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- The manuscript addresses a key challenge in the estimation of evapotranspiration from satellite data, during the interval of satellite overpasses, i.e., the temporal interpolation question. This is an important topic and has many practical implication, including water management. - The main shortcoming of this paper it limits the analysis to the statistical approach only without clear discussion of the physical interpretation of (climate and surface) processes involved. E.g., how will ET varies between two satellite overpasses, and why? Such discussions may allow for more physically based upscaling methods than statistical. E.g., it is well possible to compute daily ET0 using climate data measured at ground stations, which then can be used to upscale ETa (actual) evapotranspiration derived from satellite data, e.g., see Allen et al. (2001), Mohamed e al. (2004). - P4, l20, a bit lengthy paragraph to confirm a known fact that it is erroneous to assume clear sky condition, if it is not! - P5, l13, would be good to give map of sites to easily see different climate zones - P5, l15, give year from .... to ..... - P6, l3, Eq. 2, would have been easier to follow if the Penman-Montith equation is written complete as given in the reference (Eq. 6, p24 of Allen et al., 1998), and then give the new derivation. - P6, l3, Eq. 2, what is the difference between \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda' \) - P6, l14, would be good to briefly describe the interpolation methods, linear, spline, and hermite. Also the description of some parameters seems very short in some places, e.g., the autocorrelation calculation. - P7, l10, would also be good to give the % of the RMSE. - P10, l25, it would have been interesting to test the statistical results derived from the analysis against actual upscaling of satellite-based ET results - There is some spelling and grammar errors
