour plot shows the sections of the fibre-optic cable in meters, the colours represent the surface water temperatures in °C. Each coloured line on the x axis, from cable section 241 to 493 m, represents one measurement. The y axis states the time of the measurements.
Figure 4. Air temperature (line diagram, left side) and surface water temperatures (colour plot, right side) of the Urbach on 3 September 2013. The $x$ axis of the colour plot shows the sections of the fibre-optic cable in meters, the colours represent the surface water temperatures in °C. Thereby, each coloured line on the $x$ axis, from cable section 140 to 327 m, represents one measurement. The $y$ axis states the time of the measurements.
Figure 5. Air temperature (line diagram, left side) and surface water temperatures (colour plot, right side) of the Röthenbach on 17–19 February 2014. The $x$ axis of the colour plot shows the sections of the fibre-optic cable in meters, the colours represent the surface water temperatures in $^\circ$C. Thereby, each coloured line on the $x$ axis, from cable section 140 to 325 m, represents one measurement. The $y$ axis states the time of the measurements.
Figure 6. Surface water temperature (line diagram, left side) and streambed temperatures (colour plot, right side) in the Chriesbach streambed at about 0.4 m depth, as measured with a fibre-optic cable installed after river restoration on 3–4 July 2014. The x axis of the colour plot shows the section of the fibre-optic cable in meters, the colours represent the streambed temperatures in °C. Thereby, each coloured line on the x axis, from cable section 40 to 243 m, represents one measurement. The y axis states the time of the measurements.
Figure 7. Cooling rates of cable sections with a temperature between 15.9 and 16.1 °C determined at 3 a.m. on 4 July 2014 in [°C min⁻¹].