Estimation of permafrost thawing rates in a sub-arctic catchment using recession flow analysis
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Abstract

Permafrost thawing is likely to change the flow pathways taken by water as it moves through arctic and sub-arctic landscapes. The location and distribution of these pathways directly influence the carbon and other biogeochemical cycling in northern latitude catchments. Direct observations of permafrost depth are difficult to perform at scales larger than a local scale. Using recession flow analysis, it may be possible to detect and estimate the rate of permafrost thawing based on a long-term streamflow record. We demonstrate the application of this approach to the sub-arctic Abiskojokken catchment in northern Sweden. Based on recession flow analysis, we estimate that permafrost in this catchment may be thawing at an average rate of about 0.9 cm/yr during the past 90 years. This estimated thawing rate is consistent with direct observations of permafrost thawing rates, ranging from 0.7 to 1.3 cm/yr over the past 30 years in the region.

1 Introduction

Significant climate change is occurring in the arctic and sub-arctic (Serreze et al., 2000). Numerous studies have reported on the hydrological manifestations of such change: decreasing depth and duration of snowcover (Brown and Braaten, 1998; Curtis et al., 1998), warming and thawing of the permafrost (Stieglitz et al., 2003; Walvoord and Striegl, 2007; Osterkamp, 2007), and increasing precipitation frequency and amount (McCabe et al., 2001; Walsh, 2000). Climate change is believed to cause an acceleration of the hydrologic cycle in many northern regions seen in increasing freshwater discharge (Peterson et al., 2002) and earlier spring flood peak discharges (Déry et al., 2005). Little is known about how climate change will affect the flow pathways that water takes as it moves through the landscape to the stream. The location and distribution of the hydrological pathways directly influence the biogeochemistry of terrestrial water. It is not known what effect changes in hydrology will have on the carbon
(and other biogeochemical) cycling in northern latitude catchments.

There are, for instance, two possible main effects on the carbon cycling at northern latitudes under the influence of climate change and resulting changes in terrestrial hydrology. Increasing precipitation and surface temperature may lead to increasing runoff from the landscape and increasing freshwater flow in streams (Déry and Wood, 2004). This means that more water may be flowing through the highly organic superficial soils of arctic and sub-arctic systems promoting the transport of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) from the landscape (Dutta et al., 2006). This hypothesis implies a positive feedback on atmospheric CO$_2$ via climate change in northern latitudes because increased DOC levels in streamflow would increase respiration and carbon utilization in surface freshwater systems leading to increases in atmospheric CO$_2$ levels (Jansson et al., 2008). Increasing temperatures may also lead to thawing permafrost that increases the groundwater depth and flow. Increasing groundwater flow through mineral soils may in turn increase weathering which constitutes a sink of atmospheric CO$_2$ and increase the export of dissolved organic carbon (DIC) from the landscape. Depending on the magnitude of CO$_2$ consumption during weathering, this scenario could constitute a negative feedback of climate change on atmospheric CO$_2$ (Smedberg et al., 2006).

A key determinant of the relative magnitude of these two climate feedback possibilities is the re-distribution of the flow pathways of water through the landscape. In many arctic and sub-arctic regions these pathways are largely determined by the depth to the permafrost. Direct observations of depth to permafrost are difficult to perform at scales larger than the local scale. This difficulty leads to a lack of long-term observation records of depth to permafrost. However, it may be possible to infer changes in the effective depth to permafrost (thawing rate) from the hydrologic signature imparted on long-term flow records by the landscape. Recession analysis is a hydrological technique that has been used in many studies to estimate effective, catchment-scale hydrologic parameters (e.g., Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Troch et al., 1993; Parlange et al., 2001; Rupp and Selker, 2006). In a recent study, Brutsaert (2008) applied recession analysis to demonstrate long-term changes in groundwater storage due to climatic
change.

In this study, we use recession flow analysis to investigate the possible permafrost thawing rate in response to climate change in a sub-arctic catchment. Our main hypothesis is that thawing of permafrost extends the depth of the active groundwater system layers in which groundwater flow can occur during the summer season. Using recession flow analysis we can then estimate the extending aquifer thickness, as explained below.

2 Recession flow analysis and theory

Here only a short description of recession flow analysis is given. A thorough and complete discussion of this technique (including discussion of the strengths and weaknesses) is given by Brutsaert (2005, 2008).

Physical considerations based on hydraulic groundwater theory suggest that in many situations of interest the total groundwater storage in a catchment can be approximated as a power function of baseflow rate at the catchment outlet (Brutsaert, 2008):

\[ \frac{dQ}{dt} = -aQ^b \]

where \( dQ/dt \) is the temporal change of the flow rate at the outlet during flow recession and the constants \( a \) and \( b \) give the intercept and slope of a plot of \( dQ/dt \) versus flow \( Q \) in log-log space, respectively. For several well-known solutions of the Boussinesq equation \( a \) can be related to aquifer properties and \( b \) may assume a certain constant values depending on time since the onset of drainage, bedrock slope and reservoir properties (Brutsaert, 2005). In practice, this recession analysis consists of relating the rate of decline of the hydrograph, which is assumed to result solely from groundwater storage (i.e., periods of record when there is no forcing due to rainfall or snowmelt), to observed hydrograph outflow.

It is not easy to determine the value of the constant \( b \) in an objective way due to invariably noisy streamflow observations (Brutsaert, 2008), although conceptually ap-
pealing arguments can be made for some of the theoretical formulations. This being said, accepting a value of $b=1$ implies an exponential decay function for the flow rate (essentially the aquifer responds as a linear reservoir). Analysis of much field data (including those used in this study, see Fig. 2) indicates that in the range of the lowest flows, the flow recession can usually be fitted by an exponential decay function making it the most commonly used function today (Brutsaert, 2008). For the long-time solution of the fundamental harmonic linearized solution to the Bousinessq equation (Brutsaert, 2005), these constants (specifically the intercept) can be related to aquifer properties such that:

$$b = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$a = \pi^2 kpDL^2 (n_e A^2)^{-1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $k$ is the hydraulic conductivity, $p$ is an empirical weighting constant ranging roughly between 0.3 and 0.1 or perhaps even smaller as the water table further declines in the range of lowest flows (Brutsaert, 2008), $D$ is the depth of the aquifer, $L$ is the total length of the channel network, $n_e$ is the drainable porosity, and $A$ is the catchment area.

Typically, $a$ and $b$ in Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively, are assumed to be constant in time as they are dependent on the physical (both geomorphologic and hydrologic) characteristics of the catchment in question (Brutsaert, 2008). If we can assume that there are no changes in the fit of an exponential decay function to describe the flow recession for a catchment (i.e., $b=1$ remains constant), it should be possible to observe changes in the physical characteristics of a catchment by observing changes in the intercept ($a$) of a plot of $dQ/dt$ versus $Q$ over a long period of time.

The question then is what physical characteristics would we expect to change at the timescale of human observation for a given catchment? In arctic and sub-arctic regions containing a permafrost layer, which functions as an impervious layer, the depth of aquifer ($D$) given in Eq. (3) is closely related to the depth to and extent of permafrost. Permafrost thawing should imply increasing $D$, since groundwater flow and aquifer
depth can only be added by the thawing, and there is no physical mechanism involved to decrease the original pre-thawing groundwater flow or depth. Therefore, using long-term flow observations, we should be able to infer changes in the effective depth to permafrost at the catchment scale using Eq. (3). Inherent to this hypothesis is the assumption that there is no change in the geomorphic characteristics (\(L\) and \(A\)) and no (or relatively small) change in the hydrologic characteristics (\(k\) and \(n_e\)) in Eq. (3).

3 Site description and data set

To test the above-described hydrological methodology to detect thawing of permafrost, we apply it to long-term daily streamflow data from the outlet of the sub-arctic Abiskojokken catchment (Fig. 1) located in northern Sweden (68°21′36″ N, 18°46′48″ E) for the period 1918–2007. This streamflow record is available through the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) (Gage ID 957) and is not continuous throughout the whole period; observation was discontinued at this gage from 1956 through 1986. We analyze also long-term daily temperature, precipitation and snow cover data for the Abiskojokken catchment, which are available from the Abisko Scientific Research Station (ANS) for the period 1913–2006.

The Abiskojokken catchment has an area of 566 km\(^2\) and ranges in elevation from about 350 m to 1600 m above sea level. The catchment contains both alpine and subalpine vegetation zones. The alpine region is dominated by heath vegetation mainly as dwarf shrubs and the subalpine zone by birch forest with patches of dwarf shrubs. Wetlands and marshes can also be found in the subalpine zone and at lower altitudes in the alpine zone. Soils at higher altitudes in the alpine zone are thin with common occurrences of exposed bedrock while soils in the low- and mid-alpine zone are generally thicker. The average soil depth in the adjacent headwaters of the river Kalixälven with a similar elevation range has been estimated to be about 1.7 to 5.3 m (Smedberg et al., 2006). Regolith depths in the Abiskojokken catchment can be greater than 30 m (Beylich et al., 2004). The Abiskojokken catchment is in an area of discontinu-
ous permafrost (Johansson et al., 2006) with a patchy distribution generally occurring above 900 m a.s.l. (Kling, 1996). Permafrost in the area has been documented down to a depth of 80 m below surface at high altitudes (Kling, 1996) and exists also at lower elevations (Johansson et al., 2006).

4 Methods

To investigate how the depth and extent of the permafrost and the related active groundwater layers may have changed over time at the catchment scale, we use a five-year moving window analysis to create multiple subsets of recession flow data from the long-term hydrograph observations. Performing the above outlined recession flow analysis on each individual subset of the flow record, we identify changes in the intercept, \( a \), of the \( \frac{dQ}{dt} \) versus \( Q \) plot, which are in turn related to aquifer depth \( D \).

To isolate periods of recession flows resulting solely from groundwater storage, we consider only snow free periods of the flow record (July to September) determined from the snow cover observation record. It is possible that scattered, relatively small patches of snow cover still exist at higher elevations during early July. However, between sublimation, evaporation of ponded water, and root water uptake the influence of melt water from these patches is assumed to do little to influence recession flow. Also, periods of the streamflow record influenced by rainfall are eliminated from the recession analysis by removing days when the hydrograph is rising (presumably in response to rainfall) and three days following each hydrograph peak. Focusing on the summer period further ensures that perched groundwater system exists above the permafrost that contributes shallow subsurface flow to the channel network. In winter this flow pathway is inactive due to frozen soil conditions (see supplementary material: http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/6/63/2009/hessd-6-63-2009-supplement.pdf).
5 Results

Figure 2a shows the fit of Eq. (1) to the plot of $dQ/dt$ versus $Q$ in log-log space for all recession flow data from the 1918–2007 observation record. The fitted slope of $b=1.13$ supports the use of Eqs. (2) and (3) for relating the physical characteristics of the aquifer and catchment to the observed recession flows (as opposed to other solutions – see Brutsaert, 2005). The support remains also when analyzing data from different individual years (Fig. 2b).

Using the five-year moving window of recession flow data over the whole streamflow record, we find a significant ($p<0.05$) positive linear trend in the intercept $a$ for Abiskojokken from 1918 through 2002 (Fig. 3) by fitting Eq. (1) to all points (approach supported by Parlange et al., 2001) and holding $b=1$ constant. The significance of this trend holds also if we use a larger, ten-year moving window for defining the subsets of recession flow data from the whole flow record. Furthermore, we also find a significant ($p<0.05$) positive linear trend in the intercept ($a$) if the power law relation is fitted to a lower envelope of points (approach supported by Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977) (analysis not shown). Owing to the difficulty associated with defining the lower envelope of the cloud of points in a plot of $dQ/dt$ versus $Q$, however, we adopt the results obtained by fitting all points for the remainder of this study. Note that fitting a linear trend over the entire time span of observations (1918–2007) incorporates the period of no observations (1956–1986). Fitting each period of observations separately such that we consider the data from 1918–1956 separate from 1986–2007, the slope of the linear trend for each period (0.0006 and 0.0007 for 1918–1956 and 1986–2007, respectively) is similar to that of the slope of the linear trend fit over the entire time span of observations (0.0006 for 1918–2007).

Looking at the climatic data over this period, there is a positive linear trend (not significant) in annual average temperatures (Fig. 4a). There is a significant ($p<0.05$) positive linear trend in the spring (average from April to June) temperatures (Fig. 4b). Kohler et al. (2006) report an average annual air temperature from 1913 to 2004 of...
−0.6°C for this location. Based on many investigations in Fennoscandia, King (1986) put the boundary between regions of continuous and discontinuous permafrost at approximately the −6°C isotherm and the limit between discontinuous and sporadic permafrost zones at approximately the −1.5°C isotherm of the mean annual air temperature. Active layer thickness is determined primarily by summer conditions while permafrost temperatures reflect changes in mean annual conditions (Serreze et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2006). The positive trends in air temperature along with a long-term average annual air temperature above the limit for maintaining a continuous permafrost layer provides the mechanistic explanation for the permafrost thawing that is indicated by the recession analysis.

For the long-term changes in the hydrologic fluxes for the catchment, there are slight positive linear trends (not significant) in both total annual precipitation (Fig. 5a) and summer (July to September) precipitation (Fig. 5b). With regard to streamflow, there is a positive linear trend (not significant) in both the total annual flow (Fig. 5c) and the non-winter (total from April to November) streamflow (Fig. 5d), and a significant ($p<0.05$) negative linear trend in the winter flow (total from December to March) (Fig. 5e).

6 Discussion and result comparison

The long-term changes in the intercept $a$ (Fig. 3), along with the trends in winter flow and spring temperature, suggest an increasing effective aquifer depth, which may be due to thawing of permafrost within the Abiskojokken catchment. This indication rests on the assumption of no or small changes in other physical catchment characteristics. With respect to the geomorphic characteristics ($L$ and $A$), it is likely they do not change over this period of observation as they evolve at much longer time scales and tend to reach an equilibrium state (Brutsaert, 2008). The assumption of invariance in hydrologic characteristics is likely valid since the common assumption of exponential decay in hydraulic conductivity with soil depth implies that aquifer depth extension below the previous active soil layer or/and below the permafrost layer would lead to relatively
small changes in the depth-average hydraulic conductivity. In addition, cryoturbation processes, which allow for mixing of materials from the soil surface to the bedrock in arctic regions and create generally uniform soil properties with depth, may be active in this catchment.

Several simplifying assumptions go into the application of recession flow analysis and hydraulic groundwater theory as it is presented in this study. For example, evapotranspiration and aquifer-slope are not explicitly considered. However, these assumptions have been shown in other studies to not hinder the method in real-world applications (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Troch et. al., 1993; Brutsaert and Lopez, 1998; Malvicini, 2003). With respect to evapotranspiration, this is likely due to a decoupling of upper unsaturated soil layers from a lower saturated zone (Zecharias and Brutsaert, 1988). There are also the simplifications made adopting a Boussinesq aquifer model with spatially constant effective parameters for representing a real-world catchment. Szilagyi and Parlange (1998) found that increasing watershed complexity had minimal effect on the use of recession flow analysis to estimate hydraulic parameters for synthetic catchments. Other field observations and investigations largely confirm that the simplifications in basin geometry and hydrological processes are not seriously problematic to the real-world applications (Mendoza et al., 2003).

The recession analysis indication that permafrost is thawing in the Abiskojokken catchment can also be directly tested by estimating an average thawing rate from the present analysis results and comparing it with independently reported permafrost thawing rates for this region. Specifically, Åkerman and Johansson (2008) reported rates of permafrost thawing, ranging from 0.7 to 1.3 cm per year, based on 29 years of direct observations in the Abiskojokken region.

To estimate a thawing rate from our recession flow analysis, we first need to know the permafrost and related effective aquifer depth in the catchment at some reference point in time \( t_r \). Since permafrost is discontinuous with a patchy distribution in the landscape, some generalization is required. Åkerman and Malmström (1986) report a depth to permafrost in the catchment of about 1.2 m. We adopt this value as the effective aquifer
depth $D_r$ in the catchment for reference year 1986. Substituting into Eq. (3), we derive an expression for the remaining variables, which are assumed constant in time, using the specific intercept value $a_r$ obtained for the reference time $t_r$, i.e., for year 1986, from the linear intercept trend in Fig. 3. The following expression is then obtained for the rate of change of aquifer depth, $dD/dt$, which should equal the rate of permafrost thawing, if that were the reason for the aquifer depth change:

$$dD/dt = \left(1 - \frac{a}{a_r}\right)D_r \frac{t - t_r}{t_r},$$

(4)

Based on Eq. (4), we estimate an effective permafrost thawing rate of about 0.9 cm/yr for the Abiskojokken catchment. This rate agrees well with the range of independently determined thawing rates reported by Åkerman and Johansson (2008) for this region.

Since the permafrost is uneven in its distribution, with more permafrost commonly found at higher elevations (Kling, 1996; Beylich et al., 2004; Johansson et al., 2006) and less at lower elevations, our thawing rate estimate must be considered an effective rate that generalizes the whole catchment area and is at best an approximation. The lack of documentation of direct permafrost observations from the early parts of the 20th century makes it difficult to test and confirm the total amount of thawing (about 0.9 m over the entire 90-year flow record period) implied by an average effective thawing rate of 0.9 cm/yr. Since permafrost is a result of the cold conditions during the Little Ice Age (c. 1550–1850), the distribution of permafrost in the beginning of the 20th century is likely to have been more extended than it is now. The 20th century has generally experienced a warmer climate than the Little Ice Age with documented decreases in the distribution of permafrost in Sweden between 1960 and 1976 (Zuidhoff and Kolstrup, 2000). Palsas, which are mounds occurring mostly in peat bogs due to the formation of ice lenses, are often regarded as one of or the only reliable surface evidence of permafrost in the discontinuous zone (Åkerman and Malmström, 1986). In general, there has been a decrease in the number and size of palsas across Scandinavia since the 1960s explained by a warming since 1930 (Sollid and Sörbel, 1998; Zuidhoff and Kol-
In one of the earliest observations available in the literature, the botanist Harry Smith observed several palsas in mid-latitude Sweden (62°55’ N, 12°30’ E) during an expedition in 1910 (Smith, 1911). Nihlén (2000) revisited the location of these palsas and noted a decrease in number and size (reduction of about 1 m in height for the largest palsa). This documented disappearance or reduction in size of palsas is a strong indicator of significant permafrost change, and most likely thawing, across Scandinavia.

Since flow records tend to be longer and more continuous than records of permafrost observations, flow analysis in general may be attractive for indirect detection of long-term permafrost changes and thawing. Walvoord and Striegl (2007) cite increased winter flow as an indicator of increased groundwater contribution for catchments in the Yukon. From this, they infer that permafrost is thawing in the region. We observed the opposite trend in winter discharge in a region of observed permafrost thawing (Fig. 5e). Assuming that winter discharge derives from deeper groundwater reservoirs (beneath the permafrost), this indicates such reservoirs receive less input. Since our catchment is underlain by discontinuous permafrost, there is always recharge to this deeper reservoir. The increasing spring temperature (Fig. 4b) may have led to increasing evapotranspiration and a decrease in this recharge leading to an associated decrease in non-winter flows. However, stream flows are the integral products of many different subsystem fluxes within a catchment, of which all may change in a changing climate. This makes it difficult to relate absolute streamflow changes to a specific individual subsystem flow change. An advantage of using the recession flow analysis outlined in this study is that it indicates a process function change (the recession flow intercept), rather than an absolute flow change, and thereby allows detection of permafrost changes independently of the absolute streamflow changes that integrate and thus may mask different subsystem flow changes within a catchment.
7 Concluding remarks

This study of the sub-arctic Abiskojokken catchment in northern Sweden indicates hydrological recession analysis as a useful method for detecting permafrost thawing and its rate. Changes in permafrost should manifest themselves in hydrological function parameters, such as the recession flow intercept, of a catchment. The present approach shows how such parameter change quantifications may be used to infer related changes in effective aquifer and permafrost depth at the support scale of the catchment. Future work is needed to test this approach in other catchments containing permafrost to determine its general applicability across different geomorphologic and climatic settings. In this initial application to the Abiskojokken catchment, however, the specific permafrost thawing and thawing rate results fit well with independent indications and direct rate estimates of permafrost thawing in the region.
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Fig. 1. Site map showing the location of the Abiskojokken catchment with outlet (indicated by a star) at 68°21′36″ N, 18°46′48″ E.
Fig. 2. $Q$ versus $dQ/dt$ in log-log space. The straight line represents Eq. (1) fitted to recession flow analysis from (a) all years (1918–2007) and (b) selected years of flow data from Abiskojokken catchment.
Fig. 3. Time series of the intercept, $a$, from the recession flow analysis with a 5-year moving window and fixed slope of $b=1$ for the Abiskojokken catchment.
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Fig. 4. Time series of (a) average annual temperature and (b) average spring temperature (April to June) for the Abiskojokken catchment.
Fig. 5. Time series of (a) total annual precipitation, (b) total summer precipitation (July to September), (c) total annual streamflow, (d) total non-winter streamflow (April to November), and (e) total winter streamflow (December to March) for the Abiskojokken catchment.