Seasonal cycles and trends of water budget components in 18 river basins across the Tibetan Plateau: a multiple datasets perspective
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Highlights

- Monthly basin-wide ET was calculated through water balance considering the impacts of glacier and water storage change.
- Water budget components and trends for 18 river basins over the TP were evaluated.
- Uncertainties were discussed from multiple dataset perspective.
Abstract. The insights-dynamics of water budget over the Tibetan Plateau (TP) are not fully understood so far due to the lack of quantitative observations of the land surface processes-water cycle. Here, we investigated the seasonal cycles and trends of water budget components, e.g., precipitation, runoff and evapotranspiration (ET), in 18 TP basins through the use of using multi-source datasets during the period 1982-2011. A two-step bias correction procedure was applied to calculate the basin-wide evapotranspiration (ET) through the water balance considering the influences of glacier and water storage change. The results indicated that precipitation, which mainly concentrated during June-October (varied among different monsoons impacted basins), is the major contributor to the runoff in the TP basins. The basin-wide snow water equivalent (SWE) was relatively higher from mid-autumn to spring for most TP basins. The water cycles intensified under a global warming in most basins except for the upper Yellow and Yalong Rivers, which were significantly influenced by the weakening East Asian monsoon. Corresponded to Consistent with the climate warming and moistening in the TP and western China, the aridity index (PET/P) in most basins decreased. The general hydrological regimes could be inferred from the perspective of multi-source datasets although there are considerable uncertainties from different datasets, which are comparable to some existing studies using the field observations and complex modeling approaches. The results highlighted the usefulness of integrating the multi-source data (e.g., in situ observations, remote sensing products, reanalysis, land surface model simulations and climate model outputs) for hydrological applications in the data-sparse environments.
regions and could be benefit-beneficial for understanding the water and energy budgets, sustainable management of water resources under a warming climate in the harsh and the data-sparse Tibetan Plateau.

1 Introduction

As the highest plateau in the globe (the average elevation is higher than 4000 meters above the sea level), the Tibetan Plateau (TP, also called “the roof of the world” or “the third Pole”) is one of the most vulnerable region under a warming climate and is subjected to strong interactions among atmosphere, hydrosphere, biosphere and cryosphere in the earth system (Duan and Wu, 2006; Yao et al., 2012; Liu W. et al., 2016b). It also serves as the “Asian water tower” with-from which many major Asian rivers such as Yellow River, Yangtze River, Brahmaputra River, Mekong River, Indus River, etc., originate from which It provides a vital water resource to support hundreds of millions of people in China and the surrounding countries (Immerzell et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). Knowledge about the water budgets and their responses to the changing environment is thus crucial for understanding the hydrological regimes and for sustainable water resources management as well as environmental protection in this special region (Yang et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015).

The TP is also known as a typical data-sparse mountain region which brings great challenges to hydrological and related land surface studies (Zhang et al., 2007; Li F. et al., 2013; Liu X. et al., 2016). For example, since the 1950s, totally 750 stations have been established over China by the Chinese Meteorological Administration (CMA), among which only less than 80 stations are distributed over the plateau (Wang and
Zeng, 2012). They are primary sparse and unevenly located at relatively low elevation regions, focus only on the meteorological variables and lack of other land surface observations such as evapotranspiration, snow water equivalent and latent heat fluxes, etc. In addition, long-term consecutive observations of river discharge, snow depth, lake depth and glacier melts in the TP are also absent (Akhta et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2016). Therefore, the insights of water balance over various TP river basins located at different monsoon-dominant regions are, to some extent, still unclear so far due to the lack of quantitative observations of the land surface processes (Cuo et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016). One way to break over this limitation is to install more instruments to measure the point-scale in situ water budgets (Yang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015), but it is extremely expensive to maintain long-term observations at the harsh environment and is often difficult to be applied to basin or regional scales. Another more popular way workaround is to simulate basin-wide water budgets through physical-based land surface models at several large river basins forced with remote sensing data and large-scale gridded meteorological forcing datasets (Bookhagen and Burbank, 2010; Xue et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Cuo et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). However, it is still difficult to use land surface models to multiple basins especially to the relatively smaller ones under complex terrains due to the lack of adequate data for model calibration and validation (Li F. et al., 2014). It is also limited by the lack of adequate data for model calibration/validation and is hard to be used to multiple basins especially to relatively smaller basins under the complex terrains (Li F. et al., 2014). In recent years, a number of global (or regional) datasets for water budget...
components have been released recently including remote sensing-based retrievals (Tapley et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010; Long et al., 2014; Zhang Y. et al., 2016), land surface model (LSM) simulations (Rui, 2011), reanalysis outputs (Berrisford et al., 2011; Kobayashi et al., 2015) and gridded forcing data interpolated from the in situ observations (Harries et al., 2014). For example, there are considerable many products for terrestrial evapotranspiration (ET) such as GLEAM_E (Global Land surface Evaporation: the Amsterdam Methodology, Miralles et al., 2011a), MTE_E (a product integrated the point-wise ET observation at FLUXNET sites with geospatial information extracted from surface meteorological observations and remote sensing in a machine-leaning algorithm, Jung et al., 2010), LSM-simulated ETs from Global Land Data Assimilation System version 2 (GLDAS-2) with different land surface schemes (Rodell et al., 2004), ETs from Japanese 55-year reanalysis (JRA55_E), the ERA-Interim global atmospheric reanalysis dataset (ERAI_E) and the National Aeronautic and Space Administration (NASA) Modern Era Retrospective-analysis for Research and Application (MERRA) reanalysis data (Lucchesi, 2012). Moreover, there are also several global or regional LSM-based runoff simulations from GLDAS and the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model (Zhang et al., 2014). A few attempts have been made to validate multiple datasets for certain water budget components and to explore their possible hydrological implications, for example, Li X. et al. (2014) and Liu W. et al. (2016a) evaluated multiple ET estimates against the water balance method at annual and monthly time scales. Bai et al. (2016) assessed streamflow simulations of GLDAS LSMs in five major rivers over the TP based on the discharge observations. Although there are certain uncertainties among different datasets with various spatial and temporal resolutions and calculated through different algorithms (Xia et al., 2012), they do provide a great chance for us to quantify the
general basin-wide water budgets and their uncertainties in gauge-sparse regions such as the TP considered in this study. The objectives of this study are (1) to investigate the general water budgets in 18 river basins across the Tibetan Plateau from the perspective of multiple datasets, and (2) to evaluate the seasonal cycles and annual trends of water budget components for 18 TP basins. The paper is organized as follows: the datasets and methods applied in this study are described in Sect.2. The results of season cycles and annual trends of water budget components for 18 TP basins are presented and discussed in Sect.3. The uncertainties inherited from multiple datasets are also discussed. In the Sect.4, we summarized the general results which would be helpful for understanding the water balances of the TP Rivers located at westerlies-dominated, Indian monsoon-dominated and East Asian monsoon-dominated regions.

2 Data and Method

2.1 Multiple datasets used

2.1.1 Study basins

Eighteen river basins over the TP (Fig.1) with the drainage area ranging from 2832 to 191235 km$^2$ (Table 1) are chosen in this study due to the availability of runoff data during the period 1982-2011. They mainly locate at the northwestern, southeastern and eastern parts of the plateau with multiyear-mean and basin-averaged temperature and precipitation ranging from -5.68 to 0.97 $^\circ$C and 128 to 717 mm, which are solely or combined controlled by the westerlies, the Indian Summer monsoon and the Easter Asian monsoon (Yao et al., 2012). The altitudes of the lowest and highest hydrological gauging stations are 1650 m and 4982 m above the sea level. The glacier
and snow covers are relatively more for the westerlies-dominant basins such as Yerqiang, Yulongkashi and Keliya (10.86~23.27% and 29.16~35.95%, respectively) whereas are less for the East Asian monsoon-dominated basins such as Yellow, Yangtze and Bayin (0~0.96% and 9.42~20.05%, respectively) (Table 1).

2.1.2 Runoff, Precipitation and Terrestrial storage change

Observed daily runoff (Q) during the period 1982-2011 used for water balance calculation for 18 TP basins was obtained from the National Hydrology Almanac of China (Table 2). There are < 30% missing data in some gauging stations such as Yajiang, Tongren, Gandatan and Zelingou. Therefore, the VIC Retrospective Land Surface Dataset over China (1952~2012, VIC_IGSNRR simulated) with a spatial resolution of 0.25 degree and a daily temporal resolution from the Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research (IGSNRR), Chinese Academy of Sciences, is also used. This dataset, which is derived from the VIC model forced by the gridded daily observed forcing (IGSNRR_forcing) (Zhang et al., 2014). A degree-day scheme was used in the model to consider the influences of snow and glacier on hydrological processes. In this study, we first assess the VIC_IGSNRR simulated runoff against the observations for each basin (for example, at Tangnaihai and Pangduo stations in Fig.2). The VIC_IGSNRR simulated runoff is acceptable and could be used to replace the missing values for a given basin, if the Nash Efficiency coefficient (NSE) between the observation and simulation is above 0.65.

Monthly gridded precipitation dataset (0.5 degree, 1961-2011) form CMA, which was
interpolated from observations of 2472 national meteorological stations using the
Thin Plate Spline method, was used in this study (Table 2). Considering the
uncertainty of CMA precipitation over the TP due to the relatively sparse stations used
and the complex terrain conditions, two other precipitation datasets (IGSNRR_forcing
and TRMM (Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission) 3B43 V7, Huffman et al., 2012)
were also applied. The precipitation from IGSNRR forcing datasets (0.25 degree)
was derived by interpolating gauged daily precipitation from 756 CMA stations based
on the synergraphic mapping system algorithm (Shepard, 1984; Zhang et al., 2014)
and was further bias-corrected using the CMA gridded precipitation. The CMA
precipitation is perfectly consistent with TRMM (Corr = 0.86, RMSE = 8.34
mm/month) and IGSNRR forcing (Corr = 0.94, RMSE = 7.15mm/month).
precipitation for multiple basins (and also for the smallest basin above Tongren station,
Fig.2), which reveals the applicability of CMA precipitation under the TP conditions—

Three latest global terrestrial water storage anomaly and water storage change (ΔS)
datasets (available on the GRACE Tellus website: http://grace.jpl.nasa.gov/) retrieved
from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE, Tapley et al., 2004;
Landerer and Swenson, 2012; Long et al., 2014), which were processed separately at
the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), the GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ) and the
Center for Space Research at the University of Texas (CSR), were used. The GRACE
retrievals (2002-2013) from three processing centers were averaged and a glacier
isostatic adjustment correction as well a destriping filter were applied to minimize the
errors and uncertainties of extracted ΔS.

2.1.3 Temperature, potential evaporation and ET
The CMA monthly gridded temperature (0.5 degree) and potential evaporation (PET) dataset (0.5 degree, Harris et al., 2013) from Climatic Research Unit (CRU) in the University of East Anglia were used in this study. Moreover, six published global/regional ET products (four diagnostic products and two LSMs simulations, Table 2), namely (1) GLEAM_E (Miralles et al., 2010, 2011), which estimated three sources of ET (transpiration, soil evaporation and interception) separately through bare soil, short vegetation and vegetation with a tall canopy through a set of algorithm (www.gleam.eu), (2) GNoah_E simulated by GLDAS-2 with the Catchment Noah scheme (http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/hydrology/data-holdings) (Rodell et al., 2004), (3) Zhang_E (Zhang et al., 2010) estimated using the modified Penman-Monteith approach forced with MODIS data, satellite-based vegetation parameters and meteorological observations (http://www.ntsg.umt.edu/project/et), (4) MET_E (Jung et al., 2010) (https://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/geodb/projects/Home.php), (5) VIC_E (Zhang et al., 2014) from VIC_IGSNRR simulations (http://hydro.igsnrr.ac.cn/public/vic_outputs.html) and (6) PML_E (Zhang Y. et al., 2016) computed from global observation-driven Penman-Monteith-Leuning (PML) model (https://data.csiro.au/dap/landingpage?pid=csiro:17375&v=2&d=true).

2.1.4 Vegetation and snow/glacier parameters

Two vegetation parameter datasets, The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the Leaf Area Index (LAI) were used to quantify the dynamics of vegetation for 18 TP basins (Table 2). The NDVI data was obtained from the Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS) (Turker et al., 2005)
while the LAI data was collected from the Global Land Surface Satellite (GLASS) products (http://www.glcf.umd.edu/data/lai/) (Liang and Xiao, 2012). Seasonal snow and glacier are widespread over the plateau which significantly influences the water and energy budgets in the TP, but their observations are difficult due to the harsh environment, especially at the basin scale. However, there are currently a few satellite-based or LSM-simulated products which could provide general information about the variations of snow and glacier. The daily cloud free snow composite product from MODIS Terra-Aqua and the Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System for the Tibetan Plateau was applied to quantify the snow cover changes for each basin (Zhang et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2015). The snow water equivalent (SWE) retrieved from Global Snow Monitoring for Climate Research product (GlobSnow-2, http://www.globsnow.info/) and the VIC_IGSNRR simulations were also used in this study (Takala et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). Moreover, the Second Glacier Inventory Dataset of China was used to extract the general distribution of glacier (Guo et al., 2014). All gridded datasets used were first uniformly interpolated to a spatial resolution of 0.5 degree based on the bilinear interpolation to make their inter-comparison possible. The datasets were then extracted for each of TP basins.

### 2.1.5 Monsoon indices

The TP climate is generally influenced by the westerlies, Indian summer monsoon and East Asian summer monsoon (Yao et al., 2012). To investigate the changes of monsoon systems and their potential influences on the water budget in the TP basins, three monsoon indices, namely Asian Zonal Circulation Index (AZCI), Indian Ocean Dipole Mode Index (IODMI) and East Asian Summer Monsoon Index (EASMI), are
also used in this study. The IODMI is an indicator of the east-west temperature
gradient across the tropical Indian Ocean defined by Saji et al. (1999), which can be
downloaded from the following website:

http://www.jamstec.go.jp/frcgc/research/d1/iod/HTML/Dipole%20Mode%20Index.htm

The EASMI and AZCI (60°-150°E) reflect the dynamics of East Asian summer
monsoon (Li and Zeng, 2002) and the westerlies, which can be obtained from
the http://ljp.gecss.cn/dct/page/65577 and the National Climate Center of China

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Water balance-based ET estimation

In this study, we first assess the VIC_IGSNRR simulated runoff against the
observations for each basin (for example, at Tangnaihai and Pangduo stations in
Fig. 2). The VIC_IGSNRR simulated runoff is acceptable and could be used to replace
the missing values for a given basin, if the Nash Efficiency coefficient (NSE) between
the observation and simulation is above 0.65.

The basin-wide water balance at the monthly and annual timescales could
traditionally be written as the principle of mass conservation (also known as the
continuity equation, Oliverira et al., 2014) of basin-wide precipitation (P, mm),
evapotranspiration (ETwb, mm), runoff (Q, mm) as well as terrestrial water storage
change (ΔS, mm),

\[ ET_{wb} = P - Q - ΔS \] (1)

In most TP basins, glacier melt (Mg, mm) contributes to river discharge together with
precipitation (liquid precipitation and snow). The monthly and annual water balance
in these basins can thus be revised as,
Several attempts have been made for separating glacier contributions to river discharge through site-scale isotopic observations, remote sensing as well as land-surface hydrological modeling for some individual TP basins (Zhang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2014; Neckel et al., 2014; Xiang et al., 2016). However, accurate quantification of $M_G$ is difficult in the data-sparse TP, especially for multiple basins. In this study, we simply use the percentages of glacier melt to river discharge for some TP basins concluded derived from the existing studies (Chen, 1988; Mansur and Ajnis, 2005; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu J. et al., 2016) and the empirical relations between the glacier area ratio (%) and glacier melt in basins mentioned above (Table 3).

The terrestrial water storage ($\Delta S$) in Eq. (2), which includes the surface, subsurface and ground water changes, cannot be neglected in water balance calculation at a monthly or annual timescale due to snow accumulation and some anthropogenic interferences such as reservoir regulation and agriculture irrigation (Liu W. et al., 2016a). The water balance-based ET ($ET_{wb}$) during 2002-2011 can be calculated through Eq. (2) using the GRACE-derived mass anomaly as $\Delta S$. For $ET_{wb}$ calculation before 2002 when the GRACE data is unavailable, we use a two-step bias correction procedure (Li X. et al., 2014) to close the water balance for 18 basins at monthly timescale considering the $\Delta S$. We define $P + M_G - Q$ as biased ET ($ET_{biased}$ available from 1982-2011) relative to the $ET_{wb}$ (available from 2002-2011 when the GRACE data is available) calculated from Eq. (2). Firstly, the $ET_{biased}$ and $ET_{wb}$ series over the period 2002-2011 were separately fitted using a gamma distribution, which has been evidenced as an proper method for modeling the
probability distribution of ET (Bouraoui et al., 1999). The value in monthly ET$_{biased}$ series (2002-2011) can be bias-corrected through the inverse function ($F^{-1}$) of the gamma cumulative distribution function (CDF, $F$) of ET$_{wb}$ by matching the cumulative probabilities between two CDFs as follow (Liu W. et al., 2016a),

$$\text{ET}_{wb}(m) = F^{-1}(F(\text{ET}_{biased}(m))[\alpha_{biased}, \beta_{biased}](\alpha_{wb}, \beta_{wb})) \quad (3)$$

Here $\alpha_{biased}, \beta_{biased}, \alpha_{wb}$ and $\beta_{wb}$ are the shape and scale parameters of gamma distribution for ET$_{biased}$ and ET$_{wb}$. The second step is to eliminate the annual bias through the ratio of annual ET$_{biased}$ to annual ET$_{wb}$ calculated in the first step using the following method,

$$\text{ET}_{wb}(m) = \frac{\text{ET}_{biased}(m)}{\text{ET}_{wb}(m)} \times \text{ET}_{wb}(m) \quad (4)$$

The procedure was then applied to correct the monthly ET$_{biased}$ series and calculated the monthly ET$_{wb}$ during the period 1982-2001 for all TP basins. The ET$_{wb}$ obtained was seemed as the “true” ET for evaluating multiple ET products and further for the trend analysis.

2.2.2 Modified Mann-Kendall test method

The Mann-Kendall (MK) test is a rank-based nonparametric approach which is less sensitive to outlier relative to other parametric statistics. However, it is sometimes impacted by the serial correlation of time series. Pre-whitening is often used to eliminate the influence of lag-1 autocorrelation before the use of MK test, for example, in pre-whitening, the analyzed time series $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ will be replaced by $(X_2 - cX_1, X_3 - cX_2, ..., X_{n+1} - cX_n)$ if the lag-1 autocorrelation coefficient ($c$) is larger than 0.1 (von Storch, 1995). However, significant lag-i autocorrelation may still be detected after pre-whitening because only the lag-1 autocorrelation is considered in pre-whitening (Zhang et al., 2013). Moreover, it sometimes underestimate the trend for a given time series (Yue et al.,}
2002). Hamed and Rao (1998) proposed a modified version of MK test (MMK) to consider the lag-i autocorrelation and related robustness of the autocorrelation through the use of equivalent sample size, which has been widely used in previous studies during the last five decades (McVicar et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu and Sun, 2016).

In the MMK approach, if the lag-i autocorrelation coefficients are significantly distinct from zero, the original variance of MK statistics will be replaced by the modified one. In this study, we used a modified version of MK test (MMK, Hamed and Rao, 1998) the MMK approach to quantify the trends of water budget components in 18 TP basins and the significance of trend was tested at the >95% confidence level. The MMK considers the lag-i autocorrelation and related robustness of the autocorrelation, which has been widely used in previous studies during the last five decades (McVicar et al., 2012; Liu and Sun, 2016).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 ET evaluation and General hydrological characteristics of 18 TP basins

In this study, we first assess the VIC-IGSNRR simulated runoff against the observations for each basin (for example, at Tangnaihai and Pangduo stations in Fig. 2). The VIC-IGSNRR simulated runoff is acceptable and could be used to replace the missing values for a given basin, if the Nash Efficiency coefficient (NSE) between the observation and simulation is above 0.65. Moreover, the CMA precipitation is consistent with TRMM (Corr = 0.86, RMSE = 8.34 mm/month) and IGSNRR forcing (Corr = 0.94, RMSE = 7.15 mm/month) precipitation for multiple basins (and also for the smallest basin above Tongren station, Fig. 2), which reveals the applicability of CMA precipitation under the TP conditions.
We first then evaluated monthly performances of six ET products in 18 TP basins against the our calculated ET\(_{\text{wb}}\) at a monthly basis, which was calculated through water balance considering the impacts of glacier and water storage change (Fig. 3). The ranges of monthly averaged ET among different basins (approximately 4–39 mm month\(^{-1}\)) are very close for all products compare with that calculated from the ET\(_{\text{wb}}\) (6–42 mm month\(^{-1}\)). However, GLEAM\_E (correlation coefficient: Corr = 0.85 and root-mean-square-error: RMSE = 5.69 mm month\(^{-1}\)) and VIC\_E (Corr = 0.82 and RMSE = 6.16 mm month\(^{-1}\)) perform relatively better than others. Although Zhang\_E and GNoah\_E were found closely correlated to monthly ET\(_{\text{wb}}\) in the upper Yellow River, the upper Yangtze River, Qiangtang and Qaidam basins (Li X. et al., 2014), they did not exhibit overall good performances (Corr = 0.61, RMSE = 7.97 mm month\(^{-1}\) for Zhang\_E and Corr = 0.42, RMSE = 10.16 mm month\(^{-1}\) for GNoah\_E) for 18 TP basin used in this study. We thus use GLEAM\_E and VIC\_E together with ET\(_{\text{wb}}\) to calculate the seasonal cycles and trends of ET in 18 TP basins in the following sections.

To investigate the general hydro-climatic characteristics of rivers over the TP, we classify 18 basins into three categories, namely westerlies-dominated basins (Yerqiang, Yulongkashi and Kelia), Indian monsoon-dominated basins (Brahmaputra and Salween), and East Asian monsoon-dominated basins (Yellow, Yalong and Yangtze) referred to Tian et al. (2007) and Yao et al. (2012–2013) and Dong et al. (2016). Interestingly, they are clustered into three groups under the perspective of Budyko framework (Budyko, 1974; Zhang D. et al., 2016) with relatively lower evaporative index for Indian monsoon-dominant basins and higher aridity index for...
westerlies-dominant basins, which reveal various long-term hydro-climatologic conditions (Fig. 4). Overall, the annual mean air temperature increases (-5.68 ~ 0.97 °C) while multiyear mean glacier area (and thus the glacier melt normalized by precipitation) decreases (23.27 ~ 0%) gradually from the westerlies-dominant, Indian monsoon-dominant to East Asian monsoon-dominant basins. The vegetation status (NDVI range: 0.05 ~ 0.43; LAI range: 0.03 ~ 0.83) tends to be better and ET increases (and thus runoff coefficient gradually decreases) from cold to warm basins (Fig. 4 and Table 1). The $R^2$ between basin-averaged NDVI and ET is 0.76 which shows a clear vegetation control on ET in 18 TP basins. The result is in line with Shen et al. (2015), which indicated that the spatial pattern of ET trend was significantly and positively correlated with NDVI trend over the TP. It is a general picture of hydrological regime in high-altitude and cold regions (Zhang et al., 2013; Cuo et al., 2014), which could be interpreted from the perspective of multi-source datasets in the data-sparse TP.

**3.2 Seasonal cycles of basin-wide water budget components for the TP basins**

The multi-year means of water budget components (i.e., P, Q, ET, snow cover and SWE) and vegetation parameters (i.e., NDVI and LAI) were calculated for each calendar month and for 18 TP river basins over using multi-source datasets available from 1982 to 2011. Overall, the seasonal variations of P, Q, ET, air temperature and vegetation parameters are similar in all TP basins with peak values occurred in May to September (Fig.5 and Fig.6). The seasonal cycles of snow cover and SWE are generally time consistent as well for 18 TP basins (the peak values mainly occur from October to next April, Fig.7). With the ascending air temperature from cold to warm months, the basin-wide precipitation increases and vegetation turns green gradually (the basin-wide ET also increase). Meanwhile, glacier and snow melt or vanish...
gradually with the melt water supply the river discharge together with precipitation.

The inter-basin variations of hydrological regime are to a large extent linked to the climate systems that prevail over the TP.

Although the temporal patterns of hydrological components are general analogous, they varied among parameters, climate zones and even basins (Zhou et al., 2005). For example, relative to air temperature, the seasonal variation of runoff is more similar to precipitation which reveals that runoff is mainly controlled by precipitation in the most TP basins. It is in agreement with that summarized by Cuo et al. (2014). In the westerlies-dominated basins, the peak values of precipitation and runoff mainly concentrate in June-August, which contribute approximately 68-82% and 67-78% of annual totals, respectively. During this period, the runoff always exceeds precipitation which indicates large contributions of glacier/snow-melt water to streamflow. It is consistent with the existing findings in Tarim River (Yerqiang, Yulongkashi and Keliya rivers are the major tributaries of Tarim River), which indicated that the melt water accounted for about half of the annual total streamflow (Fu et al., 2008). The ET (vegetation cover) in three westerlies-dominated basins are relatively less (scarcer) than that in other TP basins while the percentages of glacier and seasonal snow cover are higher in these basins which contribute more melt water to river discharge (Fig.6 and Fig.7). Overall, the SWE in Yerqiang, Yulongkashi and Keliya rivers are relatively higher in winter than other seasons, but they vary with basins and products which reveal considerable uncertainties in SWE estimations.

In the Indian monsoon and East Asian monsoon-dominated basins, the runoff concentrates during June-September (or June-June-October) with precipitation being...
the dominant contributor of annual total runoff. For example, the peak values of precipitation and runoff occur during June-September at Zhimenda station (contributing about 80% and 74% of the annual totals) while those occur during June-October at Tangnaihai station (contributing about 78% and 71% of the annual totals, respectively). The results are quite similar to the related studies in eastern and southern TP such as Liu (1999), Dong et al. (2007), Zhu et al. (2011), Zhang et al. (2013), Cuo et al. (2014). The vegetation cover (ET) in most basins is relatively better (higher) than that in the westerlies-dominant basins. Moreover, the seasonal snow mainly covers from mid-autumn to spring and correspondingly the SWE is relatively higher in these months in all basins except for Yellow River above Xining station, Salwee River above Jiayuqiao station and Brahmaputra River above Nuxia and Yangcun stations.

3.3 Trends of basin-wide water budget components for the TP basins

Trends in water budget components for 18 TP basins during the period 1982-2011 were also examined through the modified Mann-Kendall test (MMK) in this study. The hydrological cycles intensified in the westerlies-dominated basins with Q, P and ETwb all ascended with regional warming (Fig.8), especially in the Keliya River basin (Numaitilangan station). The aridity index (PET/P), which is an indicator for the degree of dryness, slightly declined in all basins in northwestern TP. Although P and PET were found both increase since the 1980s, the results were in line with the overall climate warming and moistening reported in northwest China (Shi et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2014), at which these basins located. The declined PET/P is, to some extent, attributed to the ascending P exceed the increase in PET for these basins (except for the Yulongkashi basin). The climate moistening in the headwaters of these inland
rivers would be beneficial to the water resources and oasis agro-ecosystems in the middle and lower basins. The increase in streamflow was also found in most tributaries of the Tarim River (Sun et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2010; Mamat et al., 2010). Moreover, the westerlies, revealed by the Asian Zonal Circulation Index (60°-150° E), slightly enhanced (linear trend: 0.21) over the period of 1982-2011 (Fig.9). More water vapor was transported and fell as precipitation or snow in northwestern TP (e.g., the eastern Pamir region) with the strengthening westerlies. Both SWE products (VIC_IGSNRR simulated and GlobaSnow-2 product) showed slightly increase for all basins. The SWE showed increase for all basins and for both products (VIC_IGSNRR simulated and GlobaSnow-2 product) with the incremental seasonal snow cover and advanced glaciers (Yao et al., 2012). More precipitation was transformed into snow or glacier and the runoff coefficient (Q/P) exhibited decrease although precipitation obviously increased (Fig.8). In addition, the transpiration in these basins may decrease with vegetation degradation revealed by the NDVI and LAI (Yin et al., 2016) but the atmospheric evaporative demand indicated by CRU PET increased (significantly increase in the Yulongkashi and Keliya rivers) during the period 1982-2011.

In the East Asian monsoon-dominated basins, there are two types of change for basin-wide water budget components. For example, P and Q slightly decreased in the upper Yellow River (Tangniihai, Huangheyan and Jimai stations) and Yalong River (Yajiang station) but increased in other basins (Zelingou, Gandatan, Xining, Tongren and Zhimenda stations) over the period of 1982-2011 (Fig.10). The decline in Q and P for the upper Yellow and Yalong Rivers (locate at the eastern Tibetan Plateau) were consistent with that found by Cuo et al. (2013, 2014) as well as Yang et al. (2014), and
were in line with the weakening (linear slope: -0.01) of the East Asian Summer Monsoon (Fig.9). The vegetation turned green while ET$_{wb}$ and PET increased in all nine basins with the significantly ascending air temperature during the period 1982-2011. The aridity index (PET/P) was found decrease in all basins except for the upper Yellow River basin above Jimai station and the upper Yalong River basin above Yajiang station. Moreover, both the runoff coefficients and SWE (SWE) were decrease (except for the Bayin River above Zelingou station and the upper Yellow River above Tongren station) in the East Asian monsoon dominated basins.

The hydrological cycles were also found intensified in the Indian monsoon-dominated basins such as Salween River and Brahmaputra River (Fig.11), which were in line with the strengthen (linear trend: 0.0006) of the Indian Summer monsoon (revealed by the Indian Ocean Dipole Mode Index) during the specific period 1982-2011 (Fig.9). In the six basins, trends in P, Q and ET$_{wb}$ were all upward. For example, at Jiayuqiao station, the annual streamflow showed slightly increasing trend which was consistent with that examined during 1980-2000 by Yao et al. (2012). The vegetation status, revealed by NDVI and LAI, turned better significantly with the ascending air temperature. The aridity index (PET/P) decreased in all basins except for the Brahmaputra River above Tangjia station, which indicated that most basins in the Indian monsoon-dominated regions turn wet over the period of 1982-2011. The runoff coefficient (Q/P) increased at Gongbujiangda and Nuxia while decreased at Jiayuqiao, Pangduo, Tangji and Yangcun stations. Moreover, the basin-wide SWE declined in the upper Salween River and Brahmaputra River above Pangduo, Tangji and Gongbujiangda stations while increased in Brahmaputra River above Nuxia and Yangcun stations.
3.4 Uncertainties

The results may unavoidably associate with several aspects of uncertainties which mainly inherited from the multi-source datasets used. Although both GLEAM_E and VIC_E captured the seasonal cycles of ET_{wb}, they still have considerable uncertainties such as at Numaitilangan, Gongbujiangda and Nuxia stations (Fig.5). With respect to the annual trend of ET_{wb} (Table 4), most ET products (including the well-performed GLEAM_E and VIC_E in some basins) cannot detect the decreasing trends in 7 out of 18 basins (e.g., at Kulukelangan, Tongguziluoke, Xining, Tongren, Jimai, Nuxia and Gongbujiangda stations) due to their uncertainties inherited from different forcing data, algorithm used and varied spatial-temporal resolutions (Li et al., 2014; Liu W et al., 2016a). In particular, it is well known that land surface models have some difficulties (e.g., parameter tuning in boundary layer schemes) when applying to the TP (Xia et al., 2012; Bai et al., 2016). For example, Xue et al. (2013) indicated that GNoah_E underestimated the ET_{wb} in the upper Yellow River and Yangtze River basins on the Tibetan Plateau mainly due to its negative-biased precipitation forcing. We thus only used ET_{wb} in the trend detection of water budget components in Fig.8, Fig.10 and Fig.11 in this study.

The two SWE products also showed large uncertainty, with respect to both their seasonal cycles and trends due to their different forcing data, different algorithms, applied as well as varied spatial temporal resolutions. The VIC_IGSNRR simulated and Globsnow-2 snow water equivalents have also not been validated in the TP due to the lack of in situ observations. However, they showed similar seasonal cycles and annual trends in some basins such as Zelinggou and Numaitilangan, which revealed...
the applicability of the SWE products for these basins. Moreover, the interpolation of missing values of runoff with VIC_IGSNRR simulated runoff and the gridded precipitation data (which interpolated from limited gauged precipitation over the plateau) involved some uncertainties as well as. Finally, we obtained the contributions of glacier-melt to discharge in some basins from the literatures and took them as fixed numbers. It may inherit considerable uncertainty from varied studies using different approaches such as glacier mass-balance observation, isotope observation and hydrological modeling, and the contribution rates would also change under a warming climate. However, accurate quantification of the contribution of glacier-melt to discharge is technically difficult nowadays, especially for the data-sparse basins. However, with these caveats, we can interpret the general hydrological regimes and their responses to the changing climate in the TP basins from solely the perspective of multi-source datasets, which are comparable to the existing studies based on the in situ observations and complex hydrological modeling.

4 Summary

In this study, we investigated the seasonal cycles and trends of water budget components in 18 TP basins during the period 1982-2011, which is not well understood so far due to the lack of adequate observations in the harsh environment, through integrating the multi-source global/regional datasets such as gauge data, satellite remote sensing and land surface model simulations. By using a two-step bias correction procedure, annual basin-wide \( ET_{wb} \) was calculated through the water balance considering the impacts of glacier and water storage change. The GLEAM_E and VIC_E were found perform better relative to other products against the calculated \( ET_{wb} \).
The general water and energy budgets were different in the westerlies-dominated (with higher aridity index, runoff coefficient and glacier cover), the Indian monsoon-dominated and the East Asian monsoon-dominated (with higher air temperature, vegetation cover and evapotranspiration) basins under the perspective of Budyko framework. In 18 TP basins, precipitation is the major contributor to the river runoff, which concentrates mainly during June-October (June-August for the westerlies-dominated basins, June-September or June to October for the Indian monsoon-dominated and the East Asian monsoon-dominated basins). The basin-wide SWE is relatively higher from mid-autumn to spring for all 18 TP basins except for Keliya River and Brahmaputra River above the Nuxia and Yangcun stations. The vegetation cover is relatively less whereas snow/glacier cover is more in the westerlies-dominant basins compared with other basins. The hydrological cycles were found intensified under the regional warming in most TP basins except for most tributaries of the upper Yellow River and the Yalong River, which were significantly influenced by the weakening East Asian monsoon during the period 1982-2011. The aridity index (PET/P) exhibited decrease in most TP basins which corresponded to the warming and moistening climate in the TP and western China. Moreover, the runoff coefficient (Q/P) declined in most basins which may be, to some extent, due to ET increase induced by vegetation greening and the influences of snow and glacier changes. Although there are considerable uncertainties inherited from multi-source data used, the general hydrological regimes in the TP basins could be revealed, which are consistent to the existing results obtained from in situ observations and complex land surface modeling. It indicated the usefulness of integrating the multiple datasets available such as in situ observations, remote sensing-based products, reanalysis
outputs, land surface model simulations and climate model outputs for hydrological applications. The results obtained could be helpful for understanding the hydrological cycles and further for the water resources management and eco-environment protection under a warming climate in the vulnerable Tibetan Plateau.
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Table 1: Main features of the 18 used TP river basins. GA% and SC% represent the percentages of multiyear-mean glacier cover and snow cover in each basin. The glacier and snow cover data are extracted, respectively, from the Second Glacier Inventory Dataset of China and the daily TP snow cover dataset (2005-2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>River name</th>
<th>Drainage area (km²)</th>
<th>Multiyear-mean (1982-2011) and basin-averaged parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Kulukelangan</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Yerqiang</td>
<td>32880.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 158.60, Prec. (mm/yr): 128.34, Temp. (°C/yr): -5.68, NDVI: 0.05, LAI: 0.03, GA%: 10.97, SC%: 35.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Tongguiziluoke</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>Yulongkashi</td>
<td>14575.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 151.56, Prec. (mm/yr): 134.04, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.07, NDVI: 0.06, LAI: 0.04, GA%: 23.27, SC%: 35.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Numaitilangan</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>Keliya</td>
<td>7358.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 103.18, Prec. (mm/yr): 137.14, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.78, NDVI: 0.06, LAI: 0.03, GA%: 10.86, SC%: 29.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Zelingou</td>
<td>4282</td>
<td>Bayin</td>
<td>5544.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 41.42, Prec. (mm/yr): 340.68, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.98, NDVI: 0.13, LAI: 0.09, GA%: 21.22, SC%: 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Gadatan</td>
<td>3823</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>7893.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 200.95, Prec. (mm/yr): 566.01, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.60, NDVI: 0.34, LAI: 0.54, GA%: 0.13, SC%: 14.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Xining</td>
<td>3225</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>9022.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 99.90, Prec. (mm/yr): 503.74, Temp. (°C/yr): 0.97, NDVI: 0.36, LAI: 0.70, GA%: 0.00, SC%: 10.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Tongren</td>
<td>3697</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>2832.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 149.36, Prec. (mm/yr): 533.25, Temp. (°C/yr): -1.37, NDVI: 0.39, LAI: 0.83, GA%: 0.00, SC%: 9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Tainaihai</td>
<td>2632</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>121972.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 159.48, Prec. (mm/yr): 540.32, Temp. (°C/yr): -2.40, NDVI: 0.34, LAI: 0.72, GA%: 0.09, SC%: 15.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>4491</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>20930.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 31.18, Prec. (mm/yr): 386.42, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.81, NDVI: 0.23, LAI: 0.61, GA%: 0.00, SC%: 17.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>4450</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>45015.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 85.50, Prec. (mm/yr): 441.48, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.16, NDVI: 0.26, LAI: 0.52, GA%: 0.00, SC%: 20.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yajiang</td>
<td>2599</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>67514.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 237.66, Prec. (mm/yr): 717.05, Temp. (°C/yr): -0.23, NDVI: 0.43, LAI: 0.80, GA%: 0.15, SC%: 18.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Zhimenda</td>
<td>3540</td>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>137704.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 96.23, Prec. (mm/yr): 405.66, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.83, NDVI: 0.20, LAI: 0.26, GA%: 0.96, SC%: 17.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jiaoyuqiao</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Salween</td>
<td>72844.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 364.26, Prec. (mm/yr): 620.88, Temp. (°C/yr): -1.89, NDVI: 0.29, LAI: 0.44, GA%: 2.02, SC%: 23.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pangduo</td>
<td>5015</td>
<td>Brahmaputra</td>
<td>16459.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 348.31, Prec. (mm/yr): 544.59, Temp. (°C/yr): -1.53, NDVI: 0.27, LAI: 0.33, GA%: 1.66, SC%: 23.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tangjia</td>
<td>4982</td>
<td>Brahmaputra</td>
<td>20143.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 350.61, Prec. (mm/yr): 555.17, Temp. (°C/yr): -1.89, NDVI: 0.27, LAI: 0.34, GA%: 1.39, SC%: 21.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Gongbujiangda</td>
<td>4927</td>
<td>Brahmaputra</td>
<td>6417.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 586.96, Prec. (mm/yr): 692.06, Temp. (°C/yr): -4.24, NDVI: 0.27, LAI: 0.36, GA%: 4.12, SC%: 25.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nuxia</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>Brahmaputra</td>
<td>191235.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 307.38, Prec. (mm/yr): 401.35, Temp. (°C/yr): -0.73, NDVI: 0.22, LAI: 0.25, GA%: 1.90, SC%: 13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yangcun</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Brahmaputra</td>
<td>152701.00</td>
<td>Q (mm/yr): 163.25, Prec. (mm/yr): 349.91, Temp. (°C/yr): -0.87, NDVI: 0.19, LAI: 0.18, GA%: 1.28, SC%: 10.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2**: Overview of multi-source datasets applied in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data category</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Available period used</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runoff (Q)</td>
<td>Observed, National Hydrology, VIC-IGSNRR simulated</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed, CMA</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (P)</td>
<td>Observed, CMA</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2000-2011</td>
<td>Huffman et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRMM 3B43 V7</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IGSNRR forcing</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (Temp.)</td>
<td>Observed, CMA</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2000-2011</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial storage change (ΔS)</td>
<td>GRACE-CSR</td>
<td>Approx.300-400 km</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2002-2011</td>
<td>Tapley et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GRACE-GFZ</td>
<td>Approx.300-400 km</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2002-2011</td>
<td>Tapley et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GRACE-JPL</td>
<td>Approx.300-400 km</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>2002-2011</td>
<td>Tapley et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential evaporation (PET)</td>
<td>CRU</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Harris et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual evaporation (ET)</td>
<td>MTE_E</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Jung et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIC_E</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLEAM_E</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Miralles et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PML_E</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Zhang Y et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhang_E</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>1983-2006</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GNNoah_E</td>
<td>1.0°</td>
<td>3 hourly</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Rui (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>GIMMS NDVI dataset</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td>15 daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Tucker et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>GLASS LAI Product</td>
<td>0.05°</td>
<td>8 daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Liang and Xiao (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Cover</td>
<td>TP Snow composite Products</td>
<td>500 m</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>2005-2013</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWE</td>
<td>VIC-IGSNRR simulated</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1982-2011</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Contribution of glacier-melt to discharge in eighteen basins ("—" shows no glacier influences, "*" shows the percentage is empirically estimated through the relation between glacier area ratio and glacier melt for basins in which the glacier melt contribution has been reported in existing studies the literatures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Contributions of glacier-melt to discharge (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kulukelangan</td>
<td>62.73</td>
<td>Mansur and Ajnisa (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongguiziluo</td>
<td>64.90</td>
<td>Liu J et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numaitilangan</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Chen (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelingou</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadatan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xining</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongren</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainahai</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yajiang</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhimenda</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaoyuqiao</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuxia</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangduo</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangjia</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gongbujiangda</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangcun</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Nonparametric trends for different ET estimates during the period 1982-2006 detected by modified Mann-Kendall test, the bold number showed the detected trend is statistically significant at the 0.05 level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>ET&lt;sub&gt;wb&lt;/sub&gt;-ET&lt;sub&gt;as&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>GLEAM_E</th>
<th>VIC_E</th>
<th>Zhang_E</th>
<th>PML_E</th>
<th>MET_E</th>
<th>GNoah_E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kulukelangan</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongguziluoke</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numaitilangan</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelingou</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadatan</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xining</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongren</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainaihai</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huangheyan</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimai</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yajiang</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhimenda</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaoyuqiao</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuxia</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangduo</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangji</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gongbujiangda</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangcun</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions:

Figure 1. Map of river basins and hydrological gauging stations (green dots) over the Tibetan Plateau (TP) used in this study. The grey shading shows the topography of TP in meters above the sea level and the blue shading exhibits the glaciers distribution in TP extracted from the Second Glacier Inventory Dataset of China.

Figure 2. Comparison of VIC_IGSNRR simulated and observed monthly runoff for Tangnaihai and Panduo stations (a and b) as well as (c) basin-averaged monthly TRMM, CMA gridded and IGSNRR forcing precipitations for the smallest basin (Tongren station) over the period 1982-2011. (d) shows the comparison of TRMM (blue) and IGSNRR forcing (red) precipitations against CMA gridded precipitation for 18 river basins over TP during the period 2000-2011.

Figure 3. Comparison of different ET products against the calculated ET through the water balance method (ETwb) for 18 TP basins. The boxplot of annual estimates of different ET products for 18 TP basins are shown in (a) while the correlation coefficients and root-mean-square-errors (RMSEs, mm/month) for each ET product relatively to ETwb are exhibited in (b).

Figure 4. General water and energy status (a. the perspective of Budyko framework) and their relationships with glacier (b) and vegetation (c and d) for eighteen TP river basins (1983-2006). The ET used in this figure is calculated from the bias-corrected water balance method.

Figure 5. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of water budget components in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon-dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins.

Figure 6. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of air temperature and vegetation parameters in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon-dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins.

Figure 7. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of snow cover and snow water equivalent (SWE) in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon-dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins. The snow cover was
extracted from cloud free snow composite product during the period 2005-2013. It should also be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins.

**Figure 8.** Sen’s slopes of water budget components and vegetation parameters in westerlies-dominated TP basins during the period of 1982-2011. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

**Figure 9.** Linear and non-parametric trends of westerly, Indian monsoon and East Asian summer monsoon during the period 1982-2011 revealed prospectively by the Asian Zonal Circulation Index, Indian Ocean Dipole Mode Index and East Asian Summer Monsoon Index.

**Figure 10.** Similar to Figure 8 but for East Asian monsoon-dominated TP basins. It should be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

**Figure 11.** Similar to Figure 8 but for Indian monsoon-dominated TP basins. It should be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
Figure 1. Map of river basins and hydrological gauging stations (green dots) over the Tibetan Plateau (TP) used in this study. The grey shading shows the topography of TP in meters above the sea level and the blue shading exhibits the glaciers distribution in TP extracted from the Second Glacier Inventory Dataset of China.
Figure 2. Comparison of VIC_IGSNRR simulated and observed monthly runoff for Tangnaihai and Panduo stations (a and b) as well as (c) basin-averaged monthly TRMM, CMA gridded and IGSNRR forcing precipitations for the smallest basin (Tongren station) over the period 1982-2011. (d) shows the comparison of TRMM (blue) and IGSNRR forcing (red) precipitations against CMA gridded precipitation for 18 river basins over TP during the period 2000-2011.
Figure 3. Comparison of different ET products against the calculated ET through the water balance (ET\textsubscript{wb}) for 18 river basins over the Tibetan Plateau. The boxplot of annual estimates of different ET products for 18 TP basins are shown in (a) while the correlation coefficients and root-mean-square-errors (RMSEs, mm/month) for each ET product relatively to ET\textsubscript{wb} are exhibited in (b).
Figure 4. General water and energy status (a. the perspective of Budyko framework) and their relationships with glacier (b) and vegetation (c and d) for eighteen TP river basins (1983-2006). The ET used in this figure is calculated from the bias-corrected water balance method.
Figure 5. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of water budget components in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon-dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins.
Figure 5: (continued)
Figure 6. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of air temperature and vegetation parameters in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon-dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins.
Figure 6: (continued)
Figure 7. Seasonal cycles (1982-2011) of snow cover and snow water equivalent (SWE) in westerlies-dominated (column 1), East Asian monsoon- dominated (columns 2-4) and Indian monsoon-dominated (columns 5-6) TP basins. The snow cover was extracted from cloud free snow composite product during the period 2005-2013. It should also be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins.
Figure 7: (continued)
Figure 8. Sen’s slopes of water budget components and vegetation parameters in westerlies-dominated TP basins during the period of 1982-2011. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
Figure 9. Linear and non-parametric trends of westerly, Indian monsoon and East Asian summer monsoon during the period 1982-2011 revealed prospectively by the Asian Zonal Circulation Index, Indian Ocean Dipole Mode Index and East Asian Summer Monsoon Index.
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Indian Ocean Dipole Mode Index
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East Asian Summer Monsoon Index (June-August)
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Figure 10. Similar to Figure 8 but for East Asian monsoon-dominated TP basins. It should be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
Figure 11. Similar to Figure 8 but for Indian monsoon-dominated TP basins. It should be noted that the GlobSnow data are not available for some basins. The double red stars showed that the trend was statistically significant at the 0.05 level.