Space-time variability of hydrological drought and wetness in Iran using NCEP/NCAR and GPCC datasets
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Abstract

Space-time variability of hydrological drought and wetness over Iran is investigated using the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis and the Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC) dataset for the common period 1948–2007. The aim is to complement previous studies on the detection of long-term trends in drought/wetness time series and on the applicability of reanalysis data for drought monitoring in Iran. Climatic conditions of the area are assessed through the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) on 24-month time scale, while Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Varimax rotation are used for investigating drought/wetness variability, and drought regionalization, respectively. Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) is applied to the time series of interest to extract the leading nonlinear components and compare them with linear fittings.

Differences in drought and wetness area coverage resulting from the two datasets are discussed also in relation to the change occurred in recent years. NCEP/NCAR and GPCC are in good agreement in identifying four sub-regions as principal spatial modes of drought variability. However, the climate variability in each area is not univocally represented by the two datasets: a good agreement is found for south-eastern and north-western regions, while noticeable discrepancies occur for central and Caspian sea regions. A comparison with NCEP Reanalysis II for the period 1979–2007, seems to exclude that the discrepancies are merely due to the introduction of satellite data into the reanalysis assimilation scheme.

1 Introduction

Drought, a natural and recurring feature of climate, can be considered as one of the most damaging and costly natural hazard due to its negative impacts on society, economy and environment (Pereira et al., 2009). Still nowadays reducing the impacts of this natural phenomenon remains a challenge. This is because usually drought is primarily...
addressed through a crisis management approach when a disaster occurs, rather than stressing preparedness and risk management (Wilhite et al., 2000). Thus, in recent years, both the experiences and the improved knowledge on drought phenomenon are encouraging the latter approach for developing drought plans.

As described by Hayes et al. (2004), the risk-based approach requires the assessment of drought risk and risk management. In assessing drought risk, which can be represented as the product of the hazard and vulnerability of a region (Knutson et al., 1998; Wilhite, 2000), the first step is drought monitoring and the understanding of the spatial extent and temporal variability of drought events, also in relation to a changing climate. However, these kinds of analyses may be easy or difficult tasks depending on the length and availability of reliable climatological data. It is highly desirable, in fact, to have at hand a dataset that: (i) is easy to access, (ii) uniformly covers the area of interest, (iii) has a time-duration sufficiently long to be trustworthy in a statistical sense and (iv) is suitable for capturing both dry and wet events (Bordi et al., 2006; Rossi, 2003). Most of the available datasets fulfil one or more of these requirements, but usually not all of them.

For this reason, always more frequently, reanalysis data are used for drought studies, especially the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) product that is continually updated from 1948 (Kalnay et al., 1996). Though the NCEP/NCAR dataset meets the above fundamental requirements for an analysis of drought at large-scale, a comparison with observations is recommended to check reliability. Motivations are basically three. First of all, precipitation, which is the basic variable for drought assessment, is not a primary variable of the reanalysis product (i.e., precipitation observations are not assimilated into the reanalysis model), but it is given by short-range model forecasts. Secondly, although the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis system remained essentially unchanged during the more than 60 years processed (apart minor corrections provided after some errors’ detection), there were two major changes in the observing system. One took place during 1948–1957, when the upper-air network was established, and the other in 1979 when
the global operational use of satellite soundings was introduced (Kistler et al., 2001). Thirdly, the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis provides data with a coarse resolution (for example, precipitation is given on a regular grid of about 2 degrees in latitude and longitude), which is a suitable resolution for large-scale studies but it might be unable to represent synoptic features that are of some interest for regional studies.

In performing a comparison with the observations, there are two alternatives: grid-ded data based on observations and in situ measurements of the variable of interest (for example, rain-gauge data for precipitation). The Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC) has produced and released several products, among them the Full Data Reanalysis Product Version 4 consisting of global land surface monthly precipitation at different spatial resolutions. The analysis is based on all stations, near real-time and non real-time, and covers the period 1901–2007 (Schneider et al., 2008). These data satisfy the criteria described above and, therefore, may be used to assess dry/wet periods over the globe for approximately the last hundred years.

Based on these grounds, recently, Raziei et al. (2010) provided an application of NCEP/NCAR, GPCC and observation data for drought analysis in Iran. In that study, the common period 1951–2005 was considered and the space-time variability of hydrological drought across the country was investigated through the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) computed on 12-month time scale. The main finding was that the three datasets were in good agreement in identifying four sub-regions of climate variability. However, the time behaviour of drought within each region was not univocally represented by the three datasets. In particular, it was found a good agreement between GPCC and observations, while several discrepancies were noted with NCEP/NCAR data, especially in central Iran for periods before the mid seventies. Thus, GPCC dataset appeared to be a useful tool to complement the information provided by rain-gauge observations.

Furthermore, Bordi et al. (2009) provided an updated (1948–2009) analysis of drought variability at large-scale (European sector) using NCEP/NCAR precipitation data as input for the computation of the SPI on 24-month time scale. The authors
analysed the linear and nonlinear trends in drought and wetness, highlighting a change in the tendency of the SPI series in recent years.

Motivated by these recent studies, which demonstrated the need of further investigations, an application of NCEP/NCAR and GPCC data for monitoring hydrological drought and wetness in Iran is here proposed. The aim is to complement previous studies and check the reliability of NCEP reanalysis against gridded data. This is an important task because the lack of updated and reliable meteorological data is still the major limitation in establishing a drought monitoring system in many developing countries like Iran. On one hand, in Iran the organizations responsible for collecting meteorological data delay 3 to 5 years in publishing the updated data, and many station's records have substantial gaps leading to low quality and in-homogeneity problems. Also, the shortness of climatological records represents a limitation (time series from 1951 up to present are very limited and sparsely distributed across the country). On the other hand, the frequent dry periods and the increasing water demands for an ever-growing population, as well as for industry and economic development, including irrigation, aggravate water scarcity and make difficult a rationale water management (Raziei et al., 2009). Thus, it is highly desirable to have an updated and reliable dataset for Iran, and NCEP/NCAR product might represent a useful tool for drought monitoring purposes.

In the present paper, the analysis is focused on hydrological drought and wetness variability during the period 1948–2007. As in previous studies, the SPI is computed for drought characterization and the 24-month time scale is considered for analyzing its hydrological aspects. The index, widely used in drought monitoring centres and in several drought studies (see for example Bordi et al., 2001; Lloyd-Hughes and Saunders, 2002; Tsakiris and Vangelis, 2004; Bordi and Sutera, 2004; Vicente-Serrano, 2006), is based only on precipitation. It is a useful tool for capturing the climate variability associated with water shortage or surplus in different areas, and has several advantages (Keyantash and Dracup, 2002). Former applications of the SPI for assessing drought variability in western Iran proved to be appropriate (Raziei et al., 2009). In analyzing
the spatial and temporal variability of drought across Iran, the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Varimax rotation are applied to the SPI field. Furthermore, the Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA) of the time series of interest (that is, the area coverage of dry/wet events, and the first principal component score of the SPI field) is used to extract the leading nonlinear components.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Data

Data used for the study are monthly precipitation of NCEP/NCAR reanalysis and GPCC Full Data Product Version 4 for the common period 1948–2007. Only grid points representative of Iran have been considered for the analysis (Fig. 1).

NCEP/NCAR monthly precipitation rates are available from 1948 to present on a regular grid of about 1.9° × 1.9° in longitude and latitude. Such precipitation data have been derived from the primary meteorological fields of the NCEP medium range forecasting spectral model with 28 “sigma” vertical levels and a triangular truncation of 62 waves, equivalent to about 210-km horizontal resolution. The model is based on the assimilation of a set of observations, such as land surface, ship, rawinsonde, aircraft and satellite data (Kalnay et al., 1996). These data were quality controlled and assimilated with a data assimilation system kept unchanged over the reanalysis period. Though precipitation is not directly assimilated, but derived completely from the model 6-h forecast, its midlatitude large-scale features have been compared favourably with observations and several climatologies (Janowiak et al., 1998; Trenberth and Guillemot, 1998).

The GPCC Full Data Product Version 4, updated in September 2008, is a gauge-based gridded monthly precipitation dataset for the global land surface, available in spatial resolutions of 0.5° × 0.5°, 1.0° × 1.0° and 2.5° × 2.5° geographical longitude by latitude. The dataset covers the period from 1901 to 2007 and is based on both
non real-time and real-time stations (Schneider et al., 2008). Several quality controls have been applied before gridding (Beck et al., 2005). In the present study, the 2.5° longitude-latitude resolution has been considered.

Moreover, monthly precipitation rates from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/Department of Energy Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project II (NCEP/DOE AMIP II) reanalysis (hereafter NCEP Reanalysis II) have been considered for additional investigations. NCEP Reanalysis II (Kanamitsu et al., 2002) was developed to improve NCEP/NCAR reanalysis by fixing the errors and updating the parameterizations of the physical processes. It is available from January 1979 to December 2009 and has the same spatial resolution of NCEP/NCAR reanalysis making comparison easy.

2.2 Methods

In order to assess hydrological drought/wetness conditions over Iran, the SPI on 24-month time scale has been computed for NCEP/NCAR and GPCC datasets for the common period 1948–2007. The SPI is based on the precipitation field alone and its computation for any location is based on the long-term precipitation record accumulated over the selected time scale. The long-term record is fitted to a probability distribution, usually a Gamma distribution, which is then transformed through an equal-probability transformation into a normal distribution (see for example Bordi and Sutera, 2004, and references therein). The index is a useful tool for monitoring the different kinds of drought and comparing climate conditions of areas governed by different hydrological regimes. Also, the SPI, due to its standardization, allows monitoring wet events as well.

To capture the patterns of drought/wetness co-variability at different grid points, the PCA (Rencher, 1998) has been applied to the SPI time series of NCEP/NCAR and GPCC. The method consists in computing the covariance matrix of the SPI data with the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The projection of the SPI fields onto the orthonormal eigenfunctions provides the principal component (PC) score time
series. We recall that the spatial patterns (eigenvectors), properly normalized (divided by their Euclidean norm and multiplied by the square root of the corresponding eigenvalues), are called “loadings”; they represent the correlation between the original data (in our case, the SPI time series at single grid points) and the corresponding principal component time series.

Localized spatial patterns of variability have been identified applying the Varimax rotation to the loadings. This method allows finding areas within the region that have rather independent climate variability, i.e. the rotated PC scores are temporally orthogonal (Rencher, 1998). Following the rule by North et al. (1982), the sampling errors of the eigenvalues associated with principal components have been estimated and the number of loadings to retain for rotation has been established.

Finally, following Bordi et al. (2009), both the linear trends and the leading nonlinear components in the time series of interest (i.e., the area extent of drought and wetness, and the principal component time series of SPI) have been considered. The long-term linear trend has been computed by applying the least-squared method to fit a linear model to the time series, while the leading nonlinear components have been extracted using the Singular Spectral Analysis (SSA). SSA, is a nonparametric spectral estimation method based on embedding a time series \( X(t): t=1, N \) in a vector space of dimension \( M \). It consists in diagonalizing the \( M \times M \) lag-covariance matrix \( C_X \) of \( X(t) \) to obtain spectral information on the time series (Vautard and Ghil, 1989; Fraedrich, 1986). The \( M \) eigenvectors \( E_k \) of the lag-covariance \( C_X \) are called temporal empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs), while projections of the time series onto each eigenvector yield the corresponding temporal principal component (PCs), \( A_k \). The entire time series or part of it that corresponds to a trend, oscillatory mode or noise can be reconstructed by using linear combinations of PCs and EOFs, as:

\[
R_k(t) = \frac{1}{M_t} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j=L_t}^{U_t} A_k(t - j + 1) E_k(j),
\]

where \( K \) is the set of EOFs on which the reconstruction is based, and \( M_t \) is a
normalization factor (Ghil et al., 2002). In our case, the signal has been reconstructed considering only the leading component by selecting a window length of $M = 70$ months (i.e., about $1/10$th of the time series) because it provides statistically meaningful estimates of the largest resolvable fluctuation period. Note that the conventional PCA or EOF analysis is a special case with $M = 1$.

3 Results

3.1 Spatial extent and trend of hydrological drought and wetness

The spatial extent of hydrological drought and wetness across Iran has been estimated by computing the percentage of grid points that are in dry and wet conditions, say $A_d$ and $A_w$, for each month of the time record. According to the SPI classification (see Table 1 in Bordi and Sutera, 2004), let us consider drought occurrence identified by $\text{SPI} < -1$ and wet events occurrence by $\text{SPI} > 1$. Figure 2a, b and c, d shows $A_d$ and $A_w$, respectively, as a function of time for the two datasets. Black straight lines are the fitting linear trends, while red curves denote reconstructions of $A_d$ and $A_w$ using only the leading SSA principal component. The correlation coefficients between the time series of $A_d$ and $A_w$ for the two datasets are shown in Table 1, as well as the $R^2$ statistics of the linear and nonlinear fittings.

For the spatial extent of drought, $A_d$, it seems that there is a satisfactory agreement between NCEP/NCAR and GPCC (correlation coefficient 0.66). The NCEP/NCAR dataset shows an increasing area interested by drought events, while in the last six years or so there is a decrease. This latter feature, which is common to the two datasets, is in agreement with the recent investigations on long-term trends in drought signals when an update is taken into account (Bordi et al., 2009). However, the linear trend unveiled in NCEP/NCAR data does not characterize $A_d$ time series computed using GPCC. This is mainly due to the differences occurring in the time section 1960–1975 that affect the slope of the linear fitting, i.e. a greater percentage of area interested
by drought is captured by GPCC with respect to NCEP/NCAR, even if differences are noticeable also in the last two decades. In both cases, the nonlinear fittings of $A_d$ seem to better represent the time evolution of the areas extent by capturing the long-term fluctuations (see the $R^2$ statistics in Table 1).

For what concerns $A_w$, major discrepancies are found between NCEP/NCAR and GPCC (correlation coefficient 0.44). The NCEP/NCAR shows a general decrease of the area covered by wet events as shown by the linear fitting in Fig. 2c. On the contrary, a weak linear trend, not statistically significant, characterizes GPCC dataset. In this case, it seems that the discrepancies occurring during the period 1990–2000 mostly affect the long-term trend, i.e. a greater percentage of area covered by wet events is captured by GPCC with respect to NCEP/NCAR. It is worth to notice the hint of an increasing $A_w$ during the last six years of the time record in GPCC, as found by Bordi et al. (2009) for the European area. Such a change is not well captured by NCEP/NCAR that in the latest years reveals near normal conditions. Even for $A_w$ the nonlinear reconstructions using the first SSA principal component appear to be more appropriate with respect to the linear fittings in describing the long-term variability (see Table 1).

3.2 Space-time variability of hydrological drought and wetness

Previous analysis is complemented by the PCA of the SPI field for the two datasets to obtain the leading spatial pattern (loadings) and the associated temporal behaviour (PC scores) of drought/wetness across Iran. In finding more localized spatial patterns of variability the Varimax rotation to the loadings is applied. Based on the scree plot and the North’s rule of thumb (North et al., 1982), for each dataset the first four loadings for Varimax rotation have been selected.
3.2.1 Principal component analysis of the SPI field

In Fig. 3a, b the first spatial patterns (Loading 1) for each dataset are shown. The percentage of variance explained by the first loading is 47.0% and 45.3% for NCEP/NCAR and GPCC, respectively. A cursory look of the figure suggests that there is a satisfactory agreement between the two datasets: high positive loading values characterize most of the area considered, in particular central-southern Iran. This means that SPI series have almost everywhere high positive correlations with the corresponding PC-1 scores time series, which are shown in Fig. 4. The figure suggests that, while PC-1 from NCEP is characterized by a long-term linear trend that explains about 54% of the variance of the signal, that from GPCC does not (see Table 2). Moreover, it seems that this difference is mainly due, as referred before for the area extent, to the discrepancies occurring roughly during the decades 1960–1975 and 1990–2000. Such differences affect substantially both the linear fittings and the nonlinear behaviours extracted by SSA. To be pointed out is the hint of a change occurred after the year 2000 towards PC-1 values close to −1 (NCEP) or +1 (GPCC), which suggests a tendency towards near normal conditions in recent years as discussed by Bordi et al. (2009). However, the overall agreement between the two PC time series is low as represented by the correlation coefficient of 0.47 (Table 2).

Thus, results so far obtained suggest that while the two datasets agree in identifying the same leading spatial mode of drought variability, they differ in the associated time behaviours of SPI series.

3.2.2 Drought regionalization

In evaluating the effect of these discrepancies on drought regionalization, the Varimax rotation to the first four loadings has been applied and the associated rotated PC scores time series (RPC) for the two datasets have been computed. Results for rotated loadings (R-Loadings) are illustrated in Fig. 5, while those for RPCs in Fig. 6. The percentages of the total variance explained by the un-rotated and rotated loadings
Figure 5a–d refers to the spatial patterns obtained for NCEP/NCAR dataset. The first R-Loading has high positive values on grid points located in central-western area, while R-Loading 2 is characterized by high positive values in south-eastern Iran. R-Loading 3 shows positive values greater than 0.6 in north-western Iran, and R-Loading 4 identifies an area in the north of the country, close to the Caspian sea, as a distinctive sub-region with positive values. As shown in Fig. 5e–h, GPCC dataset identifies similar spatial patterns of variability. Results for the two different sources of data are therefore coherent. Note that, in both cases, the fourth rotated loading explains a small percentage of the total variance (about 8–9%), suggesting that we must be cautious in considering that as a sub-region of drought variability. Thus, the robustness of the northern sub-region should be checked using longer time records because the time variability of the SPI there is not well captured by the corresponding rotated PC score. This feature should be analyzed further using GPCC with higher resolution or/and a denser rain-gauge network.

In Fig. 6a–d the time series of the rotated PC scores for NCEP/NCAR are shown and compared with the ones associated with the same sub-regions obtained for GPCC. Their correlation coefficients are listed in Table 4. The comparison between NCEP/NCAR and GPCC suggests that there is a satisfactory agreement between the RPCs associated with the southern and north-western sub-regions (Fig. 6b, c) with correlation coefficients 0.72 and 0.60, respectively. Noticeable discrepancies occur, instead, between the RPCs associated with the central and northern sub-regions (Fig. 6a, d). In particular, major differences emerge for the periods before 1955, 1960–1970, 1992–1998 and 2002–2007 in Fig. 6a, while before 1960 and in many time sections throughout the record in Fig. 6d.

Furthermore, both NCEP and GPCC capture a linear trend (here not shown) towards negative values (dry periods) in the RPC scores associated with the north-western region (Fig. 6c): the fitting linear trend for NCEP accounts for about 31% of variability, while that for GPCC 12%. Even for the central sub-region (Fig. 6a) a linear trend is
detectable, which is towards negative values for NCEP, accounting for about 42% of the RPC score variability, while it is towards positive values for GPCC and explains about 9% of variability.

Summarizing, NCEP/NCAR and GPCC datasets are in good agreement in identifying the following four sub-regions as the principal spatial modes of drought variability: north-western, northern, central-western and south-eastern areas. These regions have different and independent time variability that is not univocally represented by the two datasets. These results are in agreement with those found by Raziei et al. (2010) for the shorter period 1951–2005 and for the SPI on 12-month time scale. In particular, the identified sub-regions are almost the same, suggesting that they are stable with respect to the time scales considered, and the major discrepancies are found in RPCs time series associated with the central and Caspian sea regions.

### 3.3 SPI correlation analysis

As noted before, NCEP RPCs associated with north-western and south-eastern regions show satisfactory correlations with the counterpart RPCs of GPCC, while very low correlations are found for the other two sub-regions, i.e. northern and central-western regions. Since noticeable differences are found for the period before the mid seventies, and it is known that in 1979 there was a change in the NCEP/NCAR assimilation scheme due to the introduction of satellite data that might affect long-term trends (Kistler et al., 2001), the correlation maps of the SPI between the two datasets for different time sections have been performed. In addition, the SPI computed using NCEP Reanalysis II for the period 1979–2007 has been considered.

Correlation coefficients have been computed after gridding the SPI data on the common grid $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ in latitude and longitude. Moreover, in avoiding any change due to the different calibration periods in the computation of the SPI, the index time series have been re-computed by considering the time section of interest. Results are shown in Fig. 7.
By considering the whole period 1948–2007, correlation coefficients between the SPI series for NCEP/NCAR and GPCC (Fig. 7a) show the higher values (between 0.6 and 0.8) in south-eastern region, while values between 0.4 and 0.6 characterize the north-western area. Major discrepancies (correlation coefficients close to zero or slightly negative) occur in the central region characterized in the west by the high orography (Zagros mountains) and in the east by the semi-arid plateau (see Fig. 1). This suggests that a good agreement between NCEP/NCAR and GPCC is limited to the southern sub-region, as demonstrated by Fig. 6b.

In Fig. 7b the time section 1951–2005 has been considered just for comparison with the results obtained by Raziei et al. (2010) for the SPI on 12-month time scale computed using NCEP/NCAR and rain-gauge observations (their Fig. 4a). In our case, NCEP/NCAR and GPCC show a good agreement in southern and north-western regions as in Fig. 7a. This result confirms that of Raziei et al. (2010) if we take into account the coarse spatial resolution of rain-gauge observations and the different time scale used for the SPI computation, i.e., central regions remain bad represented by the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis.

Finally, Fig. 7c and d show the SPI correlation maps between NCEP/NCAR and GPCC, and NCEP Reanalysis II and GPCC, respectively, for the common period 1979–2007. This period was selected to investigate the possible impact of satellite data used in the NCEP assimilation scheme on drought assessment through the SPI. Results suggest that, while there are no improvements in the southern and northern regions, a better agreement is obtained in the central region, even if this region remains not well represented by NCEP Reanalysis II with respect to GPCC.

Thus, in view of these results, the following considerations can be made:

1. In southern Iran, SPI time series computed using NCEP/NCAR and GPCC for the period 1948–2007 are in good agreement showing correlations between 0.6 and 0.8. A satisfactory agreement is found in the north-western region (correlation coefficient between 0.4 and 0.6), while noticeable discrepancies are found in central Iran;
2. By considering the shorter period 1979–2007, the overall correlation between SPI from NCEP/NCAR and GPCC increases, even if the central region remains not well represented by the reanalysis dataset;

3. NCEP Reanalysis II seems to provide an improvement of the correlation with GPCC SPI. However, several discrepancies remain in central Iran, suggesting that they cannot be ascribed only to the introduction of satellite data into the reanalysis assimilation system;

4. The origin of the discrepancies between NCEP/NCAR (including NCEP Reanalysis II) and GPCC remains an open question. It may be related to orographic effects on precipitation that are not well captured by the reanalysis model. Central Iran, in fact, is characterized by Zagros chain and by a vast area situated on the lee side of mountains. Thus, caution must be used in assessing drought variability in central Iran using NCEP/NCAR reanalysis and additional checks with other sources of data are suggested.

4 Conclusions

In the present paper an analysis of hydrological drought and wetness variability in Iran during the period 1948–2007 is presented using both NCEP/NCAR reanalysis and GPCC dataset. The SPI on 24-month time scale is used to assess drought and wetness conditions.

The analysis of the area covered by dry events ($A_d$) as a function of time shows for the NCEP/NCAR an increasing linear trend and a change in the latest years characterized by a rapid decrease of the area extension. The latter feature, more pronounced in GPCC dataset, is in agreement with the recent investigations on long-term trends in drought signals when an update is taken into account for a continental-scale study at Europe (Bordi et al., 2009). However, the area extension for GPCC does not show
a clear tendency towards an increase; it seems that this is mainly due to the discrepancies occurred during the period 1960–1975 when a greater percentage of area interested by drought is captured by GPCC with respect to NCEP/NCAR.

For what concerns the area covered by wet events ($A_w$), while NCEP/NCAR shows an overall decrease as a function of time, GPCC does not. This is mainly due to the discrepancies occurred roughly during the period 1990–2000, i.e. a greater percentage of area covered by wet events is captured by GPCC with respect to NCEP/NCAR. It is worth to notice the hint of an increasing $A_w$ during the last six years of the time record in GPCC, which is in agreement with the results found by Bordi et al. (2009). Such a change is not well captured by NCEP/NCAR data that in the latest years reveals near normal conditions.

In all cases, the nonlinear fittings of $A_d$ and $A_w$ through SSA seem to properly represent the time evolution of the areas extent by capturing the long-term fluctuations. The linear fittings highly depend on the time section considered, thus their interpretation and statistics have a relative meaning. Classical statistics used in hydrology, in fact, must be revised under the hypothesis of a varying climate (see Koutsoyiannis, 2003, and references therein). Here, monotonic trends are presented just to unveil the overall long-term tendency of drought/wetness signals and for comparisons with the previous study by Bordi et al. (2009).

The PCA of the SPI field computed with NCEP/NCAR and GPCC data provided the leading spatial pattern and the associated temporal behaviour of drought/wetness across Iran. It is found that while there is a good agreement between the first loadings of the two datasets, the first PC scores have several discrepancies. The same holds when a Varimax rotation is applied to the first four loadings for regionalization purposes: the two datasets agree in identifying four sub-regions as the principal spatial modes of drought variability, but the SPI time behaviours in these areas are not univocally represented by the two datasets. In particular, very good and satisfactory agreements are found for the southern and north-western sub-regions, respectively. On the contrary, several discrepancies are noted for the central and northern regions. The RPC
scores for the central sub-region show major differences before the mid seventies and in recent years, while those for the northern region show differences in several periods throughout the time record. However, the northern sub-region, identified by the fourth rotated loadings, explains a small percentage of variance (8–9%), suggesting that its robustness must be checked against a longer time record and/or dense rain-gauge observations.

The correlation analysis between the SPI time series of NCEP/NCAR (including NCEP Reanalysis II) and GPCC for different time sections suggests that the differences unveiled in central Iran cannot be related only to the change in the NCEP assimilation scheme occurred in 1979 when satellite data have been introduced. The origin of these discrepancies remains not clear. It may be related to the orographic effects on precipitation field that are not well captured by the reanalysis model. Since precipitation is mainly controlled by baroclinic instability and its modification under orographic forcing, complementary studies should be done on orographic-baroclinic instability processes and their role in determining the climate variability of central Iran (Bordi et al., 2002, 2004).

Concluding, we may argue that in assessing hydrological drought and wetness variability over Iran, NCEP/NCAR compares favourably with GPCC in southern and north-western regions, while in the central region reanalysis data must be used with caution. However, it should be noted that the global coverage per month of GPCC data varies along the time section, and the spatial distribution of Iranian stations is quite uniform with the exception of central Iran (Schneider et al., 2008). These features, despite the several quality controls applied, might lead to some inconsistencies in time and space. Thus, in drawing any conclusive statement, additional analyses using different sources of data are recommended.
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Table 1. Correlation coefficients between $A_d$ ($A_w$) for the two datasets NCEP/NCAR and GPCC (first two columns), and $R^2$ of the linear and nonlinear fittings of $A_d$ and $A_w$ (last three columns).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time series</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Time series</th>
<th>$R^2$ of linear fit (%)</th>
<th>$R^2$ of nonlinear fit (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_d$ NCEP and $A_d$ GPCC</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>$A_d$ NCEP</td>
<td>36.77</td>
<td>50.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$A_d$ GPCC</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>31.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_w$ NCEP and $A_w$ GPCC</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>$A_w$ NCEP</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>67.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$A_w$ GPCC</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>33.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Correlation coefficient between PC-1 of SPI for the two datasets NCEP/NCAR and GPCC (first two columns), and $R^2$ of the linear and nonlinear fittings of PC-1 (last three columns).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time series</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Time series</th>
<th>$R^2$ of linear fit (%)</th>
<th>$R^2$ of nonlinear fit (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC-1 NCEP and PC-1 GPCC</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>PC-1 NCEP</td>
<td>53.68</td>
<td>71.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PC-1 GPCC</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>40.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Percentages of the explained variances of un-rotated and Varimax rotated PCs of SPI for NCEP/NCAR and GPCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PC</th>
<th>NCEP/NCAR Un-Rotated (%)</th>
<th>NCEP/NCAR Varimax Rotated (%)</th>
<th>GPCC Un-Rotated (%)</th>
<th>GPCC Varimax Rotated (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC-1</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Correlation coefficients between RPCs associated with the same sub-regions for NCEP/NCAR and GPCC datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPCs</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPC1 NCEP – RPC2 GPCC</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC2 NCEP – RPC1 GPCC</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC3 NCEP – RPC3 GPCC</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC4 NCEP – RPC4 GPCC</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Map of Iran with orography. Red bullets are NCEP/NCAR grid points and blue bullets GPCC grid points.
Fig. 2. Time behaviour of $A_d$ and $A_w$ from December 1949 to December 2007 for the SPI on 24-month time scale computed using NCEP/NCAR and GPCC data: (a–b) dryness, and (c–d) wetness. Straight black lines denote the fitting linear trends, while red lines are the reconstructions of $A_d$ and $A_w$ using only the leading SSA principal component. Units are %.
Fig. 3. Principal component analysis of the SPI field. First loading, i.e. the first spatial pattern properly normalized that represents the correlations between the corresponding PC score and the SPI time series, for: (a) NCEP/NCAR, (b) GPCC.
Fig. 4. Principal component analysis of the SPI field. First principal component score time series (PC-1) for: (a) NCEP/NCAR, (b) GPCC. Straight lines denote the fitting linear trends, while red lines the reconstructed PC-1 time series using only the leading SSA component.
Fig. 5. The four Varimax rotated loadings of the SPI field for: (a–d) NCEP/NCAR, (e–h) GPCC.
Fig. 6. First four rotated PC score time series (RPCs) associated with the same sub-regions for NCEP/NCAR (black lines) and GPCC (red lines) datasets for the common period 1948–2007.
Fig. 7. SPI correlation maps for different time sections and datasets: (a) NCEP/NCAR and GPCC for the period 1948–2007, (b) NCEP/NCAR and GPCC for the period 1951–2005, (c) NCEP/NCAR and GPCC for the period 1979–2007, and (d) NCEP Reanalysis II and GPCC for the period 1979–2007.