Thank you very much for your review of our paper and for your contribution as a reviewer.

Your comments and those of the other two reviewers suggest that it would be best to include in this paper what we were going to include in our complementary paper, namely the analysis of dominant controlling factors in post-fire streamflow response where fire size alone does not sufficiently correlate. We will submit a request to the editor for a time extension to add these results into the current paper.

In our revision, we will increase our discussion section to answer your specific comments on response variability by cluster and determinant factors, as well as expand the conclusion to compare our results to those of our colleagues in other areas of the world. We will also address your technical corrections.