Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thanks very much for your letter and for the reviewers’ comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Detecting seasonal and long-term vertical deformation in the North China Plain using GRACE and GPS” (No. hess-2016-552). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made major revision which we hope to meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in the "Marked-up manuscript version". The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are as following.

Part I . Responses to the comments

Anonymous Referee #1 (Received and published: 18 November 2016)

In this manuscript, the authors present the time series variation of vertical displacement in North China Plain using GPS and GRACE data. Also, they analyzed the impact of Terrestrial Water Storage loss on vertical displacement. Generally, the manuscript is written clearly and illustrates some interesting results about the long-term variation of vertical displacement in North China Plain from 2003 to 2013 and discussion about the impact factors of vertical displacement like TWS loss. However, the manuscript suffers some deficiencies that need to be discussed before publishing of the manuscript. The major points that need to be added are provided
Dear Anonymous Referee #1,

Thanks very much for your constructive comments. We have studied comments carefully and here replied each comment bellow. The original comments are in plain text and the replies in italics which we hope meet with approval.

1) Surface vertical displacement and estimation of water storage variation using GRACE data were presented in many researches during recent years and the methodology are more or less the same. However, there is little discussion in validation of the result. In this study, is there any field measurement data of groundwater level for validation of the water storage loss in NCP?

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you very much for the good comments that you mentioned our study result need more discuss, basing on measurement data of groundwater level in NCP. We have acquired in-situ groundwater level measurements (available only from 2002 to 2013), which are mainly located in the central and eastern plain of NCP (including the Beijing and Tianjin city, some cities of Hebei, Henan and Shandong province) and are obtained from Ministry of Water Resources of China (available at: http://sqqx.hydroinfo.gov.cn/shuiziyuan/). We also collected the daily precipitation data (rainfall amount) for weather stations during the period of 2003–2012 from China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (CMDSSS) (available at: http://cdc.cma.gov.cn/index.jsp). In revised manuscript, we have get the
area-weighted mean groundwater level change series in the NCP from time series of monthly groundwater table depth changes of 20 cities in our study region (Figure 7 in revised manuscript). Using the mean time series of monthly groundwater level data, we can compare the rate of loss between groundwater storage (GWS) variations estimated from the data that GRACE minus GLDAS/Noah model and monthly groundwater level changes observed by monitoring wells during 2002-2012 (Table 2 in revised manuscript). Besides, according to the good comments of the Referee #2, we have compared the depletion in TWS or GWS between our results and previous studied results (e.g., Huang et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2013; Moiwo et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013; Su et al., 2011) in the NCP (Table 2 in revised manuscript). The details of compare groundwater storage (GWS) variations with in-situ measurements and previous results, please see Section 4.1 in revised manuscript, we believe these discussions can validate GWS loss in NCP.

2) In part 5.2, GPS trend changes of water storage are different in two periods (2004-2009 and 2010-2013), especially the long-term trend rate is different in different areas from 2010 to 2013. Are there any field measurement data of groundwater levels or groundwater use in different areas can support this result? In my opinion, the manuscript would be more improved if some groundwater data can be combined into this research.

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you again. As shown in Figure 8b in our study, the obvious uplifts are presented in the decomposition of the signal based on the
**GRACE-derived GWS trend** (GRACE minus GLDAS/Noah), which shows the long-term groundwater depletion in the NCP from 2002 to 2012. Recently, previous studied have reported the TWS loss (e.g., Zhong et al., 2009; Su et al., 2011; Moiwo et al., 2009) or GWS loss (e.g., Huang et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013;) based on GRACE and land surface models (LSMs) in the NCP, these results are also consistent with that from in situ measurement data of groundwater levels. However, the water mass loss trend from GRACE and situ data show an inconsistence with the GPS results in our study, which you mentioned GPS trend changes of water storage are different in two periods (2004-2009 and 2010-2013), especially the long-term trend rate is different in different areas from 2010 to 2013. For the reasons why the long-term trend rate from GPS is different in different areas, please see our detailed responses below.

1) The GPS is nowadays widely used in the geosciences for the estimation of precise station coordinates. The placement of a load on the Earth’s surface causes deformation of the underlying solid Earth and displacements of its surface. GPS measurements of those displacements can provide information about the load.

2) Some previous studies have focused on the vertical component of crustal motion. These researches rely on the accurate interpretation of GPS motion in terms of surface stress or tectonic movement, and the deformation signal from surface mass loading is a source of noise. For these applications, they would like to obtain reliable
loading models or even surface mass observations, which can be used to reduce the contributions made to the GPS observations by the environmental loading. For some surface loads, such as the atmosphere, the loads are currently modeled to a fairly high degree of accuracy (van Dam et al., 1994). However, for other loads, especially the distribution of water mass on continents (soil moisture, groundwater, snow and ice), which are poorly known in most regions of the globe, but the deformation it causes is large enough to contribute to the GPS signal (van Dam et al., 2001). Fortunately, with the development of GRACE, the mass variations from hydrologic loading now can be quantitatively estimated. The GRACE-derived time-variable gravity field coefficients can be converted to harmonic coefficients for crustal deformation in three components.

3) In fact, it is more important to apply loading theory in the NCP. The previous studied results shown the groundwater depletion occurs in the shallow unconfined aquifers in Piedmont Plain while groundwater depletion occurs in the deep confined aquifers in the central and eastern plain of NCP. In this study, we found that GRACE-derived GWS changes are in disagreement with the groundwater level changes from observations of shallow aquifers not only from 2003 to 2009, especially from 2010 to 2013. Although the shallow groundwater can be recharged from the annual climate-driven rainfall (e.g., the groundwater level changes from observations of shallow aquifers shows a persistent increase after 2010, when the annual precipitation begins to increase), but the important facts indicate that GWS depletion
is more serious in deep aquifers. Especially in the central and eastern plain of NCP, although some GPS can detect land subsidence due to the occurrence of groundwater depletion in the deep confined aquifers, but the loading uplift effect from mass loss is still remain in GPS long-term trend. Thus, we compute the GRACE-derived long-term uplift using the trend from the CSR solutions for all continuous GPS sites used in this paper. The results indicate GRACE-derived data have an overall uplift in the whole region at the 0.37~0.95 mm/yr level from 2004 to 2009, but the rate of change direction is inconsistent in different GPS stations at -0.40~0.51 mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013 (Table 1). Our study indicates that the elastic responses are induced by all depth of TWS loading. Then we remove this hydrological-induced long-term trend from GPS actual observed vertical rates to derive the corrected vertical velocities (Figure 10), which can be used to study the vertical crust movement caused by tectonic movement and human activities. The results show that there are uplift areas and subsidence areas in NCP. Almost the whole central and eastern region of NCP suffers serious ground subsidence, caused by the anthropogenic-induced groundwater exploitation in the deep confined aquifers. In addition, that the ground uplifts lightly in the western region of NCP is mainly controlled by tectonic movement (e.g., Moho uplifting or mantle upwelling). Here Stokes coefficients resulted from A et al. [2013] were used to remove contributions from GIA.
Anonymous Referee #2 (Received and published: 13 December 2016)

General Comments:

This manuscript investigated the seasonal displacements of surface loadings in the NCP area using GRACE and GPS. The consistency between GPS and GRACE was quantitatively evaluated by removing GRACE-derived seasonal displacement from GPS observed detrended height time series. The rate of GRACE-derived TWS loss in the NCP was estimated and the land subsidence in the central and eastern of NCP was discussed. Generally the topic of manuscript is interesting and the writing is clear. However, in my opinion there are several important issues need to be addressed before publication.

Dear Anonymous Referee #2,

Thanks very much for your constructive comments. We have studied comments carefully and here replied each comment bellow. The original comments are in plain text and the replies in italics which we hope meet with approval.

Major points:

1. Recently lots of publications regarded on the estimation of TWS variation in the NCP, such as Huang et al. (2015), Feng et al. (2013), Moiwo et al. (2013), Tang et al. (2013) (listed in the manuscript) and some other references (e.g. Su et al., 2011). These studies should be included in the discussion to compare the depletion in TWS in the NCP.
Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you very much for the good comments that you mentioned previous studies should be included in the discussion. In revised manuscript, we have compared the depletion in TWS or GWS between our results and previous studied results (i.e., add comparisons to the Table 2 including mass loss trend from our results and previous studied in the revised manuscript) in the NCP, e.g., the reported TWS loss from Zhong et al.(2009), Su et al.(2011), Moiwo et al.(2009), and the reported GWS loss from Huang et al.(2015), Feng et al.(2013), Tang et al.(2013). Besides, according to the good comments of the Referee #1, we have also compared the groundwater depletion in NCP between GWS variations estimated from the data that GRACE minus GLDAS/Noah model and monthly groundwater level changes observed by monitoring wells during 2002-2012 (Table 2 in revised manuscript), we believe these discussions can validate GWS loss in NCP.

2. Results and discussion should be presented separately, since some result sections (e.g. section 3) include discussion parts (e.g. lines 308-326, lines 356-359). I would suggest moving the relevant parts to section 5 for discussion.

Linsong Wang et al.: Thanks for your good suggestion. In revised manuscript, we have moved the discussion parts of section 5.1 “Groundwater Depletion Contributions to Long-Term Uplift” in original manuscript to the section 4.2, which is mainly to explain long-term uplift caused by TWS loss, especially the contributions from groundwater depletion in the NCP. Then, we have added new discussion in Section 5.1 “The Loading Effects of Non-tidal Ocean and Atmospheric Variations”
for discussing the cause of the difference between our results and previous studies, which you mentioned “some result sections (e.g. section 3) include discussion parts (e.g. lines 308-326, lines 356-359)”.

3. There are some grammatical errors in the paper, meanwhile some sentences are not well written (e.g., lines 301-302, lines 335-338, lines 422-424, line 448). Please check it carefully throughout the manuscript.

>Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you again. We rewrote your mentioned some sentences (please see lines 317-319, lines 332-337, lines 491-497 in revised manuscript) and the revised version of the manuscript was polished by one language editor (please see the Marked manuscript).

Specific Comments:

1. Page 1, line 15: please remove “We employ” from the sentence.

>Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. We have removed “We employ” from the sentence in the revised manuscript.

2. Page 1, lines 17 and 19: please give the full name of “NCP” and “WRMS”, since it first appeared in the paper.

>Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. We have given the full name of “NCP” and “WRMS” where they first appear in the paper.
3. Line 367: should be “equations (2) and (3)”.

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you for reminding our negligence. The mistake has been modified.

4. Line 369: » “was presented: : :”

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. “were presented” has been changed to “was presented” in the revised manuscript.

5. Line 388: » “under- or overestimation: : :”

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. “under or overestimation” has been revised to “under- or overestimation” in the revised manuscript.


> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. “before and after remove” has been changed to “before and after removing” in the revised manuscript.

7. Line 488: “two periods” » “two sub-periods”

> Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you. “two periods” has been changed to “two sub-periods” in the revised manuscript.

References:

Linsong Wang et al.: Thank you for providing the reference of previous studies.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in the "The marked-up manuscript version ".

We appreciate Editors/Reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Linsong Wang et al.
Part II. The marked-up manuscript version

The revision mainly includes:

1. Rewrote abstract basing on revised content;

2. A Large adjustment of the structure in section 3, 4 and 5;

3. In-situ groundwater level measurements and previous studied results in NCP are added and analyzed (Figure 7 and Table 2);

4. More discussion has been put on validation of the results.

5. Rewrote not well sentences and language improvement;

6. Update the supporting information.
The marked manuscript:
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Abstract:

Twenty-nine continuous Global Positioning System (GPS) time series data together with data from Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) are analyzed to determine the seasonal displacements of surface loadings in the North China Plain (NCP). Results show significant seasonal variations and a strong correlation between GPS and GRACE results in the vertical displacement component; the average correlation and Weighted Root-Mean-Squares (WRMS) reduction between GPS and GRACE are 75.6% and 28.9% respectively.
respectively; when atmospheric and non-tidal ocean effects were removed, but the peak-to-peak annual peak-to-peak amplitude of GPS (1.2~6.3 mm) is greater than the data GRACE-derived (1.0~2.2 mm) derived from GRACE. We also calculate the trend rate as well as the seasonal signal caused by the mass load change from GRACE data, the rate of GRACE-derived Terrestrial Water Storage (TWS) loss (after multiplying by the scaling factor) in the NCP was 3.39 cm/yr (equivalent to 12.42 km³/yr) from 2003 to 2009. For a 10-year time span (2003 to 2012), the rate loss of TWS was 2.57 cm/yr (equivalent to 9.41 km³/yr) which is consistent with the groundwater storage (GWS) depletion rate (the rates loss of GWS were 2.49 cm/yr and 2.72 cm/yr during 2003~2009 and was 2.57 cm/yr (equivalent to 9.41 km³/yr, 2003~2012, respectively) estimated from GRACE-derived results after removing simulated soil moisture (SM) data from GLDAS/Noah model. We also found that GRACE-derived GWS changes are in disagreement with the groundwater level changes from observations of shallow aquifers from 2003 to 2009, especially between 2010 and 2013, although the shallow groundwater can be recharged from the annual climate-driven rainfall (e.g., the groundwater level changes from observations of shallow aquifers show a persistent increase after 2010 when the annual precipitation begins to increase), but the important facts indicate that GWS depletion is more serious in deep aquifers. Basing on spherical harmonic coefficients for the gravity field and load Love numbers, we use GRACE model to remove the vertical rates of elastic displacements due to the surface mass change from GPS data. The GRACE-derived result shows an overall uplift for the whole region at the 0.37~0.95 mm/yr level from 2004 to 2009, but the rate of change direction is inconsistent in different GPS stations at 0.40~0.51 mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013. Then we
removed the uplifted vertical rates which are induced by TWS from GPS-derived data to obtain the corrected vertical velocities caused by tectonic movement and human activities. The results show that there are uplift areas and subsidence areas in NCP. Almost the whole central and eastern region of NCP suffers serious ground subsidence caused by the anthropogenic-induced groundwater exploitation in the deep confined aquifers. In addition, the slight ground uplifts in the western region of NCP are mainly controlled by tectonic movement (e.g., Moho uplifting or mantle upwelling) -0.94–2.55 mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013.

Keywords: GPS, GRACE, Seasonal and long-term displacement, Terrestrial water storage, the North China Plain

1. Introduction

Using Global Positioning System (GPS) to monitor crustal motion, especially in the vertical or height component due to its large amplitude, which has been used to study surface loading caused by mass change. Site-position time series recorded by continuous GPS arrays have revealed the that vertical displacement variations resulted from trend or seasonal distribution of mass in a region or global changes that cause displacement of the Earth’s surface (e.g., a change of continental water [Bevis et al., 2005; van Dam et al., 2007; Wahr et al., 2013], ice [Sauber et al., 2000; Khan et al., 2010; Nielsen et al., 2013], snow [Heki, 2001; Grapenthin et al., 2006], ocean [van Dam et al., 2012; Wahr et al., 2014] and atmospheric mass [van Dam et al., 1994; Boehm et al., 2007]).
On the global scale, terrestrial hydrologic mass exchanges, that causes significant large-scale loading, occur between the oceans, continents, and atmosphere at seasonal and inter-annual time scales. On the local scale, for the inter-annual and long-periodic change in the hydrologic cycle, what that most significantly affects loading are large anthropogenic disturbances on groundwater extraction and artificial reservoir water impoundment and other climate-driven factors (e.g., natural floods and droughts) [e.g., Chao et al., 2008; Rodell et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013; Joodaki et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014]. The global-scale mass variations closely related to changes in terrestrial water storage (TWS) are observed by the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission, while the surface elastic displacement can be estimated if the load and rheological properties of the Earth were known [Farrell, 1972]. The majority of previous loading studies solved the three components of crustal motion by adopting joint analysis of GRACE time-variable gravity field coefficients and GPS data [Kusche and Schrama, 2005; van Dam et al., 2007; Fu and Freymueller, 2012; Fu et al., 2013]. In principle the loading effects caused by the majority of mass redistributions near the Earth’s surface are from water, atmospheric, and ocean transports on daily to inter-annual timescales [Kusche and Schrama, 2005]. The variations of the atmospheric and ocean contribution to the surface displacement made by the variations of the atmospheric and ocean can be reasonably modeled by—and therefore corrected, using global atmospheric surface pressure data and space geodetic data, respectively. Thus, after removing the loading effects of the atmospheric and ocean, GRACE-derived displacement and GPS data allow the detection of changes in the Earth’s larger hydrological storage systems.
In general, changes in TWS capacities depend on precipitation and human consumption. Variations in TWS may be related to precipitation, which is strongly driven by climate and can be simulated from global water and energy balance models [Syed et al., 2008]. This is related to soil texture and root depth in the case of soil water storage (e.g., soil moisture and vegetation canopy storage can be derived from the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) [Rodell et al., 2004], the WaterGAP Global Hydrology Model (WGHM) [Döll et al., 2003] and the Community Land Model (CLM) [Oleson et al., 2013]), surface water storage (e.g., water in rivers, lakes, reservoirs and wetlands can be derived from WGHM while snow or ice can be derived from WGHM and CLM), and naturally occurring (i.e., climate-driven) aquifer storage (e.g., groundwater predicted by WGHM and CLM). Variations in TWS may also be caused by man-made factors, such as water withdrawals for irrigation purposes [Döll, 2009] and dam construction for power generation and navigation [Wang et al., 2011]. These changes in TWS can be observed in situ (i.e., groundwater level and impounded water level). The TWS integrated by all the factors can cause variations in TWS can lead to the overall changes in crust displacement.

This study focuses on the crustal deformation of the North China Plain (NCP) (Figure 1), which is one of the most uniformly and extensively altered areas by human activities in the world [Tang et al., 2013]. The NCP is one of the world’s largest aquifer systems and supports an enormous exploitation of groundwater. Overexploitation of groundwater has seriously affected agriculture irrigation, industry, public supply, and ecosystems in the NCP. Previous
studies used GRACE data, land surface models, and well observations to provide insight on groundwater depletion in the NCP [Su et al., 2009; Zhong et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013; Moiwo et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015]. Liu et al. [2014] has discussed loading displacement in the NCP before. Only five GPS stations (i.e., BJFS, BISH, JIXN, TAIN, and ZHNZ) data are used in their work. Although they calculated the seasonal amplitudes, phases and trends of vertical displacement from GRACE and GPS, the atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects were not removed in the Liu et al.’s work, i.e., they added the Atmosphere and Ocean De-aliasing Level-1B (AOD1B) solution (GAC solution) back to the GRACE spherical harmonic solutions.

Here, we use GRACE and data from 29 GPS sites to study the seasonal and long-term loading displacement due to dynamic hydrological processes and groundwater-derived land subsidence in the NCP. In contrast to previous focus study [Liu et al., 2014], the most obvious difference between our results and their work is we removed other loading effects (e.g., atmospheric and non-tidal ocean) in order to reflect the seasonal and long-term displacement caused by TWS loads better. Additionally, we discuss long-term trend due to mass change revealed by GRACE measurements and its impacts on tectonic vertical rates evaluations.

2. Data Analysis

2.1 GRACE Data

The GRACE mission design makes it particularly useful for time-variable gravity studies.
GRACE was jointly launched by NASA and the German Aerospace Center (DLR) in March 2002 [Tapley et al., 2004a]. The Level-2 gravity products consist of complete spherical harmonic (Stokes) coefficients out to some maximum degree and order (typically $l_{\text{max}} = 120$) averaged over monthly intervals. When considering large-scale mass redistribution in the Earth system on a timescale ranging from weekly to interdecadal, it is reasonable to assume that all relevant processes occur in a thin layer at the Earth’s surface [Kusche and Schrama, 2005]. In this analysis, we assume that the gravitational and geometrical response of the Earth can be described by Farrell’s [1972] theory, where the loads Love numbers only depend on the spherical harmonic degree. Thus, the elastic displacements due to the surface mass change can easily be represented in terms of spherical harmonic coefficients for the gravity field and load Love numbers, $k_l$, $l$, and $h_l$ [Wahr et al., 1998; Kusche and Schrama, 2005]. Level-2 products are generated at several project-related processing centers, such as the Center for Space Research (CSR) at the University of Texas, GeoForschungsZentrum (CSR) in Potsdam, Germany, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in California. The mass estimates (TWS and sea level) show very good agreement among these products [Fu and Freymueller, 2012; Wahr et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014].

This study used monthly sets of spherical harmonic (Stokes) coefficients from GRACE RL05 (i.e., Release 5) gravity field solutions generated from the CSR, spanning from February 2003 to April 2013. Each monthly GRACE field consisted of a set of Stokes coefficients, $C_{lm}$ and $S_{lm}$, up to a degree and order ($l$ and $m$) of 60. In fact, the GRACE Stokes coefficients (“GSM” coefficients denoted by the GRACE Project) have had modeled estimates of the atmospheric
and oceanic mass signals removed. Thus the GRACE coefficients include the full terrestrial
water storage signal with remaining atmospheric and oceanic signals due to errors in the
respective models [Swenson et al., 2008]. Generally, using the GRACE AOD1B products can
add back the de-aliasing atmospheric and non-tidal oceanic effects to the GRACE data.
However, we would like to reduce the environmental loading contributions to the GRACE
and GPS observations, if we study on the accurate interpretation of displacement due to TWS
loading. Thus, we analyzed the effects of non-tidal ocean variations and atmospheric
loading on the GRACE model and GPS coordinates, please see Section S1 in the supporting
information for details.

We replaced the GRACE $C_{20}$ coefficients with $C_{20}$ coefficients inferred from satellite laser
ranging [Cheng et al., 2013]. Due to the fact that the reference frame origin used in the
GRACE gravity field determination is the Earth’s center of mass (CM), GRACE cannot
determine the degree-1 terms variations in the Earth’s gravity field (geocenter motion). Here,
we used degree-1 coefficients as calculated by Swenson et al. [2008] to determine the position
of the CM relative to the center of figure (CF) of the Earth’s outer surface. We applied the
post-processing method described in Swenson and Wahr [2006] to remove north-south stripes.
We adopted 250 km as the averaging radius to implement Gaussian smoothing, a technique
which suppresses errors at high degrees [Wahr et al., 1998; van Dam et al., 2007]. Stokes
coefficients from A et al. [2013] were used to remove contributions from Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA). The contribution of GIA is about 0.28–0.33 mm/yr and
non-seasonal in the NCP, which is small and non-seasonal; so their impact on the seasonal
results discussed in this paper would be minimal, even if they were not removed. The spatial pattern of TWS, shown in Figure 2, was obtained from monthly GRACE mass solutions for NCP and surrounding regions between spring, 2003, and spring, 2013. An obvious negative trend was identified localized over North China, including some of the Northwest regions (i.e., Shanxi province) and Northeast regions (i.e., Liaoning province). The TWS changes derived from the GRACE data show significant loss trends across the entire study area (NCP), specifically in Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei province, and Shanxi province. Previous studies have investigated how much groundwater depletion has caused the GRACE-derived TWS loss in the whole of the NCP [Su et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013; Moiwo et al., 2013] or in different sub-regions of the NCP [Zhong et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015]. These investigations, however, did not focus on regional displacement due to seasonal or long term variations of hydrologic loading.

2.2 GPS Data

Twenty-four GPS sites from the Crustal Movement Observation Network of China (CMONOC) and five GPS sites from the International GNSS Service (IGS) (Table 1) were analyzed in this study (Figure 1 shows the locations of the GPS stations). Eight GPS sites of them were located in the surrounding area of the NCP. Daily values for the upward, eastward and northward coordinates were determined by GPS data of IGS stations between 2003 and 2013, which is consistent with GRACE time span. The 24 GPS sites of CMONOC provided data from 2010 to 2013. GIPSY/OASIS-II (Version 5.0) software was used in point positioning mode to obtain the daily coordinates and covariances; these were used to
transform the daily values into ITRF2008 [Altamimi et al., 2011]. We estimated this daily frame alignment transformation using a set of reliable ITRF stations (~10 stations each day). In the GPS processing, corrections for solid Earth tides were undertaken, and ocean tide loading effects were corrected using ocean tide model FES2004 with Greens Functions modeled in the reference frame of CM (center of the mass of the total Earth system) to maintain theoretical consistency and adherence to current IERS conventions [Hao et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2012], but atmospheric pressure loading or any other loading variations (non-tidal ocean loading) with periods > 1 day were not removed. In order to focus on the seasonal and trend feature over the entire observation time, we first smoothed the data to reduce large scatter before using a 3-month-wide moving window filter to remove the short-period terms.

Due to the coseismic displacement of the 2011 Mw9.0 Tohoku earthquake, we estimated and removed offsets (i.e., using the differences of the average values of seven days between before and after earthquake to obtain the coseismic displacement) at those times for the vertical time series of GPS stations at Eastern China. Wang et al. [2011] study results reveal that the coseismic horizontal displacements induced by the earthquake are at the level of millimeters to centimeters in North and Northeast China, with a maximum of 35 mm, but the vertical coseismic and postseismic displacements are too small to be detected. In order to maintain consistency with the GIA effects presented in the GRACE solutions, we remove GIA effects for all GPS stations by using Stokes coefficients (l=100) results computed by A et al. [2013], which used the ICE5G ice history and VM2 viscosity profile [Peltier et al., 2004].
Figure 3a shows the time series (2003–2012) of daily solutions for IGS GPS sites BJFS, ZHNZ, BJSH, JIXN and TAIN. The long-term linear trends are mainly dominated by surface mass loading and tectonic processes, and the GPS time series shows significant seasonal variations. The peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude can be seen to be more than 20 mm which reflects the strong seasonal mass changes in the NCP. The GRACE data from CSR uses model output to remove the gravitational effects of atmospheric and oceanic mass variability from the satellite data before constructing monthly gravity field solutions. In order to compare the displacement from GPS with GRACE, the effects of atmospheric and non-tidal oceanic loading on the GPS coordinates needed to be removed. Displacements due to atmospheric loading were calculated using data and programs developed by the GGFC (Global Geophysical Fluid Center) (T. van Dam, NCEP Derived 6 hourly, global surface displacements at 2.5° × 2.5° spacing, http://geophy.uni.lu/ncep-loading.html, 2010). These utilized the NCEP (National Center of Environmental Protection) reanalysis surface pressure data set. The 12-hour sampling model, ECCO (Estimating the Circulation & Climate of the Ocean, http://www.ecco-group.org/), is used to compute the surface displacement driven by non-tidal ocean effects and its spatial resolution is 1°×0.3-1.0°, i.e., 1 degree longitude (zonal) interval and 0.3 to 1.0 degree in latitude (meridian) intervals from equator to high latitude. An example of the effects of the non-tidal ocean and atmospheric loading in the GPS and GRACE data is provided in the supporting information (Figure S1).

The displacements caused by atmospheric pressure and non-tidal ocean loading mainly show
seasonal fluctuations and no obvious long-term trend during GPS observation (e.g., time series of height from atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading at IGS sites in Figure 3b). The annual amplitude is $4.0 \pm 4.6$ mm and $0.24 \pm 0.42$ mm for the atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects, respectively, while the semi-annual amplitude is about $0.3$ mm and $0.03$ mm, respectively. But the phases between the atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects have more apparent difference. The results of the seasonal amplitudes and phase fits of vertical displacements, derived by GRACE and GPS for IGS stations between before and after correcting atmospheric and non-tidal ocean, are summarized in Table S1 in the supporting information.

2.3 Elastic Displacements Due to Mass Loads

GRACE Stokes coefficients [Wahr et al., 1998] and load Love numbers [Farrell, 1972] can be used to estimate the displacement effects in three components (Up, North and East) caused by mass load changes. The mathematical relationships [Kusche and Schrama, 2005; van Dam et al., 2007] between the radial surface displacement (Up or Height) and the Stokes coefficients of mass is:

$$\Delta h = dr(\theta, \phi) = R \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\text{max}}} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \bar{P}_{l,m}(\cos \theta) \cdot (C_{l,m} \cos(m\phi) + S_{l,m} \sin(m\phi)) \frac{h_l}{1 + k_l}$$

(1)

where $\Delta h$ is the displacement of the Earth's surface in the radial direction at latitude $\theta$ (theta) and eastward longitude $\phi$ (phi); $N_{\text{max}}=60$, $R$ is the Earth's radius; $\bar{P}_{l,m}$ is fully normalized Legendre functions for degree $l$ and order $m$; $C_{l,m}$ and $S_{l,m}$ are time variable components of the $(l,m)$ Stokes coefficients for some month; and $h_l$, $k_l$ and $l_l$ are the three degree dependent load Love numbers which are functions of Earth's elastic property. In this equation we adopted the
load Love numbers provided by Han and Wahr [1995].

Similarly, horizontal displacements (North and East) can be calculated using the following equations:

\[
\Delta n = dr(\theta, \phi) = -R \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \bar{P}_{lm}(\cos \theta) \cdot (C_{lm} \cos(m\phi) + S_{lm} \sin(m\phi)) \frac{l_{l,m}}{1 + k_{l,m}},
\]

and

\[
\Delta e = dr(\theta, \phi) = \frac{R}{\sin \theta} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \bar{P}_{lm}(\cos \theta) \cdot m(-C_{lm} \sin(m\phi) + S_{lm} \cos(m\phi)) \frac{l_{l,m}}{1 + k_{l,m}},
\]

where \( \Delta n \) and \( \Delta e \) are north and east components of the displacement, respectively, with both having positive values when the crust moves towards the north and east, respectively. As is mentioned in Section 2.1 above, in order to be consistently comparable to the GPS time series, we corrected the degree-1 components to GRACE-derived mass variations, using Stokes coefficients derived by Swenson et al. [2008]. With corresponding to degree-1 contribution to vertical displacement, the value of load Love numbers of the degree-1 in the CF frame should be computed by using equation (23) in Blewitt [2003].

Figure 4 shows an example (site BJFS, JIXN, TAIN and ZHNZ) of the GRACE-derived vertical (Figure 4c) and horizontal displacements (Figure 4a and 4b) before and after destriping. It can be clearly seen that the maximal amplitude of vertical displacement is several order of magnitude higher than horizontal displacements. In addition, the calculated results using the monthly GRACE model data after destriping show that the effects of TWS (soil moisture, etc.) on surface displacements are seasonal variations and long-term changes on vertical and horizontal components. As most of the stations are located in areas of TWS.
loss in the NCP (see sites location in Figure 2), the fact is that the motion is upward (see the positive trend of GRACE-derived vertical in Table 1) during this event (if a load is removed, the site uplifts and moves away from the load [Wahr et al., 2013]). Identified horizontal displacements are important as they constrain the location of load changes [Wahr et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014]. The displacement of the ZHNZ site is upwards and to the south (see the negative trend of the ZHNZ north component in Figure 4a) due to the mass loss almost due north of the site. Correspondingly, the displacement of the TAIN site is upwards and to the southeast (see the negative trend of the north component and the positive trend of the east component of the TAIN site in Figure 4a and 4b) caused by the mass loss located to the northwest of the site, based on the use of GPS horizontals for loading studies from Wahr et al. [2013].

3. GRACE-derived Seasonal Variations and Comparison with GPS Measurements

Using equation (1) and GRACE-derived Stokes coefficients, the vertical displacements at the GPS sites in the NCP and its surrounding region can be calculated. To focus on these changes, GRACE-derived vertical displacements were computed by fitting a model with a linear trend and annual periodic terms using Least-Squares method over the entire 11 year time span, for comparison to the seasonal variations observed by GPS (Table 1). Figure 5 shows time series of vertical displacements for GPS sites of IGS stations (BJFS, BJSH, JIXN, TAIN and ZHNZ). The fitting results show the GRACE-derived (without ADO1B) peak-to-peak annual amplitudes can be more than 2 mm, and the semi-annual amplitude are also visible at these five GPS sites. This reflects the climate-derived seasonal hydrological fluctuations in the
Compared with GRACE results mainly due to the mass change in seasonal and long-term linear period, all GPS time series show significant seasonal and long-term trends which are mainly dominated by tectonic and hydrological process. The fitting results (after Least-Squares fitting) show the peak-to-peak vertical seasonal displacements from GPS time series are to be larger than GRACE-derived results at those GPS sites, and the peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude changes between 5 mm and 6 mm (Table 1). The results of the comparison between GPS and GRACE-derived seasonal height variations at 24 GPS sites from CMONOC can be seen in Figure S3 in the supporting information. For all the selected GPS sites, the annual component is more dominant than the semi-annual one. The peak-to-peak annual amplitude is 1.2~6.3 mm and 1.0~2.2 mm for the GPS and GRACE solutions, respectively, while the semi-annual amplitude is about 1/2~1/3 times of that in annual amplitude. These more consistent seasonal variations of GRACE and GPS height time series reflect the climate-derived seasonal hydrologic process, i.e., heavy monsoonal precipitation in the late summer months result in mass loads increase (the maximum negative of vertical amplitude) and largely pumped for agricultural usage in late spring months cause mass loads decrease (the maximum positive of vertical amplitude). The facts show we observe the fact that the amplitude of GPS data is relatively has a larger amplitude than that of GRACE-derived displacements, which does not merely exist only in the IGS stations, but also in almost all CMONOC stations except SXGX (Table 1). This indicates that GPS has a strong sensitivity for local surface loading.
resolution of GRACE data is limited to approximately 300 km ($N_{\text{max}}=60$), GRACE-derived results are mainly constrained by large scale areas. This means that GRACE-derived vertical displacements show a small difference between stations due to the results are averaged over scales of several hundred km or more.

As is mentioned above, the cause of the difference between our results and Liu's work [Liu et al., 2014] is that we removed atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects while they did not. However, we found that the amplitude of GPS after removed atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects, is still greater than the GRACE while we added the AOD1B de-aliasing model to the GRACE solutions (i.e., no atmospheric and non-tidal ocean corrected, please see the Table S1 in the supporting information). The most obvious difference between our results and Liu's work [Liu et al., 2014] is that they adopt the lead Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004] to transform these coefficients into vertical surface displacement estimates. We check the two results of Love numbers (ocean load and atmospheric pressure load) from Guo et al. [2004], there are significant differences between ocean load and atmospheric pressure load Love numbers. Meanwhile, we compared $a_n$ Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004] (Liu et al.'s work) and $a_n$ Love numbers from Han and Wahr [1995] (our work) with the $a_n$ Love numbers used in AOD1B products [Farrell, 1972], respectively. The different Love numbers have caused the amplitude of the same station from Liu's GRACE derived vertical displacements much more than GPS and our GRACE results, due to $a_n$ from atmospheric pressure lead Love numbers [Guo et al., 2004] significantly larger than Love numbers from Han and Wahr [1995] and Farrell [1972]. The detailed analysis of the different Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004],
Next, we compare GPS observed and GRACE-derived seasonal height variations. The estimated annual amplitudes and initial phases derived from GPS (grey vector) and GRACE (red vector) are shown in Figure 6. We find that there are many sites where the signals disagree in both amplitude and phase. The annual amplitudes and phases from GRACE-derived results are much more spatially coherent than those determined from the GPS heights because GRACE solutions truncate to $l_{\text{max}}=60$ and the Gaussian filtering was used to lead to so smooth out concentrated loads. The phase results of the GRACE-derived displacements data show that the annual signal peaks basically appear (summer monsoon) basic between September and October, which indicates a and indicate that the maximum load occurs in this two months (summer monsoon). However, But there are several differences between the results of GPS and GRACE in some sites, more specifically, where the GRACE signals disagree in phase with GPS data, which the annual signal peaks sometimes appear, sometimes between August and September according to GPS data. The five signals of sites in the northwest foothills region of NCP agree in phase, while annual amplitudes from GRACE are significantly less than GPS, e.g., NMTK, NMZL, HEYY, HEZJ and HECC. The cause of mostly phase inconsistency may be the different spatial resolution of GRACE compared to GPS. That is, GPS measurements can sense the difference between loads very near the site, and loads a bit further away, but GRACE with wavelengths on the order of 300 km reflects this variation at a monthly scale. Another important reason is
that a one-month sampling of GRACE means a phase sampling of 30°, while a one-day sampling of GPS means a phase sampling of ~1°, the different temporal sampling rate caused the inconsistent phase between GRACE and GPS.

With the purpose of quantitatively evaluating the consistency between GPS and GRACE, we remove GRACE-derived seasonal displacement from GPS observed detrended height time series to compute the reductions of Weighted Root Mean Squares (WRMS) based on the equation (2) in van Dam et al. [2007]. Correlation between GPS and GRACE derived seasonal variations and WRMS reduction ratio of remove GRACE-derived seasonal displacement from GPS observed detrended height time series, please see the Table S384 in the supporting information for details. All the selected sites show high correlation (85%–99%, without TJBH site) when atmospheric and non-tidal ocean effects was not removed. Our correlation results of IGS stations (BJFS, BISH, JIXN, TAIN and ZHNZ) are consistent with Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014], indicating that the seasonal variations might come from the same geophysical process. The WRMS residual reduction ratio for all the stations ranged from 10% to 85%, which is better than Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014]. However, the correlation and WRMS reduction between GPS and GRACE are weak when atmospheric and non-tidal ocean effects was removed, with the average correlation and WRMS reduction reduce to 75.6% and 28.9%, respectively. This is mainly because the seasonal hydrologic process is major contributors to seasonal changes, and different stations are greatly influenced by the surrounding hydrological process. By contrast, the seasonal amplitudes and phases from GRACE results are much more spatially coherent than those determined from the GPS
heights, caused by the different spatial resolution between them. In addition, we also attempt to calculate GRACE-derived horizontal displacement using equations (2) and (3), and compare it with the GPS measurements. An example (five IGS sites) of the comparison between GRACE-derived and GPS observed horizontal displacements was presented to demonstrate the correlation of seasonal horizontal variation caused by surface hydrological load. Please see the Figure S4 in the supporting information.

4. Long-Term Uplift Caused by TWS Loss

4.1 Compare Groundwater Storage (GWS) Variations With in-situ Measurements and Previous Results

To estimate TWS changes averaged over the NCP, an averaging kernel based on a calculation that using weighted Gaussian convolution to construct monthly time series from GRACE Stokes coefficients described by equation (5) of Wahr et al. [2014] was used. This method extends the averaging kernel convolution approach [Swenson and Wahr, 2002] by allowing for nonuniform weighting during the convolution. We took the NCP “basin function” from the China provincial boundary grid points and we convolved with a 250 km Gaussian smoothing. We then applied this averaging kernel to GRACE Stokes coefficients to obtain a TWS time series for NCP (Figure 7). The results identified a continuous decrease in TWS from 2003 to 2009; the rate of this decrease slowed towards the end of 2009. The rate of TWS loss obtained by this analysis was 1.62 cm/yr from 2003 to 2009 and 1.23 cm/yr from 2003 to 2012 (Table 2).
The estimated results for the time series analysis also include some contributions outside the NCP due to the finite number of harmonic degrees in the GRACE solution (e.g., $l_{\text{max}}=60$ for CSR solutions). The average kernel in our study is also not an exact unity cover for the entire NCP area; these two factors result in under- or overestimation of the true TWS time series signal. To estimate this “leakage in” signal, a scaling factor method was used to restore the amplitude-damped TWS time series. This method, as described by Wahr et al. [2014], requires the construction of a set of simulated Stokes coefficients which represents the signal from a uniformly distributed 1 cm water depth change over the NCP. This estimates a water volume=3.6626 km$^3$ based on the overall area of “basin function” (i.e., 366260 km$^2$). By applying our GRACE analysis procedure to these simulated Stokes coefficients, we can infer an average water thickness change equal to 0.47 cm for the NCP. Each monthly GRACE estimate of NCP water thickness is then multiplied by a scaling factor=1 (cm)/0.47 (cm) to obtain variations in the total water thickness per area of the NCP. Multiplying the monthly GRACE estimates of NCP water thickness by a scaling factor=3.6626 (km$^3$)/0.47 (cm) provides a mass change of the NCP. Table 2 shows the rate of GRACE-derived TWS loss (after multiplying by the scaling factor) in the NCP was 3.39 cm/yr from 2003 to 2009; this is equivalent to a volume of 12.42 km$^3$/yr. For a 10-year time span, the rate was 2.57 cm/yr, which is equivalent to a volume of 9.41 km$^3$/yr.

In our study, the GRACE-based TWS time series covers water change Loading or unloading of the crust from surface mass changes will cause the crust to subside or uplift with different amplitudes. These displacements depend on the amplitude of the load and the distance...
between the load and the observation point [Farrell, 1972]. On this basis, we used GRACE-derived vertical displacements (the method of elastic displacements due to mass loads described by Section 2.2) to evaluate TWS loss contributions for the evident crustal uplift in the GPS measurements. Time series of monthly predicted vertical surface displacements from GRACE for 25 GPS sites in the NCP were plotted (Figure 8a). The fitting results (after Least-Squares fitting) show the trend rate of GRACE-derived vertical displacements for the whole region at the 0.37–0.95 mm/yr level from 2004 to 2009, but the rate of change direction is inconsistent in different GPS stations at 0.40–0.51 mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013 (Table 1). The smoothed results indicate a rising trend from 2004 to 2013 (Figure 8a) which represented the TWS loss in the observation time span. Figure 8a also clearly shows mass anomaly due to TWS changes in the vertical component, e.g., a notable negative peak from 2003 to 2005 and subsidence in 2012 (gray background in Figure 8a).

These GRACE-derived long term height fluctuations mainly include variations in the storage of natural surface water; high storage in wet years and low storage in dry years [Tang et al., 2013], which can be modeled using land surface model output such as those provided by the GLDAS [Rodell et al., 2004]. We clearly see that these fluctuations are almost erased by removing the modeled soil moisture (SM) of GLDAS/Noah, and the obvious uplift are presented in the decomposition of the signal (Figure 8b), which is mainly because the contributions from groundwater depletion in the NCP (please see the section 5.1 for discussion in details).

5. Discussion
5.1 Groundwater Depletion Contributions to Long-Term Uplift

The GRACE-derived vertical displacements are also the effect of mass loading sensitive to water at all depths: surface water storage, soil moisture, snow and groundwater, including anthropogenic effects (i.e., groundwater withdrawal, inter-basin diversion, reservoir and coal transport). To isolate the groundwater contributions, the Noah version of GLDAS which possesses monthly intervals and spatial resolution of 1.0 degrees [Rodell et al., 2004] was used to subtract monthly water storage estimates predicted by land surface models. GLDAS generates a series of land surface forcing (e.g., precipitation, surface meteorology and radiation), state (e.g., soil moisture and temperature, and snow), and flux (e.g., evaporation and sensible heat flux) data simulated by land surface models. The GLDAS/Noah model can provide values of snow, vegetation and all soil moisture layers, but it does not include anthropogenic and climate-driven groundwater depletion. So we isolated GWS variations by groundwater contributions retained in height time series when GRACE derived vertical displacements subtracting simulated SM data from GLDAS/Noah model from GRACE-derived total TWS effects.

In order to confirm validation of the results in this study, our GRACE-based estimate was compared with field measurement data of groundwater level (e.g., in situ water table observations) and the results from previous studied (e.g., the reported TWS loss from Zhong et al. [2009], Su et al. [2011], Moiwo et al. [2009], and the reported GWS loss from Huang et al. [2015], Feng et al. [2013], Tang et al. [2013]). We have acquired in situ groundwater level measurements (most of groundwater table depth in the shallow unconfined aquifers, available...
from 2002 to 2013), which are mainly located in the central and eastern plain of the NCP (including the Beijing, Tianjin and some cities of Hebei, Henan and Shandong province). The data series are obtained from Ministry of Water Resources of China (MWR) (available at: http://sqqx.hydroinfo.gov.cn/shuiziyuan/). We get the area-weighted mean groundwater level change series in the NCP from time series of monthly groundwater table depth changes of 20 cities in our study region. We also collected the daily precipitation data (rainfall amount) for weather stations during the period of 2003~2012 from China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (CMDSSS) (available at: http://cdc.cma.gov.cn/index.jsp). Figure 7 shows our GRACE-based estimate is generally consistent with that monthly groundwater level changes observed by monitoring wells after multiplying by mean value of specific yields in the NCP during 2002~2012.

Note that our GRACE-based TWS time series covers all depth of water mass changes and most of the groundwater level changes from observations of shallow aquifers, which showed the long-term mass loss in the NCP from 2003 to 2009, but the rate of this decrease slowed towards the end of 2009 and then increases again after 2010. This difference in two sub-periods (2004~2009 and 2010~2012) is mainly induced by climate-driven precipitation recharge in NCP (Figure 7). In addition, comparison between monthly GWS variations estimated from GRACE minus GLDAS/Noah model and in situ groundwater level measurements also confirmed the difference of trend changes of GWS in these two sub-periods (Table 2). The rate of GRACE-derived GWS loss (after multiplying by the scaling factor) in the NCP was 2.49 cm/yr from 2003 to 2009 and 2.72 cm/yr from 2003 to
2009: this is equivalent to a volume of 9.12 km$^3$/yr and 9.96 km$^3$/yr, respectively. Our
GRACE-based depletion of groundwater was significantly higher than ground-based
measurements. Although the shallow GWS increase from 2010 to 2012 due to precipitation
recharge in NCP, but the increase of rainfall is difficult to recharge the current more serious
depletion of groundwater in the deep aquifers.

In addition, we compare the depletion in TWS or GWS between our results and previous
studied results. Table 2 shows our results and compare them with the earlier analysis in
different zones where TWS or GWS loss surveys have been published. We found that the
trend rate of our GRACE-based GWS in the whole NCP region is in good agreement with that
reported by Huang et al. [2015] during 2003–2012 and Feng et al. [2013] during 2003–2010,
which are estimated from the level 2 Release-05 GRACE data and multiplied by the scaling
factor. However, other previous results showed obvious difference between the loss rate of
TWS and GWS because these studies used the early versions of the GRACE data, different
defined area of NCP or do not use scaling factor compared with Huang’s, Feng’s and our
study. For instance, Zhong et al. [2009] found a rate of 2.4 cm/yr from 2003 to 2007 based on
level 2 Release-04 GRACE data in Beijing, Hebei and Tianjin; Su et al. [2009] calculated
TWS and GWS declining at a rate of 1.1 cm/yr and 0.5 cm/yr from 2002 to 2010, respectively,
based on level 2 Release-04 GRACE data in Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Shandong, and Henan;
and Moiwo et al. [2013] estimated a TWS loss rate of 1.68 cm/yr from 2002 to 2009 in the
vast north China (i.e., in addition to Beijing and Tianjin, the study area is comprised of 12
other provinces). Although Tang et al. [2013] did not applied scaling factor to restore the
amplitude-damped GRACE signal, but they used the latest GRACE products (RL05) and the same region of NCP with our study. Thus, Tang et al. [2013] estimated a GWS depletion rate of 0.84 to 1.4 cm/yr (2003–2011) is also in good agreement with our estimated result before being multiplied by scaling factor (i.e., the rate of TWS loss was 1.23 cm/yr from 2003 to 2012).

4.2 Groundwater Depletion Contributions to Long-Term Uplift

Loading or unloading of the crust from surface mass changes will cause the crust to subside or uplift with different amplitudes. These displacements depend on the amplitude of the load and the distance between the load and the observation point [Farrell, 1972]. On this basis, we used GRACE-derived vertical displacements (the method of elastic displacements due to mass loads described by Section 2.3) to evaluate TWS loss contributions for the evident crustal uplift in the GPS measurements. Time series of monthly predicted vertical surface displacements from GRACE for 25 GPS sites in the NCP were plotted (Figure 8a). The fitting results (after Least-Squares fitting) show the trend rate of GRACE-derived vertical displacements for the whole region at the 0.37–0.95 mm/yr level from 2004 to 2009, but the rate of change direction is inconsistent in different GPS stations at −0.40–0.51 mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013 (Table 1). The smoothed results indicate a rising trend from 2004 to 2012 (Figure 8a) which represented the TWS loss in the observation time span. Figure 8a also clearly shows mass anomaly due to TWS changes in the vertical component, e.g., a notable negative peak from 2003 to 2005 and subsidence in 2012 (grey background in Figure 8a).

These GRACE-derived long-term height fluctuations mainly include variations in the storage.
of natural surface water: high storage in wet years and low storage in dry years [Tang et al., 2013], which can be modeled using land surface model output such as those provided by the GLDAS [Rodell et al., 2004].

Figure 8b shows the GRACE-derived height amplitudes after removing the continental water storage signal which uses the output from the GLDAS/Noah hydrology model to remove the continental water storage signal. The calculated results show that the contributions of other types of TWS effects (except groundwater) on the surface are small relative to groundwater depletion, and those main loading effects mainly affect the amplitudes of seasonal displacement with no obvious long-term trend. Meanwhile, we clearly see that these fluctuations (grey background in Figure 8a) are almost erased from the GRACE-derived minus GLDAS/Noah vertical displacements, and the obvious continuous uplift are presented in grey background of Figure 8b, which is mainly because the contributions from groundwater depletion in the NCP. Contrasts between the seasonal amplitudes, phases and trend fit of vertical displacement derived by GRACE displacements between before and after removing GLDAS/Noah effects and the original ones. Please from GRACE derived displacement, please see the Table S4 in the supporting information.

For the results described above, after the subtraction of the GLDAS/Noah contributions, GRACE-derived heights largely reflect loading effects from the groundwater (natural and anthropogenic factors) and anthropogenic contributions. The anthropogenic impact on mass change was investigated by Tang et al. [2013] for the effect of inter-basin diversion, reservoir
and coal transport distribution on the GRACE-derived estimates of groundwater depletion in the NCP. Results from their investigation showed that the trend of anthropogenic contributions was equivalent to 4.83 mm/yr water thickness (described by equations in Table 2 of Tang et al. [2013]) during 2003~2011 for the whole NCP. This means that there was a large groundwater depletion contribution for the GRACE-derived vertical displacements in long-term uplift. Investigating groundwater withdrawal due to anthropogenic activities (drinking water extraction, agricultural irrigation and industrial manufacturing) should be of high importance because precipitation data for this area (shown in Figure 7 provided by the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System, available at http://cdc.nmic.cn/home.do) indicated no long-term droughts during the GRACE observation period of 2003~2011.

5. Discussion

5.1 The Loading Effects of Non-tidal Ocean and Atmospheric Variations

As part of the processing performed by the GRACE Project, the GRACE Stokes coefficients (denoted by the GRACE Project as “GSM” coefficients) have had modeled estimates of the atmospheric and oceanic mass signals removed. Thus the GRACE coefficients include the full effects of terrestrial water storage. The GRACE Project provides the modeled atmospheric and oceanic contributions to the Stokes coefficients in two forms: “GAC” files which include the global atmospheric and oceanic effects, and “GAD” files which have had the atmospheric signals over land set to zero. The coefficients in the GAD file therefore represent ocean bottom pressure variations. “GAA” files are those add CSR’s GAC files to the GSM files, and subtract the GAD files. The coefficients in the GAA file represent atmospheric pressure
As is mentioned in Section 1, the cause of the difference between our results and Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014] is we removed atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects while they did not. However, we found that the amplitude of GPS after removing atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading effects, is still greater than the GRACE while we added the AOD1B (GAC solution) de-aliasing model to the GRACE solutions (i.e., no atmospheric and non-tidal ocean corrected, please see the Table S1 in the supporting information). The most obvious difference between our results and Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014] is that they adopt the load Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004] to transform these coefficients into vertical surface displacement estimates. We check the two results of Love numbers (ocean-load and atmospheric pressure-load) from Guo et al. [2004], there are significant differences between ocean-load and atmospheric pressure-load Love numbers. Meanwhile, we compared \( k_n \) Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004] (Liu et al.’s work) and \( k_n \) Love numbers from Han and Wahr [1995], respectively. The different Love numbers have caused the amplitude of the same station from Liu’s GRACE-derived vertical displacements much more than GPS and our GRACE results, due to \( k_n \) from atmospheric pressure-load Love numbers [Guo et al., 2004] significantly larger than Love numbers from Han and Wahr [1995] and Farrell [1972]. The detailed analysis of the different Love numbers from Guo et al. [2004], Han and Wahr [1995] and Farrell [1972], please see the Section S1 in the supporting information. Moreover, Table S3 in the supporting information indicate that our correlation results of IGS stations (BJFS, BJSH, JIXN, TAIN...
and ZHNZ) are consistent with Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014], indicating that the seasonal variations might come from the same geophysical process. The WRMS residual reduction ratio for all the stations ranged from 19% to 85%, which is better than Liu’s work [Liu et al., 2014]. However, the correlation and WRMS reduction between GPS and GRACE are weak when atmospheric and non-tidal ocean effects was removed, with the average correlation and WRMS reduction reduce to 75.6% and 28.9%, respectively. This is mainly because the seasonal hydrologic process is major contributors to seasonal changes, and different stations are greatly influenced by the surrounding hydrological process.

5.2 Removing Hydrological Loading Displacement from GPS Using GRACE Data

Coordinate variations measured by GPS stations, principally for the vertical component, have been used to investigate global [Dong et al., 2002] and local [Grapenthin et al., 2006] tectonic activity, as well as seasonal displacement modes for constraining estimates of continental, atmospheric and ocean water storage. Some previous studies [e.g., Fu et al., 2012] have focused on the vertical component of crustal motion with relying on the accurate interpretation of GPS motion in terms of surface stress or tectonic movement. Thus, the displacement signal from surface mass loading is a source of noise [van Dam et al., 2007]. For these applications, they would like to obtain reliable loading models or even surface mass observations, which can be used to reduce the environmental loading contributions to the GPS observations. In this study, we also attempt to separate tectonic and hydrological effect using GRACE-derived hydrological vertical rates. As mentioned in the Section 3, the good seasonal correlation between GRACE and GPS signals indicates that the long-term uplifts revealed by
GRACE detections are probably true and mixed in the GPS measurements.

Figure 9 shows results for individual GPS time series. Crustal subsidence or uplift due to vertical tectonic motion and TWS changes in the studied period are clearly evident in the vertical component shown in most of the GPS stations; In fact, the analysis in the five IGS GPS stations (BJFS, BJSH, JIXN, TAIN and ZHNZ) suggests that the GPS vertical time series can be described by two different rates around 2010, due to a continuous decrease in TWS from 2004 until 2009; towards the end of 2009 the rate of this decrease slowed and rate started to rise since 2010 (please see Figure 6). Thus, we divided it into two sub-periods when fitting GPS and GRACE trend for these five stations (Figure 9a). GPS trend changes indicate an overall uplift for the whole region at the $0.04 \pm 1.47$ mm/yr level from 2004 to 2009, but the rate of change direction is inconsistent in different GPS stations at $-0.94 \pm 2.55$ mm/yr level from 2010 to 2013 (Table 1).

In addition, the long-term trend rate is different in different areas from 2010 to 2013 (Figure 9b). For example, the trend rate from GPS measurements shows the uplift in western NCP (Shanxi-SX, and some of Hebei-HE stations), but opposite trends in the central and eastern plain of NCP (Beijing-BJ, Tianjin-TJ and some of Hebei-HE stations). The groundwater depletion which occurs in the shallow unconfined aquifers in Piedmont Plain leads to the loading uplift effect from mass loss. But groundwater depletion occurs in the deep confined aquifers in the central and eastern plain of NCP, which causes serious ground subsidence, rather than ground uplift caused by groundwater loss.
It is also possible that some GPS signals could be a result of loading from changes in the distribution of water stored in the surface and ground around the GPS surrounding region. To remove those contributions, Stokes coefficients output from the GRACE model were used to compute crustal motion at the NCP (Figure 8a), and then transform the monthly results into daily resolution data using a spline interpolation. In addition, GRACE solutions are corrected for GIA while GPS ones are not. Here Stokes coefficients results from A et al. [2013] were used to remove GIA effects from GPS measurements, which is about 0.2–0.4 mm/year in the land areas of China and 0.28–0.33 mm/year in the NCP (Please see the Figure S5 and Table S4 in the supporting information).

We compute the GRACE-derived long-term uplift for all continuous GPS sites used in this paper. The results indicate an overall uplift for the NCP region. Then we remove this TWS induced uplift from GPS actual observed vertical rates to derive the corrected vertical velocities. Figure 10 divided the time into two sub-periods (2004–2009 and 2010–2013) to indicate an overall long-term trend before (gray arrow) and after (red arrow) removing hydrological loading displacement for the whole region. Secular displacement results between 2004 and 2009 show that loading displacement due to the TWS loss reduce the uplift rate of GPS to some extent, and groundwater exploitation was the main contributor to crustal uplift caused by TWS loss in the NCP (BJFS, BJSH and JIXN in the Figure 10a). However, studies indicate that groundwater withdrawal produces localized subsidence which can be largely relative to tectonic displacement [Bawden et al., 2001]. Therefore, in this study, more
attention was paid to land subsidence due to groundwater loss.

5.3 Land subsidence in the central and eastern of NCP

Land subsidence has been commonly observed in the NCP, and has become the main factor that impacts regional sustainable economic and social development [Guo et al., 2015]. Over the past years, the scope and magnitude of land subsidence has expanded. In this study, we used GPS sites to obtain time series of land subsidence evolution characteristics. The trend rates from GPS sites, after removing the rates from GRACE-derived long-term uplift and GIA effects can be seen in Figure 10b (the gray background areas in the dashed white box) to reflect the rate of land subsidence from 2010 to 2013, which is because the groundwater exploitation in the deep confined aquifers has a more serious impact on land subsidence [Guo et al., 2015]. The results show that Tianjin becomes the most serious subsidence area, e.g., in the Tanggu and Hangu district (TJBH), with an average subsidence of ~14 mm/yr after 2010; In Wuqing district (TJWQ), recent subsidence averaged ~43 mm/yr. Because the Cangxian district (HECX) is close in proximity to the Jinghai region of Tijian, the sedimentation rate of ~20 mm/yr can represent the subsidence trend of southwest Tianjin. However, the difference of spatial distribution of land subsidence is large in Tianjin, and uneven settlement characteristics are obvious. For example, the southwest and western areas of Tianjin are the most serious areas, and the trend of land subsidence exists in the northward but the amplitude is small relative to the southwest and western areas, i.e., (JIXN site shows a small negative trend (~-0.6 mm/yr). The cause for subsidence in the Tianjin area is linked to over exploitation of groundwater, an issue that has not been effectively controlled resulting in
rapidly developing land subsidence in the suburbs in recent years [Yi et al., 2011].

In the central and eastern region of NCP, where disastrous land subsidence has also occurred in Beijing and cities in central of Hebei province and the northeast of Shandong province, for instance, large subsidence zone in Hebei province has formed from north to south, where start from the western region of Beijing (BJFS, BJSH and BJYQ station), via the eastern region of Xingtai and Handan (HELY station), extend to the northern of Hebi (HAHB station belong to Henan province).

However, results from our investigation show that the center of land subsidence does not completely overlap the TWS loss contributions (see the secular trend maps of the TWS changes of NCP in Figure 2). The uplift still exists even when we removed the rates from GRACE-derived and GIA effects in the piedmont of Taihang Mountains and the western part of NCP (Shanxi province), where the groundwater depletion occurs in the shallow unconfined aquifers have not led to a large area of subsidence. The reason for this difference with the western region of NCP is that crustal uplift is mainly controlled by tectonic movement, which is the orogenic belt and plateau area in western of the Taihang Mountains basic in the uplift.

In our results, most of the corrected vertical velocities at GPS stations, especially in the central and eastern region of NCP, agree with the previous study results, i.e., combining with mobile and continuous GPS observation [Zhao et al., 2014] and using GPS stations from GNSS and leveling data [MLR, 2015], The results of vertical crust movement in the NCP from the previous study, please see the Figure S6 in the supporting information.
6. Conclusions

Temporal variations in the geographic distribution of surface mass (continental water, ocean mass and atmospheric mass) can lead to displacement of the Earth's surface. Due to excessive exploitation of groundwater resources the NCP area has become susceptible to land subsidence, and it has become one of the most affected areas in the world. Calculating the loading displacement can explain the natural displacement phenomenon, and it presents new insight into the dynamics of land subsidence.

Traditional displacement observation has space limitations. Based on the elastic displacement of the Earth’s crust by surface loadings, this study combined GRACE and GPS data to investigate vertical displacements in the NCP area. GRACE data was used to model vertical displacements due to changes in hydrological loads. The results showed both GPS and GRACE data to observe strong seasonal variations. Comparisons between the observed GPS seasonal vertical displacement and GRACE-derived seasonal displacement demonstrated that a consistent physical mechanism is responsible for TWS changes, i.e., the seasonal hydrospheric mass movements due to climate variability cause periodic displacements of the lithosphere.

As well as the significant seasonal characteristics, GRACE also exhibited a long-term mass loss in this region; the rate of GRACE-derived TWS loss (after multiplying by the scaling factor) in the NCP was 3.39 cm/yr from 2003 to 2009, which is equivalent to a volume of
12.42 km$^3$/yr. The rate was 2.57 cm/yr from 2003 to 2012, equivalent to a volume of 9.41
km$^3$/yr. The TWS loss was principally due to groundwater depletion in the NCP. We
calculated that the consequent trend rate caused by the load mass change using GRACE data
and removed this hydrological effect from observed GPS vertical rates. Secular displacement
results showed that TWS losses reduced loading displacement to some extent, but the trend
rates disagree due to the difference of spatial distribution with anthropogenic depletion of
TWS in the NCP.

Particularly, land subsidence has been affecting the central and eastern region of NCP,
especially in Tianjin for the past years. Over-pumping of groundwater is the main cause of
land subsidence which has led to comprehensive detrimental effects on the society, the
economy and the natural environment. The impact of groundwater exploitation in different
aquifer systems and active faults in the different regions on land subsidence needs to be
analyzed in future investigations. For example, using GRACE to remove mass loading signals
from a GPS record requires either confidence that there is no concentrated load signal very
near the site, or a scaling factor based on a reliable model of the mass change (the
groundwater depletion rate estimated from monitoring well stations) pattern around the site.
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Table 1. GPS Station information.

Table 2. Trends of GRACE TWS-derived TWS by GRACE and GWS (scaled GRACE minus GLDAS/Noah), in situ measurements (shallow aquifers) and compare with the previous studies during 2003–2009 and 2003–2012.
Figure captions:

**Figure 1.** Study region of North Plain China (NCP) showing locations of continuous GPS stations. White dots represent continuous GPS sites in the Crustal Movement Observation Network of China (CMONOC) and red stars represent the International GNSS Service (IGS) sites. Cities and provinces are labeled as follows: Beijing (BJ), Tianjin (TJ), Hebei province (HE), and Shanxi province (SX).

**Figure 2.** The 2003–2012 secular trend maps (cm/yr) of the terrestrial water storage (TWS) changes in North Plain China (NCP) and surrounding regions derived from GRACE data. Results have been destriped and smoothed with a 250-km Gaussian smoothing function.

**Figure 3.** Daily values of the vertical (positive upward) components of position, as measured at IGS GPS sites BJFS, ZHNZ, BJSH, JIXN and TAIN. The example of displacement due to atmospheric and non-tidal ocean loading at BJFS IGS sites are shown in (b).

**Figure 4.** Surface horizontal (north and east components) and vertical deformation modeled by GRACE in four IGS sites. (a) and (b) show the time series and trend rates of north and east components in BJFS, JIXN, TAIN and ZHNZ, respectively, (c) show the time series of vertical displacements.

**Figure 5.** Time series showing daily values (a) and fitting results (b) of the vertical (positive upward) components from GPS and GRACE-derived at five IGS GPS sites.
**Figure 6.** Comparison of annual amplitudes and initial phases between GPS (grey) and GRACE (red). The initial phases are counterclockwise from the east (reference time is 2004.0).

**Figure 7.** Time series showing total terrestrial water storage (TWS) changes in the spatially averaged area (kernel) of the NCP estimated from CSR GRACE data, monthly groundwater level changes observed by monitoring wells after multiplying by mean value of specific yields in the NCP during 2002~2012 and the daily precipitation data (rainfall amount) for weather stations during the period of 2003~2012 from CMDSSS. The black dashed curve is the temporal smoothing GRACE-based result, the red and blue dashed curve are the long trend of GRACE-based result during 2003~2009 and 2003~2012, respectively. The dashed curve is the temporal smoothing result.

**Figure 8.** GRACE-derived smoothed (dash curves) and long-term (solid curves) vertical displacement time series due to load changes (a), the groundwater depletion contributions estimated from GRACE minus GLDAS data for smoothed (dash curves) and long-term (solid curves) vertical displacements (b), as measured at five IGS stations and twenty CMONOC stations in NCP and its surrounding region. The grey background highlight part shows inflexion effects due to TWS changes in the vertical component.

**Figure 9.** Smoothed (dash curves) and long-term (solid curves) versions of daily values of the
vertical (positive upward) component of position, as measured at twenty nine GPS sites in NCP and its surrounding region, (a) five IGS stations and (b) twenty four CMONOC stations.

Figure 10. GPS (gray arrow, positive upward) and corrected GPS (red arrow, positive upward) vertical trend rate after subtracting the GRACE-derived long-term uplift rate due to load changes and GIA effect between 2004 and 2009 (a), and between 2010 and 2013 (b).
### Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>GRACE</td>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>GRACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJFS</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.50±0.26</td>
<td>1.35±0.24</td>
<td>40.09±6.39</td>
<td>359.63±10.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJSH</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.25±0.23</td>
<td>1.25±0.23</td>
<td>52.75±4.20</td>
<td>1.00±11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIXN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2.46±0.22</td>
<td>1.32±0.23</td>
<td>32.20±5.11</td>
<td>359.72±10.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAIN</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3.31±0.32</td>
<td>2.07±0.39</td>
<td>16.44±5.66</td>
<td>349.43±11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZHNZ</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38±0.36</td>
<td>2.24±0.43</td>
<td>28.18±8.94</td>
<td>354.76±11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJGB</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.61±0.41</td>
<td>1.25±0.23</td>
<td>32.07±6.58</td>
<td>4.25±11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIYQ</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.55±0.41</td>
<td>1.23±0.23</td>
<td>25.82±6.87</td>
<td>3.34±11.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAIH</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.44±0.42</td>
<td>2.13±0.42</td>
<td>24.12±6.93</td>
<td>349.19±11.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAJY</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28±0.51</td>
<td>2.05±0.44</td>
<td>9.28±13.00</td>
<td>355.65±12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECC</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.49±0.39</td>
<td>1.17±0.23</td>
<td>9.25±9.05</td>
<td>11.15±11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECI</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.09±0.36</td>
<td>1.27±0.23</td>
<td>40.28±5.21</td>
<td>7.63±11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HECX</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.57±0.51</td>
<td>1.62±0.29</td>
<td>41.20±6.82</td>
<td>348.56±10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELQ</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.06±0.37</td>
<td>1.67±0.28</td>
<td>30.89±10.63</td>
<td>354.34±9.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELY</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.65±0.37</td>
<td>1.88±0.33</td>
<td>10.54±8.44</td>
<td>350.61±10.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETS</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.59±0.42</td>
<td>1.39±0.24</td>
<td>279.75±15.31</td>
<td>355.30±10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEYY</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3.40±0.39</td>
<td>1.20±0.23</td>
<td>2.92±6.44</td>
<td>7.32±11.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEZI</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.95±0.35</td>
<td>1.13±0.23</td>
<td>364.91±10.74</td>
<td>15.62±12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMTK</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.37±0.47</td>
<td>1.02±0.23</td>
<td>8.85±7.09</td>
<td>25.83±13.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMZL</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.72±0.39</td>
<td>1.15±0.23</td>
<td>37.43±14.85</td>
<td>30.16±12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDIX</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.36±0.40</td>
<td>2.22±0.41</td>
<td>39.05±9.97</td>
<td>350.76±11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDZB</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59±0.44</td>
<td>1.90±0.37</td>
<td>25.65±6.87</td>
<td>347.50±11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXZC</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.99±0.47</td>
<td>1.93±0.39</td>
<td>35.27±6.48</td>
<td>352.64±12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXGX</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.17±0.51</td>
<td>1.81±0.39</td>
<td>311.44±26.46</td>
<td>359.06±12.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXLF</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.65±0.48</td>
<td>1.79±0.40</td>
<td>18.16±7.77</td>
<td>363.02±13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXLQ</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.63±0.47</td>
<td>1.38±0.24</td>
<td>25.49±7.95</td>
<td>362.70±10.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXXX</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.93±0.54</td>
<td>1.98±0.43</td>
<td>15.09±10.44</td>
<td>363.17±13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TJBD</td>
<td>TJBH</td>
<td>TJWQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWS</td>
<td>3.36±0.48</td>
<td>6.25±0.45</td>
<td>5.08±0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRACE</td>
<td>1.38±0.24</td>
<td>1.49±0.26</td>
<td>1.43±0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>25.80±7.38</td>
<td>42.18±9.56</td>
<td>0.11±8.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWS</td>
<td>355.65±10.54</td>
<td>350.10±10.60</td>
<td>353.28±10.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>0.58±0.06</td>
<td>0.64±0.06</td>
<td>0.62±0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IGS sites: the observation time between 2003 and 2013.*
*CMONOC sites: the observation time between 2010 and 2013.*

Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trend (cm/yr of the water thickness)</td>
<td>-1.62±0.39</td>
<td>1.23±0.39±0.23</td>
<td>-1.17±0.41±1.24±3.15</td>
<td>1.28±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study after multiplied by a scaling factor=1 (cm)/0.47 (cm)</td>
<td>-3.39±1.27±0.81±8.13</td>
<td>-2.57±0.49</td>
<td>-2.49±0±1.41±7.79</td>
<td>2.72±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study after multiplied by a scaling factor=3.6626 (kms)/0.47 (cm)</td>
<td>-12.42±3.15</td>
<td>-9.41±1.79</td>
<td>-9.12±3.34</td>
<td>-9.96±1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ measurements (shallow aquifers)</td>
<td>-1.57±0.31</td>
<td>-0.98±0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. (2015)</td>
<td>-2.83±0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feng et al.</td>
<td>-2.2±0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al.</td>
<td>-0.8--1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al.</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moiwo et al.</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhong et al.</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a cm/yr of the water thickness
b km³/yr of the mass
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(a) GRACE-derived vertical displacement

(b) GRACE-derived minus GLDAS vertical displacement
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