The response of Iberian rivers to the North Atlantic Oscillation
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Abstract

In this study we analyzed the influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) on the streamflow in 187 sub-basins of the Iberian Peninsula. Monthly and one-month lagged correlations were conducted to assess the spatio-temporal extent of the NAO influence on Iberian river discharges. Analysis of the persistence of the winter NAO throughout the year was also undertaken, together with analysis of streamflow anomalies during positive and negative NAO phases. Moving-window correlation analyses were conducted to assess potential changes in the temporal evolution of the NAO influence on Iberian streamflows. The results show that the NAO has a large impact on surface water resources throughout the Iberian Peninsula during winter, and in the Atlantic watershed during autumn. We showed that water resources management and snowmelt are causing the persistent dependence of streamflows on the previous winter NAO. We found that strongly positive streamflow anomalies occurred during winter, especially in the Atlantic watershed, and provide evidence of non-stationarity and spatial variability in the NAO influence on Iberian water resources.

1 Introduction

Numerous socioeconomic activities and ecological processes depend on the availability of adequate water resources. The demands for water by agriculture, tourism and industry are generally increasing, and this is resulting in extensive research into the processes that explain the variability of climate and river flows (Bower et al., 2004; Kingston et al., 2006a). In addition to basin physiography, climate is the main factor explaining water yield (Krasovskaia et al., 1994), and consequently the study of climate forcing of surface water resources has become a key issue in hydrological research. In addition, study of the meteorological-hydrological relationships resulting from synoptic climatic patterns has been suggested to be important for understanding and predicting the behavior of river flows (e.g. Stahl and Demuth, 1999; Wilby, 2001; Bierkens and Van...
The interactions between river flow and low-frequency climate patterns have been studied in various hydrological systems worldwide. For example, studies have investigated the use of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in forecasting river discharge variability in South America (Camilloni and Barros, 2000; Barros et al., 2004), the United States (Barlow et al., 2001; Bradbury et al., 2002; Brito-Castillo et al., 2003), Canada (Burn, 2008), India (Maity and Kumar, 2009), China (Xue et al., 2011) and elsewhere worldwide (Ward et al., 2010).

Several studies have demonstrated the occurrence of teleconnection patterns affecting the European climate, particularly in winter. These include the Eastern Mediterranean Teleconnection pattern (Hatzaki et al., 2007) and the Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMOi) (Martín-Vide and López-Bustins, 2006), which affect precipitation in the Mediterranean region. The dominant synoptic pattern in the European spatial context is the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Hurrell, 1995; Hurrell and Van Loon, 1997). In recent years there has been intensive study of the influence of the NAO on precipitation (Hurrell and Van-Loon, 1997; Rodríguez-Puebla et al., 1998; Uvo, 2003; Muñoz-Díaz and Rodrigo, 2004; López-Moreno and Vicente-Serrano, 2008), and its consequent effects on surface hydrology (Shorthouse and Arnell, 1997; Cullen et al., 2002; Rimbu et al., 2002; Kalayci and Kahya, 2006; López-Moreno et al., 2007; Massei et al., 2009; Morán-Tejeda et al., 2011).

The NAO is a circulation mode consisting of a north-south pressure dipole between temperate and high latitudes over the Atlantic Ocean (Hurrell, 1995; Hurrell et al., 2003). The largest amplitudes of NAO anomalies occur particularly during winter (Hurrell and Van-Loon, 1997), when the NAO controls the direction of the wind fields and the interactions between air masses in the North Atlantic region, which influences the weather and hydrology of the region (Hurrell et al., 2003; Kingston et al., 2006b). During positive NAO winters stronger than average westerly winds occur across middle latitudes of the Atlantic region, and intensification of the storm tracks towards northern Europe is common (Rodwell et al., 2002; Trigo et al., 2002). This synoptic configuration generates wetter (drier) winters in northern (southern) Europe, whereas negative
NAO phases have the opposite effect (Visbeck et al., 2001). Consequently, these patterns could affect the dynamics of European surface water resources, and their effects on surface hydrology are being widely explored. Streamflows in northern European rivers are generally positively correlated with the NAO, whereas streamflows in central and southern rivers show the opposite relationship. A positive and significant relationship \( (r > 0.6) \) was found during winter in Scandinavia (Shorthouse and Arnell, 1997), and similar values were found for river basins in Britain (Philips et al., 2003; Lavers et al. (2010). A significant negative relationship \( (r = -0.75) \) was reported by Rimbu et al. (2002) for the Danube River in central Europe, and moderate negative correlations \( (r \approx -0.4) \) have been reported (Pekarova and Pekar, 2004) for various sites in the Hron basin, Slovakia.

In the Mediterranean region a negative relationship between the NAO and river discharges has been found in the Middle East (Cullen and DeMenocal, 2000; Cullen et al., 2002) and in Turkey (Karabörk et al., 2005; Kalayci and Kahya, 2006), where lake levels have also been related to the NAO (Küçük et al., 2009). Within the Iberian Peninsula (hereafter IP) the influence of the NAO on river discharges has been analyzed in studies of various basins (López-Moreno et al., 2007; Trigo et al., 2004; Morán-Tejeda et al., 2011), where reasonably high correlations have been found between the NAO and streamflows, particularly in winter. Nevertheless, there remain gaps in understanding of the nature of this relationship and its spatio-temporal variability, as recent studies (Beranová and Huth, 2008; Vicente-Serrano and López-Moreno, 2008) have shown a non-stationary influence of the NAO on the climate in Europe. Moreover, no studies have considered the IP as a whole, which is necessary to gain an understanding of the spatio-temporal dynamics of the relationship.

Water management in the IP is difficult because of the great variability of seasonal and annual flows (Iglesias et al., 2005; Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2010). This is a consequence of the high spatio-temporal variability of precipitation (Esteban-Parra et al., 1998; González-Hidalgo et al., 2001, 2009, 2010), which has raised research interest in using the NAO to forecast streamflow variability in the IP, and applying it in water
management planning. Furthermore, the ability to predict water resources availability based on the lagged response of streamflows to the NAO (Trigo et al., 2004; López-Moreno et al., 2007) suggests this as a promising tool for optimizing water management in the IP. However, the non-stationary relationship between climate and the NAO in the Northern Hemisphere (Beranova and Huth, 2008; Vicente-Serrano and López-Moreno, 2008) must be further assessed and understood to advance the use of the NAO for predicting available water resources in particular basins.

This study addressed the research gap in understanding of the impacts of the NAO on streamflow variability in the IP as a whole, as analyses to date have been restricted to individual basins. We investigated the influence of the NAO on river discharges in 187 sub-basins belonging to 11 major basins within the IP. The objectives of the analysis were: (i) to quantify the impact of the NAO on streamflows over time; (ii) to establish the spatial distribution of this impact among different basins within the IP; (iii) to assess the persistence of the winter NAO influence on streamflows throughout the year; (iv) to quantify the streamflow anomalies occurring during extreme NAO phases, and in basins along the spatial gradient from west to east; and (v) to assess whether the NAO influence on surface water resources was stable or changed during the study period.

2 Study area

The IP encompasses 583,254 km² and has very contrasting and uneven relief. The mountain ranges of the IP are mainly distributed from west to east, and in some cases reach altitudes of approximately 3000 m a.s.l., resulting in the IP region having the second highest mean altitude (637 m a.s.l.) in western Europe. These ranges have conditioned the river network and the spatial configuration of the major basins for rivers flowing towards the Atlantic Ocean (the Miño, Duero, Tajo, Guadiana and Guadalquivir basins) and the Mediterranean Sea (the Segura, Júcar and Ebro basins) (see Fig. 1). The location and topography of the IP, together with the effects of large atmospheric
circulation patterns (López-Bustins et al., 2008) have generated a northwest to southeast gradient in annual precipitation (De-Castro et al., 2005; González-Hidalgo et al., 2010) (see Fig. 1), which varies from less than 300 mm yr\(^{-1}\) to more than 2000 mm yr\(^{-1}\), and the precipitation is concentrated mainly in winter (40% of annual precipitation). Basins in the northern sector of the Atlantic watershed (Miño, Duero and Tajo) yield abundant flows (mean annual contribution at the most downstream streamflow gauge: 10 570 hm\(^3\) for the Miño River, 13 788 hm\(^3\) for the Duero River, and 12 350 hm\(^3\) for the Tajo River), whereas rivers in the southern sector of the Atlantic watershed (Guadiana and Guadalquivir) have modest streamflows (4039 hm\(^3\) and 3780 hm\(^3\), respectively). Streamflows in basins in the Mediterranean watershed (Segura, Júcar and Ebro) are generally scarce; the exception is the Ebro basin, which receives abundant flow (12 279 hm\(^3\)) that is generated in the Cantabrian Range and the Pyrenees.

The river flows and strong seasonality of precipitation have together created a marked imbalance between water availability and demand throughout the IP, and created the need for construction of a complex network of dams and channels to optimize use of the available water resources. This is especially the case for the southern basins, where significant regulation capacity has been generated in the last 60 years (Arroyo-Ilera, 2007). The intensive regulation of river systems in the IP is reflected in an increase in the number of major reservoirs constructed during the 20th century (from 58 in 1900 to 1195 in 2000), reaching a total storage capacity of 56 500 hm\(^3\) (Berga-Casafont, 2003). This capacity is approximately equal to the mean annual streamflow of the 8 major rivers of the IP (55 850 hm\(^3\)).
3 Dataset and methodology

3.1 Hydrological data

3.1.1 Streamflow data

To create a robust hydrological database for the entire IP, monthly streamflow data were obtained from four Spanish water agencies (Centro de Estudios Hidrográficos, Agència Catalana de l’Aigua, Agencia Andaluza del Agua and Augas de Galicia) and one Portuguese agency (Sistema Nacional de Informação de Recursos Hídricos). The quality and length of the series were highly variable. For this reason, the criterion for selection of the series to be analyzed was based on a balance between the spatial density and the temporal coverage. A representative sample of the most important basins distributed across the entire IP was preferred, as was a temporal coverage of at least 50 years. Consequently, the period of the monthly flow series was set from 1945 to 2005, with the aim of including in the analysis the effects of changes caused by the intensive regulation of rivers that took place in the second half of the 20th century. A total of 187 monthly streamflow series were selected based on the length and percentage of data gaps: only series with <10% missing data were included. Gap filling was achieved by linear regression with the following criteria: series included in the regression model had to be derived from the same river or river system as the series to be filled, and the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the series had to be at least $R = 0.8$.

3.1.2 Standardization of streamflows

To obtain a hydrological index that enabled comparison of streamflow series in time and space, regardless of the magnitudes and river regimes, we transformed the monthly streamflows series into standardized anomalies in z-scores. It is very common that hydrological series are not normally distributed, and must consequently be adjusted to
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other probability distributions (Gámiz-Fortis et al., 2010; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2011). To achieve this the series were fitted to the most suitable probability distribution from among the general extreme value (GEV), the Pearson type III (PIII), the loglogistic, the lognormal, the generalized Pareto and the Weibull distributions, and a standardized streamflow index (SSI) was obtained. More details of the calculation of the SSIs are provided in Vicente-Serrano et al. (2011).

3.2 North Atlantic Oscillation index

Amongst the various approaches to calculation of the NAO index (Osborn et al., 1999; Pozo-Vázquez et al., 2000), we choose the procedure that involves the subtraction of the normalized level pressures of two different stations. We used the NAO index developed by Jones et al. (1997), who selected the Reykjavik and Gibraltar stations. The advantage of this index is the high negative correlations between the stations in winter (Qian et al., 2000), with the Gibraltar station being the most representative of the south dipole relative to other commonly used stations, including Ponta Delgada and Lisboa (Osborn et al., 1999). The NAO index data covering the study period (1945–2005) was obtained from the Climate Research Unit website (http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/nao.htm).

To assess the influence of the winter NAO on water resources throughout the year we calculated a winter NAO index as the average of the indexes for December in one year, and January, February and March in the following year. This enabled estimation of how the interaction between the most active NAO season (Castro-Díez et al., 2002; Muñoz-Díaz et al., 2004; López-Moreno et al., 2007; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010) and other factors, including the intensive water regulation system of the IP and snowmelt processes (Berga-Casafont, 2003; Arroyo-Ileri, 2007; Morán-Tejeda et al., 2011), conditioned water availability in subsequent months.

Extreme NAO episodes influencing streamflows were also assessed. For this we defined winter NAO records as extreme positive (negative) phases if they were higher (lower) than the long-term mean ± one standard deviation. As a result, the years 1957,

3.3 Analysis

3.3.1 Assessment of the NAO influence

To assess the impact of the NAO on surface water resources in the IP, monthly Pearson correlations between the NAO and the SSI were calculated for each gauging station. Correlations were conducted each month and with lags of one month. The reason for correlating the NAO and streamflows using temporal lags is based on the premise that a delay occurs between precipitation and runoff derived from physical processes such as snowmelt, interception, infiltration or the retention of flows in dams for hydropower generation (Trigo et al., 2004). Lagged correlations allowed assessment of whether the hydrological conditions in the IP are conditioned by the behavior of the NAO in previous months. Similarly, we also assessed the correlation between the winter NAO index and the following monthly SSIs until the next winter.

3.3.2 Non-stationarity analysis

To assess the non-stationarity of the NAO influence on Iberian streamflows we performed an analysis based on the use of moving-window correlations (21 years) between the NAO and the SSIs for every gauging station. The result of the first calculation (1945–1965) was assigned to the middle year of this interval (1955), and the process was repeated for the years 1985–2005.
3.3.3 Identification of spatio-temporal patterns

Because of the regional scale of the study we used a principal component analysis (PCA) to summarize the spatio-temporal variability of the streamflow anomalies detected during the positive and negative NAO phases. To provide an estimate of where the NAO influence had remained stable and where it had changed, the same procedure was conducted with the 187 moving-window correlation series between the NAO and streamflows.

PCA (see review in Preisendorfer, 1988) is a procedure commonly used by hydrologists to analyze the spatio-temporal variability of streamflows (Kalayci and Kahya, 2006); it allows retention of the common features of the samples and identification of local particularities. The PCA can be performed in S or T modes. The S mode identifies regions in which the temporal variation of the hydrological variables has the same pattern, and has been used to identify general temporal patterns in streamflow series (the observatories are the variables, and the time observations are the cases). Using this approach we obtained an additional non-correlated set of variables that were the lineal combinations (transformed by Varimax rotation; White et al., 1991) of the originals. The coefficients of such combinations are called loading factors, and represent the correlations of the principal component with each original variable. Using this procedure we were able to classify each gauging station into the resulting groups by mapping the “maximum loading factors”.

4 Results

4.1 Monthly correlations of the NAO with streamflow

Figure 2 shows the $R$ Pearson’s correlation between the monthly SSIs and the monthly NAO index at each gauging station for the period 1945–2005. A clear spatio-temporal pattern was observed for the interaction, with significant negative correlations occurring
throughout most of the IP during winter (December to March), but this relationship rapidly disappeared in spring. Positive correlations (mostly non-significant) were evident sporadically from April to July in the eastern part of the IP. In contrast to the transition from winter to spring, the transition from late summer to autumn was gradual, with moderate negative correlations \((R \approx -0.35)\) becoming evident again in northern Iberia in late summer and the beginning of autumn. In October the NAO influence was evident in the basins in the Atlantic watershed (the central and western parts of the IP) and the Pyrenean basins. During November the area under the influence of the NAO decreased and was confined to the southwest of the IP. At the beginning of winter there was a reinforcement of the NAO signal, and a clear west-east pattern emerged during December, with significant negative correlations in the west that reached the basins on the western side of the Cantabrian Range, the Iberian Range and the Betic systems, which divide the IP into the Atlantic and the Mediterranean watersheds. This spatial configuration broadly reflected the climatic signal derived from the NAO-precipitation interaction during winter, which is enhanced in the Atlantic watershed sub-basins.

### 4.2 Lagged NAO-streamflow correlations

Figure 3 shows the one-month lagged Pearson’s correlations between the SSI for a particular month and the NAO index for the previous month. We also investigated the presence of longer lags (results not shown), but in all cases the correlations decreased from 1 lagged month. Slightly higher correlations \((R \approx -0.6)\) relative to the non-lagged correlations described above were observed during winter (from December to February). During spring the one-month lagged NAO signal disappeared throughout most of the study area; the exception was in the Duero basin, where significant correlations were still recorded. Non-significant positive correlations during summer were occasionally detected. The reinforced response of streamflows to the NAO in the previous month did not occur until October and November, and this was restricted to the Duero and Tajo basins. In December, a southwards displacement of the hydrological response was observed, and this resulted in reinforcement of the November NAO
influence across the southernmost basins of the Atlantic watershed. This occurred prior to the commencement of the highly active winter NAO period, when the IP was again completely under the influence of the NAO.

4.3 Influence of the winter NAO throughout the year

Figure 4 shows the spatial distribution of the correlations between the winter NAO index and the streamflows from March (the last month of winter) to the following November (the last month before the next winter) at each gauging station for the period 1945–2005. There was a clear carryover in the response of streamflow to the NAO conditions during winter, and this influence persisted throughout the year, particularly in the main watercourses. Significant correlations were found throughout IP, with the exception of the Mediterranean and Cantabrian coastal areas during March and April. The winter NAO influence decreased ($R \approx 0.35$) during spring and summer, and was confined to the main rivers of the Duero, Tajo and Guadiana basins, and the headwaters of the Jucar River in the Iberian Range. This pattern remained stable during autumn, although with some variability: the correlations clearly decreased in the Ebro basin (particularly the headwaters), whereas there was an increased response in the headwaters of the highly regulated Tagus, Júcar and Guadiana basins.

4.4 Streamflow anomalies during extreme NAO phases

Figure 5 summarizes the streamflow anomalies recorded for each month of the year in the major basins during positive and negative NAO phases. Extreme positive NAO phases generally produced negative anomalies in all basins throughout the year. However, the moderate and uniform anomalies observed throughout the year in those basins showing low within-basin variability (including the Guadiana, Júcar, Segura and Ebro basins) is in contrast with the larger anomalies observed during winter in the
Cantabrian, Duero, Guadalquivir, Mondego, Catalanian and Andalusian basins. The latter also showed greater sensitivity to extreme positive NAO phases during summer, and also greater within-basin variability because of its intermittent and torrential regime.

During negative NAO phases the pattern described above was reversed, with positive anomalies occurring in all major basins. Nevertheless, marked differences were observed in the responses among basins, depending on their location within the Atlantic watershed or on the Mediterranean fringe. Negative phases of the NAO generated moderate streamflow anomalies in the Ebro, Júcar, Segura and Catalanian basins (Mediterranean watershed), with no major differences among months and seasons. In contrast, all the basins in the Atlantic watershed (Cantabrian, Miño, Duero, Tajo, Guadiana, Guadalquivir and Mondego basins) showed enhanced responses to negative phases during late winter and early spring, which stabilized during the remainder of the year.

Table 1 shows the percentage of gauging stations that registered significant differences between the average SSI anomalies generated during positive and negative NAO phases. It is noteworthy the high percentages (>70%) of gauges in the large Atlantic basins (Duero, Tajo, Guadiana and Guadalquivir) showed significant differences during winter and early spring. Among these basins, those located in the south (the Guadiana and Guadalquivir basins) showed the greatest effects, with the entire basins showing significant differences in anomalies in three months during that period. The Andalusian basins showed a high percentage of significant differences between the streamflow anomalies generated by opposite NAO phases, even though they are within the Mediterranean watershed.

The PCA (Fig. 6) revealed three components in the spatio-temporal variability of streamflow anomalies during the extreme NAO phases. The first principal component explained almost 40% of the total variance, and showed the most general spatio-temporal pattern of streamflow anomalies. It was characterized by positive streamflow anomalies during negative NAO phases (and vice versa) in all months of the year, although the anomalies were enhanced during late spring and summer. The spatial
extent of this pattern included the entire IP, although it was more related to the main river courses.

The second principal component explained 29% of the variance, and indicated large positive streamflow anomalies in winter and modest negative anomalies in summer during negative NAO phases. The influence of the negative NAO phases was very low, with a clear non-linear behavior in the response of streamflow to the NAO. This component mainly represented the Atlantic watershed.

The third principal component explained only 6.4% of the variance and it did not show a clear pattern related to the SSI anomalies in response to the positive and negative NAO phases. Nevertheless, this pattern was representative of some northeastern areas of the IP, where the correlation between the NAO and streamflow was quite low.

4.5 Moving-window correlations

To investigate the influence of the NAO on streamflow evolution, we first performed a moving-window correlation (21 years) analysis between the monthly March NAO index and the March SSI of each gauging station. We selected March because it is the last winter month, the correlations between streamflow and the NAO were high, and it has been correlated with a significant decrease in precipitation. A PCA was used to summarize the general temporal evolution of the NAO influence on streamflow. Figure 7 shows the temporal evolution of the two first principal components (left) and the correlations between their scores and the moving-window correlation series obtained for each gauging station (right). The first principal component explained approximately 41% of the variance. It was characterized by a weakening of the NAO influence on streamflow during the 1950s and 1960s, and an enhanced response of streamflow to the NAO since the 1970s. Fitting this pattern were the Duero basin, the Mondego basin, the middle Tajo basin tributaries, the Guadalquivir basin and the Segre sub-basins (Ebro Valley).

The second principal component explained 32.3% of the variance. It was characterized by a gradual weakening of the NAO influence on streamflow during the 1950s,
1960s and 1970s, and a stabilization of the response in recent years. The basins having this variability mode included the Duero, Tajo and Guadiana main courses, much of the middle and low Ebro basin, and the headwaters of the Júcar basin. These results show that in general the streamflow response to the NAO was highly variable in time, and that non-stationarity was the general pattern between 1945 and 2005.

5 Discussion

5.1 The global patterns of the relationship streamflow and NAO

Various factors control streamflow behavior, including water management, the melting of snow, and land cover changes, but precipitation plays a major role in explaining streamflow variability in both time and space. As a consequence, a generalized relationship has been found between NAO and streamflow across Europe, especially during winter (Shorthouse and Arnell, 1997; Dettinger and Díaz, 2000) and in the Mediterranean region (Cullen and DeMenocal, 2000; Cullen et al., 2002; Karabork et al., 2005; Kalayci and Kahya, 2006; Kucuk et al., 2009).

Many studies in the IP have related precipitation to the NAO, and shown that the NAO is the major source of inter-annual variability, particularly in winter (Rodríguez-Puebla et al., 2001; Goodess and Jones, 2002). This relationship is negative, with highly significant values ($r < -0.6$) in the western and central parts of the IP (Ulbrich et al., 1999; Trigo, 2008) decreasing to the east along the Mediterranean fringe (Martín-Vide and Fernández, 2001). Based on this pattern, the NAO impacts on surface water resources in a number of basins have been analyzed in several studies. Morán-Tejeda et al. (2011) reported the response of sub-basins in the Duero catchment to the NAO, and showed generally negative streamflow anomalies during positive NAO phases in winter, and vice versa. Similar results were reported for the Tagus catchment in extreme NAO phases (López-Moreno et al., 2007). A more global approach that included the three main Atlantic catchments (Duero, Tagus and Guadiana) has shown similar
results (Trigo et al., 2004). These results are all consistent with the general analyses presented in this report.

5.2 Spatial and temporal variability

We found that the response of Iberian streamflows to the NAO were not homogeneous in time or space. As noted previously, precipitation plays a major role in explaining hydrological variability, and because precipitation is highly dependent on the NAO, factors that influence the spatial extent of the NAO could affect river responses. Our findings suggest that orography, water storage strategies, snowmelt and the movement of pressure centers across the Atlantic Ocean may help explain the contrasting patterns observed in this study, as discussed below.

The spatial extent of the NAO influence on streamflow during winter and late autumn is largely determined by orography. The advance into the IP of southwesterly air masses associated with the NAO is facilitated by the gradual altitudinal increase and the smooth slopes of the lower Tagus, Guadiana and Guadalquivir valleys (Esteban et al., 2003). Although air masses progressively lose their humidity as they cross the IP, they are forced up by the topographic barrier to the east (the Cantabrian Range, the Iberian Ranges and the Betic systems), which separates the Atlantic and Mediterranean watersheds. Thus, the leeward sides of the mountain chains are less influenced by the NAO than the western part of the IP (Esteban-Parra et al., 1998; Muñoz-Díaz, 2003). This phenomenon is enhanced during autumn, when the NAO is less active, with a topographic shadow effect causing the depletion of humidity in air masses crossing the barrier, and a consequent decrease in the correlation values between the two watersheds. Orography may also explain why there was a significant correlation between the NAO and streamflow in the Pyrenean sub-basins during October and December, while in the other Mediterranean watersheds the correlations were not significant. Because of their north-south orientation the Pyrenean valleys act as humid corridors for the advance of air masses from the south. The mountainous
topography induces their reactivation and generates orographic precipitation (Esteban et al., 2003).

We found an abrupt temporal transition from winter to spring and from summer to autumn in the NAO-streamflow relationship between the non-lagged and the one-month lagged correlations, which can be explained in terms of water management and atmospheric phenomena. This was exemplified during April and early autumn. During winter and spring, management practices are focused mainly on storing water; this results in decreased water releases, and hence decreased river discharges downstream of dams (López-Moreno, 2007). The relationship between the NAO and streamflow in April was non-significant for the non-lagged correlation, while for the one-month lagged correlation the relationship was significant in catchments located to the northwest. This difference was probably caused by seasonal weakening of the NAO as a result of a change in the position of the pressure centers (Kingston et al., 2006a), but also as a consequence of water management strategies focused on storing water resources in dams. The inverse was true for the transition from summer to autumn. During October the relative movement of the pressure centers associated with the NAO (Kingston et al., 2006a) generates inflow from the Atlantic, initially in the northwest (Duero basin) during October. Subsequently, a southward displacement of the influence occurs, covering the southwest during November (Trigo et al., 2008) and reaching its maximum influence and spatial extent during winter.

The positive correlations between streamflow and the summer NAO, which were mainly significant during July, are in agreement with the recent findings of Bladé et al. (2010), who found significant positive correlations between precipitation and the NAO during July and August in the central sector of the IP. This relationship apparently occurs because the positive phase of the summer NAO is related to cool, wet and cloudy conditions in southern Europe and the Mediterranean region (Folland et al., 2008).

The water management strategy relies on a large number of dams impounding the majority of basins in the IP, which explains the multi-temporal lagged relationships
between NAO, precipitation and stream flows. Water is stored in reservoirs during the rainy period (winter and spring) to meet the water demand during summer, which reduces streamflows downstream of the reservoirs during winter and spring. This explains the close relationship of summer and autumn streamflow to the previous winter NAO, especially in the southern basins with larger impoundment capacities (Tagus, 11 012 hm\(^3\); Guadiana, 8635 hm\(^3\); and Guadalquivir, 8280 hm\(^3\)). The strong correlations between the winter NAO index and streamflows during May, June and July in the Pyrenean sub-basins reflect the importance of snow accumulation and melting processes in the Pyrenean rivers (López-Moreno and García-Ruiz, 2004), and the inertia that these processes induce in the runoff yield.

5.3 The extreme phases of NAO and their relationships to stream flow

The results of analysis of the streamflow response to extreme NAO phases were consistent with the other analyses. Streamflow anomalies during negative NAO phases were generally greater in magnitude than those during positive phases. This may be related to the physical properties of the catchments and their hydrological behavior. Because of the large amount of precipitation generated by extreme rainfall events associated with negative NAO phases, soils rapidly became saturated, and runoff produces a marked increase in river discharges (Morán-Tejeda, 2011). However, to guarantee water supply the water management strategies reduce the magnitude of the negative anomalies during positive NAO phases, generating more uniform streamflows, even during extreme dry periods.

Significant spatial differences were found between the Atlantic and Mediterranean basins. Winter positive anomalies in the Atlantic watershed were greater than those in the eastern basins adjacent to the Mediterranean Sea, but the intra-catchment variability and streamflow anomalies during extreme NAO phases (both positive and negative) were greater in the Andalusian basins, which is related to their intermittent fluvial regimes, small basin areas and orography.
The non-stationarity analysis highlighted two main patterns in the evolution of the NAO impact on streamflows over the past 30 years. The first involved an increase in the impact of the NAO on streamflows since the 1970s in the northwestern sector of the IP (Cantabrian, Miño, Duero and Mondego basins), the headwaters of the Tagus basin, the Guadalquivir basin and the Segre system in the Pyrenees. These findings are similar to those of Vicente-Serrano and López-Moreno (2008), who reported an increase in the magnitude of negative correlations between the NAO and precipitation in southern Europe, which was closely related to shifts in the location of the Atlantic pressure centers.

The second pattern involved a decrease in the correlations between the NAO and streamflows in the main river courses of the Duero, Tajo, Guadiana and Ebro basins, the Guadalquivir tributaries and the Pyrenean sub-basins. These basins have been subject to increased regulation/impoundment capacity, aimed at reducing the impacts of lack of precipitation. This increased capacity may explain the decreased sensitivity of streamflow to the NAO variability during extreme phases. However, as-yet unresolved uncertainties remain in relation to this recently completed preliminary analysis, suggesting the need for more detailed investigations.

5.4 Uncertainties

Several uncertainties remain concerning the future behavior of streamflow in response to the NAO, and these should be the subject of future research. The dependence of streamflow in Iberian rivers on the NAO has been demonstrated, as has a persistent positive NAO trend during the past three decades (Visbeck et al., 2001; Osborn, 2004). These factors suggest that the maintenance of ecological river flows, riparian ecosystems, and the long-term supply of water for irrigation and leisure are at risk. Projections for the 21th century indicate a trend to positive phases of the NAO, and thus a trend of decreasing precipitation (Rodriguez-Puebla and Nieto, 2010). Moreover, the scenarios show stable correlations between the NAO and precipitation in southern Europe (Vicente-Serrano and López-Moreno, 2008). If these predictions are realized, the
implications for surface water resources in the IP become worse, as water management strategies and water regulation capacity appear to be inadequate to address the changing NAO impacts on the surface hydrology of the IP.

6 Conclusions

This study demonstrated the impact of the NAO on the streamflows of Iberian rivers. A significant response of streamflows to the evolution of the NAO was observed across the entire IP during winter and autumn, particularly in the Atlantic watershed. The effect was greater when the NAO conditions in the previous month were considered. Persistence of the winter NAO conditions throughout the year was also observed, with some spatial variations. We also showed positive streamflow anomalies during extreme negative NAO phases, and vice versa. We conclude that since the 1970s the impact of the NAO has strengthened in some basins of the IP, and weakened in others.
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Table 1. Percentage of gauging stations which registered significant anomalies differences between positive and negative NAO phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cantabrian</th>
<th>Miño</th>
<th>Duero</th>
<th>Tajo</th>
<th>Guadiana</th>
<th>Guadalquivir</th>
<th>Segura</th>
<th>Júcar</th>
<th>Ebro</th>
<th>Mondego</th>
<th>Catalanian</th>
<th>Andalusian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Location of the 187 streamflow series for the period 1945–2005 used in the study.
Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of the correlations between the monthly NAO index and the monthly SSI's.
Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of the correlations between the monthly NAO index and the subsequent monthly SSI’s.
Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of the correlations between the Winter NAO index and the monthly SSI's.
Fig. 5. Statistical summary of the streamflow anomalies registered during positive and negative winter NAO phases classified by major basins. Triangles represent the mean of the streamflow anomalies registered in every sub-basin gauge. Whiskers represents the mean’s standard error. Asterisks depicted significant differences between mean positive and negative anomalies, obtained by means of the Wilcoxon Mann Whitney test.
Fig. 6. The scores of the three first Principal Components extracted from the anomalies series during positive (blue bars) and negative (red bars) NAO phases (left column). Spatial distribution of the correlation coefficients between the scores of the Principal Components and the original anomalies registered at every gauging station (right column).
Fig. 7. The scores of the two first Principal Components extracted from the moving-window (21 years) correlation series between the monthly March NAO index and the monthly March SSI’s (left column). Spatial distribution of the correlation coefficients between the scores of the Principal Components and the original moving correlations between the monthly March NAO index and the monthly March SSI’s obtained at every gauging station (right column).