Adaptation tipping points of urban wetlands under a drying climate
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Key Points

- A modified Adaptation Tipping Point framework is presented to assess the suitability of ecosystem management when rigorous ecological data are lacking.

- Quantitative boundaries or thresholds to define acceptable ecological change can be overcome by inclusion of pre-existing thresholds based on available information from policy, legislation, and involvement of management authorities.

- The extent of legislation, policies, and management authorities across different scales and levels of governance; need to be understood to adapt ecosystem management strategies.
Abstract

Urban wetlands experience considerable alteration to their hydrology, which typically contributes to a decline in their overall ecological integrity. Wetland management strategies aim to repair wetland hydrology and attenuate wetland loss associated with climate change. However, decision makers often lack the data needed to support complex social environmental systems models, making it difficult to assess the effectiveness of current or past practices. Adaptation Tipping Points (ATPs) is a method that can be useful in these situations. The method assesses thresholds exceedance of ecological objectives obtained from policy and informs about the effectiveness of the management strategy to the delivery of certain social or environmental goals. Here we trial the method on an urban wetland in a region experiencing a markedly drying climate. ATPs were defined by linking key ecological objectives identified by policy documents to threshold values for water depth. We then used long-term hydrologic data (1978-2012) to assess if and when thresholds were breached. We found that from the mid-1990s declining wetland water depth caused ATPs for the majority of the wetland objectives. We conclude that the wetland management strategy has been ineffective from the mid-1990s when the region’s climate dried markedly. Empirical verification of the ATP assessment is required to validate the suitability of the method. However, in general we consider ATPs to be a useful desktop method to assess the suitability of management when rigorous ecological data are lacking.
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1. Introduction

Ecological systems with high resilience are able to cope with frequent disturbance and remain relatively stable over time, whereas systems with low resilience are likely to transition to altered states, often with reduced function in the wake of disturbance (Holling 1973). Systems with low resilience can shift between alternative stable states by an incremental change of conditions that induce a catastrophic (reversible) shift or by perturbations that are large enough to move the system to a lower alternative state with reduced functions (Scheffer et al. 2001; Folke et al. 2004). Social-ecological systems (SES) have many functions that depend on feedback mechanisms between processes that take place at multiple scales (Sivapalan et al. 2012; Elshafei et al. 2014).

Ecosystems are managed to maintain their ecological functions that are particularly vulnerable to altered processes (e.g. climate change). Such processes can shift ecosystems to reduced ecological functions (Dudgeon et al. 2006). These complex ecosystems under the influence of drivers of ecological and social processes can change and then often display nonlinear behaviour with prolonged periods of stability alternated with sudden changes or critical transitions of the socio-ecological system (Scheffer et al. 2001; Walker and Meyers 2004). These sudden changes are often not foreseen in management practices due to its incremental approach which is defined by law enforced threshold levels along environmental gradients (Walker and Meyers 2004). Interventions to inform policy or management are therefore not timely or ineffective to maintain ecosystems in a state of prolonged stability with multiple socio-ecological functions.

Thresholds and tipping points are important focal points for adaptive management (Folke et al. 2005; Rijke et al. 2012; Haasnoot et al. 2013; Werners et al. 2013), but often lack data to define exact biophysical thresholds to model complicated interactions in SES models (Schlueter et al. 2012). However, several indicators (Niemi and McDonald 2004) and ‘turning point’ approaches do exist that are commonly used in flood mitigation (Lavery and Donovan 2005; Kwadijk et al. 2010; Reeder and Ranger 2011; Gersonius et al. 2012), water resources management (Brown et al. 2011; Poff et al. 2015), and institutional adaptation (Lawrence et al. 2013, Fünfgeld 2015) to determine when the boundaries of a system are reached and future change becomes critical for the system. The method
makes clear what the weak points of the current policy and management are under future stressors to
the system (Hanger et al. 2013).

The turning point approach is also known as ‘adaptation tipping point’ (ATP) method. ATPs are
reached if the magnitude of change is such, that a current management strategy can no longer meet its
objectives (Kwadijk et al. 2010). As a result, adaptive management is needed to prevent or postpone
these ATPs. This method was recently applied to a species re-introduction program and assessed how
long the socio-ecological baseline strategy remained effective under future climate change (Bölscher et
correspond to ecological or social tipping points (Werners et al. 2013). However, the ATP approach
confronts the lack of quantitative and qualitative ecological data sets to infer acceptability of
management (Wardekker et al. 2010; Haasnoot et al. 2012; Haasnoot et al. 2013) by stakeholder
engagement to determine unknown/ill-defined thresholds and prevents a focus on only existing
management strategies (Wardekker et al. 2010; Bölscher et al. 2013). To prevent confusion with
definitions of tipping points in the other fields (e.g. climate sciences, ecology) we will use ‘adaptation
tipping point’ in our study.

A deficiency of the ATP methodology is the understanding how an ecosystem management strategy
compares to ecological resilience when detailed models or sufficient data are unavailable. In other
words: the management strategy needs to be informed about when the ecosystem could shift into an
alternative state with low resilience when the system is exposed to stressors which are induced by
climate change. Wetlands are ecosystems that are particularly vulnerable to decreased ecological
resilience due to altered hydrology, invasive species, nutrient loading, fire regimes etc. that can cause
wetlands to shift from a ‘clear-water’ stable state to a ‘turbid-water’ stable state or from a permanently
to a seasonal hydro-regime that inadequately supports ecological processes (Scheffer et al. 2001; Folke
et al. 2004).

The wetland in our case study area is located in the biodiverse region in south-west Western Australia
(Myers et al. 2000) and has been noticeably impacted by anthropogenic factors (Bekle 1981; Bekle and
Gentilli 1993). An estimated 85% of the Swan Coastal Plain (SCP) wetlands have been lost since
colonial settlement and are likely to experience increasing hydrological stress due to further decreasing
rainfall (Balla 1993; Davis and Froend 1999). The altered hydrology of wetlands in Perth is likely to have breached natural ecological tipping points and caused environmental degradation unless the wetlands are highly resilient. The key challenge to the catchment’s wetland management is to adapt to this drier regime while climate change predictions and the ecological response is uncertain. Therefore, the catchment area is suitable to apply the ATP methodology to determine whether the current wetland management strategy is effective to prevent undesirable ecological tipping points.

We are interested as to when and how much hydrological variation an ecosystem can cope with before the durability of a strategy to conserve the ecosystem expires. The overall aim of this study is to provide a modified ATP framework to identify the effectiveness of ecosystem management strategies. We define effectiveness by three aspects of the ecosystem and subdivide this into three aims to identify:

1) the hydrological response and variation of the ecosystem under climate change by conducting a literature study and by interviews with experts;
2) temporal scale and ecosystem responses with the determination of ATPs in hydrologic time-series data for each socio-ecological objective from the wetland management strategy;
3) the recovery rate or alternative stable state of ecological processes that is defined by minimum and maximum water-level thresholds compared to objectives mandated by policy and management.
2. Method

2.1. ATP method and case study area

The original five-step ATP methodology include (Figure 1): (i) the determination of climate change effects on the system; (ii) followed by identifying key objectives and thresholds; (iii) the determination when standards were compromised in the past; (iv) analysing when standards were compromised in the future; and (v) to repeat step 1-4 for alternative strategies. Further details about the original methodology can be found in Kwadijk et al. (2010). We modified the original methodology to a three-step assessment as we only assess historical time series. Firstly, we assessed the observed hydrological changes followed by determining objectives and thresholds. At last, we combined step 3 and 4A of the methodology to interpreted ATPs in conjunction with understanding the ecosystem processes, feedbacks, and alternative stable states (Figure 1).

This study assessed one wetland, Forrestdale Lake (Figure 2), which is located in the biodiverse region of the Swan Coastal Plain in south-west Western Australia (Myers et al. 2000). The wetland supports many waterbirds and its surrounding riparian vegetation supports terrestrial birds, significant reptiles, mammals, and other vertebrate species (Balla 1993). The lakes’ high biodiversity makes it an important regional conservation area (CCWA 2005). Since colonial settlement, the lake has been exposed to several stressors, such as land-use changes, urban encroachment, nutrient run-off, and decreasing surface water levels in the lake.

Similarly to other Mediterranean regions in the world, the south-west of Western Australia is experiencing reductions in rainfall that lead to decreasing recharge of the aquifer (Petrone et al. 2010). Approximately 80% of the annual precipitation occurs in winter between May and September, with groundwater recharge occurring from June to September (DoW 2008). The wetland experiences a Mediterranean climate with a mean annual rainfall of 852 mm in the period 1980-2014. Since the 1970s this region has experienced a 10-20% decrease in average annual rainfall that resulted in a mean annual rainfall of 775 mm in the period 2004-2014 (Charles et al. 2010; Smith and Power 2014). Despite high resilience, the wetland shows a rapid decline of its critical ecological processes as a result
of less surface water availability (Froend et al. 1993; Balla and Davis 1995; Sommer and Horwitz 2009; Sommer and Froend 2011). These observed impacts of climate change on the hydrology make the wetland a suitable study area to apply the ATP method.

2.2. Data collection and analyses

2.2.1. Step 1: Legislative framework and impacts of climate change - literature review

The scope of the assessment is defined in line with the legislative basis for Forrestdale Lake. In Western Australia, the Environmental Protection Act (1986) is the legislative act that underpins the environmental protection of wetlands. According to the EP Act, the ‘Ministerial water requirements for the Gnangara Mound and Jandakot wetlands’ (1992) mandates ecological water requirements that consist of upper and lower thresholds to maintain ecological processes. Protection of biodiversity or conservation values such as maintaining biodiversity is included in the Conservation and Land Management Act (1984) and the Wildlife Conservation Act (1950). Large regional wetlands have also been listed as Ramsar (e.g. Forrestdale Lake) to protect waterbirds (Ramsar 1994) and to protect migratory birds under several international agreements (JAMBA 1981; CAMBA 1988; ROKAMBA 2006). Protection of nationally and internationally important flora, fauna, and ecological communities is arranged by the Commonwealth of Australia under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC 1999). The above mentioned Acts and Agreements provide the statutory base to formulate wetland management plans. A preceding wetland management plan from 1993 for Forrestdale Lake was updated in 2005 which includes the ecological values of the wetland; proposes management actions to control invasive species; and mentions the risks of declining water levels (CCWA 2005).

Climate change, via its impact on rainfall and groundwater recharge, is an important regional driver of wetland hydrology and ecological functions (Eamus and Froend 2006; Barron et al. 2013). Local-scale hydrologic changes associated with land-use change and groundwater abstraction may also impact water levels of wetlands. Although, these changes are considered minimal compared to region-wide
changes in rainfall and consequently recharge of the aquifer (Townley et al. 1993; McFarlane et al. 2012). There is evidence that climate change is impacting the hydrology of the unconfined aquifer since the 1970s (Froend et al. 1993; Davis and Froend 1999; Froend and Sommer 2010; Ali et al. 2012) which is likely to continue during the 21st century (Charles et al. 2010; Smith and Power 2014).

In Figure 3 we represent the rainfall decline and population growth of Perth which resulted in growing water demand while groundwater availability is declining (ABS 2014). Changes in the hydrology were noticeable from the end of the 1980s after the rainfall reduction in the 1970s. Prior to the 1950’s the wetland was classified as a ‘groundwater through flow lake’, but is now considered a ‘permanently inundated and perched lake’ depending on rainfall and groundwater (Semeniuk 1987; Hill 1996; Dawes et al. 2009). However, recently a combination of disconnection from groundwater and decreasing annual rainfall resulted in a lake that is seasonally inundated (CCWA 2005). In Figure 4 we present a timeline of the legislation framework with key social and environmental events that have occurred in Forrestdale Lake and its groundwater catchment area.

2.2.2. Step 2: Select objectives and quantify threshold values - literature review

In the second step, we reviewed the current wetland management strategy for policy objectives, indicators, and threshold values of the wetland ecological processes. These functions represent the critical objectives of the wetland management strategy. Certain water depths are needed within a wetland to sustain a variety of ecological processes (Froend et al. 2004; Eamus and Froend 2006; Canham 2011; Barron et al. 2013). Therefore we used water depth as a proxy to link ecological objectives to acceptable thresholds. We identified two pathways within the SES via which water depth can impact on wetland ecological objectives:

1. Water depth may reach levels that are too low:
   (a) to maintain sediment processes
   (b) to provide habitat needed by waterbirds, frogs, freshwater turtles, and macro-invertebrates for survival and reproduction
   (c) that lead to increasing weed invasion to compromising habitat needed for wading birds
(d) that inhibit the growth of mosquitoes and midges

2. Water depth may reach levels that are too low or too high, such that they lead to:

(a) the death of phreatophytic and fringing vegetation.
(b) compromising habitat needed for terrestrial birds and mammals

From the aforementioned pathways, we derived eight critical ecological objectives, see Table 1. The objectives were taken from the Forrestdale Lake wetland management strategy (CCWA 2005); the Ministerial water requirements (EPA 1992), and were discussed with two experts from different management authorities (Department of Parks and Wildlife and Department of Water). For each ecological objective, minimum water depth requirements were obtained (i.e. threshold) using the Ministerial water requirements (Table 1). In cases where water level thresholds were not informed by the Ministerial water requirements, we relied on peer-reviewed literature (See ‘Source’ in Table 1). A detailed description of necessary conditions can be obtained from previous research (Balla 1993; Storey et al. 1993; Balla and Davis 1995; Froend et al. 2004; Dale and Knight 2008; Department of Environment and Conservation 2011). In addition, two expert interviews were conducted to determine the accepted exceedance frequency and to define threshold definitions that were not informed by policy or literature. The appraisal of the ecological objectives in Table 1 reveals that 21.6 mAHD is the minimum threshold for vegetation (Townley et al. 1993), mammals, and terrestrial birds; and 22.0 mAHD is the minimum threshold to maintain waterbirds, freshwater turtles, frogs, and macro-invertebrates.

2.2.3. Step 3: Determine ATPs - statistical analyses

We observed time series of surface and groundwater depths (Site ID 14578 and 12781400) as provided by the Department of Water’s water information database (DoW 2015). As the lake experienced hydrological change during the 1990s, the data set was divided into two time periods 1978-1995 and 1996-2012. To evaluate the ecological resilience of the wetland, we assessed when and for how long the water level in Forrestdale Lake crossed the thresholds. For the calculation of threshold exceedance we used the observed (historical) time series of water levels to estimate the frequencies of occurrence.
of threshold exceedance by annual minimum series (Jenkinson 1955). Equation 1 describes the distribution $G(x)$ of the magnitude of events $x$ smaller than a threshold $x_0$ over a (non)-consecutive period of time over a period of years $T$. Here $\alpha$ and $k$ are constants derived from the average highest and lowest in sets of $T$ annual minima and the minimum value to be expected once in $T$ years. To interpret the occurrence of ATPs in context with the ecological tipping points; we extended our analyses by comparing the drought frequency, duration and start month for both the pre and post 1995 water-level time series. A dry period was considered when water depth was lower than 21.6m. for 3 consecutive months. We compared the water levels with the available historical ecological data to make an estimation of the trajectories over time.

$$G(x) = 1 - \left[1 - k \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\alpha}\right)\right]^\frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for} \; k \neq 0 \; \text{ (eq. 1)}$$

3.0. Results

The results are represented in three steps in accordance with our methodology, as per Figure 1. The first two steps show the results of the literature review and step 3 shows the results from time series analyses of historical surface and groundwater level data from 1978-2012. From the literature review, we revealed that protection of the regional important Forrestdale Lake wetland lake is provided by legislation and policies on different levels and scales (Figure 5). The management of the lake is therefore organised on different levels of government institutions that have their own scale of operation (e.g. local council vs. state wide department). Due to the different institutions and their operational level, the execution of the wetland management strategy is a shared responsibility of all stakeholders. However, the co-ordination of this strategy is the responsibility of a state-wide operating institution (Department of Parks and Wildlife). System controls (e.g. policy and legislation) are mandated on a larger spatial scale, whereas accumulated stressors (e.g. reduced rainfall or lowering groundwater table) have larger impacts on a lower spatial scale, such as on ecosystem scale or separate ecological processes of the ecosystem. These noticeable effects are translated by threshold exceedance of ecological processes.
From the extensive variety of policies and legislation in place to protect the ecological values of the wetland, we were able to derive the important socio-ecological objectives for the wetland. For each objective, we determined the critical water requirement thresholds. Although for our analyses the water requirement policies did not provide maximum exceedance frequencies (return period) for each objective. Where return periods for certain objectives in the management strategy were lacking, stakeholders were able to provide expert knowledge to determine threshold definitions, such as for drought duration; water availability for birds, and exposure of acid sulphate soils.

We found from the expert interviews that legislation and policy aims are a good starting point to discuss with stakeholders that operate on a state wide scale. These experts represent management authorities that are responsible for execution of larger scale (top-down) policies and legislation. Data of monthly observed surface and groundwater levels in the lake were available and publicly accessible via the State’s Data Portal. Groundwater level data is only available from 1997 and surface water levels from 1952. Surface water level from the start of the observations until 1978 contains many data gaps to adequately perform ATP analyses.

A combination of a review of peer-reviewed literature and government reports provided a complete as possible overview of ecological studies undertaken in Forrestdale Lake. Data are predominantly available in government reports rather than in peer-reviewed media. This included data on bird counts, macro-invertebrates species composition, and vegetation transects. Ecological data is often patchy and only available for certain time frames in the 1990s and 2000s for Forrestdale Lake when requested from government departments. Bird counts for the lake have been discontinued since 2009 (DoW 2012) and vegetation transects are not conducted on regular basis as mandated in policy.

ATPs were determined by calculating the re-occurring water level depth using the values from Table 1 with Equation 1. The ATP analysis employed here suggests that a drying climate has compromised four ecological objectives of Forrestdale Lake (Table 2). ATPs occurred after 1995 and threshold crossings occurred for vegetation and mammals, waterbirds, turtles, macro-invertebrates. Water levels for remaining objectives are close to exceeding thresholds such as the capacity of the lake to deliver sediment processes and limiting the risk of oxidation of acid sulphate soils in the lake bed.
When the drought frequency and duration are compared for both periods, before and after 1995, we see major differences (Figure 6). Prior to 1995, no dry periods of 3 consecutive months occurred, however, the lake did dry completely five times for at least one month. These five occurrences are not considered as a drought according to our definition of 3 consecutive months. In figure 5 we have included the dry periods prior to 1995 to compare the duration and start month of each drought. The drought frequency is 5x before 1995 (definition 1 month/year) and increases to 16x after 1995 (definition 3 consecutive months). From Figure 6 we observe that Forrestdale Lake dried more frequent than the recommended return period of 1 in 5 years and that each dry period exceeded the maximum duration of 3 consecutive months. Drying is most frequent in summer months December, January and February which is in contrary to regulation that drying of the lake should not occur before May in order to ensure waterlogged lake bed throughout the year and limited water availability for species.

Although there is not enough data to conduct trend analyses we observe a large increase in the frequency of droughts and duration of each drought after 1995 compared to prior 1995. When we combine the results from our ATP analyses (Table 2) with the drought analyses (Figure 6), we observe a regime shift in the ecosystem from a permanently to a seasonally inundated wetland. The effect of this hydrological shift translates into passing the defined threshold level that is enforced in policy and leading to an ATP. In Figure 7 we graphically present the minimum thresholds for all objectives; the water levels from 1978-2012 compared to the initiation of groundwater abstraction; and the implementation of the water policy requirements.

Compared to the implementation date of the water requirements policy in 1992; water level exceedance for ecological objectives occur in the period after the water policy was implemented. Between the 1970s and the implementation period of the policy in 1980s no significant research was conducted on the gradual decline of water levels in the Swan Coastal Plain wetlands. With available quantitative ecological data on ecological responses we base our representation with stylised lines to explain individual ecological responses compared to declining water levels from the 1970s. This representation is a combination of historical data from previous research and information from expert interviews. The decline of the ecological processes is simultaneous with the increased duration and
frequency of dry periods during the 1990s. While minimum water requirements for the wetland were not updated in the state water requirements policy since its introduction in 1992; existing water requirements were used in 2005 to determine the current wetland management strategy. After the mid-1990s we observe that the management does not respond to maintain declining water levels on the mandated threshold levels.

4.0. Discussion

4.1. Temporal and spatial hydrological responses in ATP analysis applied to ecosystems

A major gap in the science-policy interface and socio-hydrologic systems literature is: (i) the identification of inadequate policy to inform managers or policy makers about the durability of an ecosystem management strategy; (ii) to perform assessments of hydrological variables when data is lacking. With the ATP methodology presented we have tried to further close this gap in the literature. The methodology presented, assessed whether a baseline ecosystem management strategy was sufficient to sustain the ecological resilience of the ecosystem. Our ATP framework assesses resilience of the hydrological system across spatial and temporal scales by (Zevenbergen et al. 2008): (i) the amount of reaction of the ecosystem; (ii) the temporal scale and ecosystem responses to increased perturbations; and (iii) the recovery rate or by a shift from a desirable stable state to an alternative and undesirable stable state with limited ecological processes.

The observed climatic shift by the end of the 1960s and early 1970s in south-west Western Australia (Verdon-Kidd et al. 2014) follows the stepwise decreasing rainfall trend in our hydrological time series. We observe a hydrological response in the 1990s with shorter periods of inundation and ATPs occurring simultaneously in the same time period. Other studies explain this hydrological shift from permanent to intermittent water availability in the lake by decreased surface water availability due to lower rainfall (Eamus and Froend 2006; Davis and Brock 2008; Dawes et al. 2009; Maher and Davis 2009). Our observations of consistent reductions of water levels result in more frequent, prolonged dry periods. Studies confirmed that a significant reduction in water levels for consecutive years could threaten the regional function of wetlands to sustain multiple ecological functions (Froend et al. 2004; Davis and Brock 2008; Maher and Davis 2009).
The analysis points to an ineffective water requirements policy as water levels are exceeded for four of the eight ecological functions. Thresholds were crossed in the 1990s which occurred simultaneously with the observed hydrological response. The main ecological processes depend on waterlogged soils during low water availability but are at increasing risk when the lake bed dries completely over summer. Late drying of the lake does imply a lack of surface water availability for species that have a limited action radius to alternative habitats, such as macrophytes, freshwater tortoises, frogs, and macro-invertebrates. Our study did not include the investigation of ecological responses. However, the hydrological change and ATPs are followed by declining trends in the ecology that was showed in more recent studies through:

- increasing weed invasion and exotics establishing in the understory and deterioration of fringing vegetation (Froend et al. 2004; Davis and Brock 2008)
- a gradual declining trend in the species numbers and composition of macro-invertebrates (Balla and Davis 1995; Maher and Davis 2009; Sommer and Horwitz 2009).
- decreasing numbers of birds from over 20,000 birds in the 1980s (Storey et al. 1993; Maher and Davis 2009) to just over 10,000 birds in 2009 (Bamford et al. 2010).

Literature describes the responses of ecosystems after perturbations and the shifts that could occur likely to shift from a desirable higher stable alternative state into a undesirable lower alternative stable state with high resilience and reduced ecological processes (Scheffer et al. 2001; Folke et al. 2004; Folke et al. 2005). Due to a lack of data to determine shifts between multiple or alternative stable states (Capon et al. 2015); our analyses combines rapid hydrological processes and slow response of ecological processes such as vegetation (Sivapalan and Blöschl 2015) under the influence of an external boundary condition (lower rainfall due to climate change). Different to regime shifts in the natural system that trigger a shift in the social system to restore environmental degradation (Elshafei et al. 2016); a gradual transition appears not to trigger management interventions to maintain the rapid processes in an ecosystem. The understanding of scale and level of policy and legislation that provide the legislative framework of management practises is critical, since this could enhance or constrain the necessary shift in the social system.
4.2. Informing ecosystem management

The presented framework provides in an early stage guiding principles to existing ecosystem management strategies when these are ineffective. The ineffectiveness of current policy and management were also shown in flood risk studies (Lavery and Donovan 2005; Reeder and Ranger 2011), flood mitigation under climate change (Gersonius et al. 2012), for river restoration (Bölscher et al. 2013) and for the impact of the hydrological regime of a river on salmon re-introduction and shipping (van Slobbe et al. 2016). Central in these studies is to determine when and how much action is needed to determine alternative management strategies (Sivapalan and Blöschl 2015) In the interest of decision makers or managers ATPs are used as a starting point to explore adaptation measures that adequately resolve the critical adaptation tipping point (Hanger et al. 2013) when quantitative data is not readily available to support a complex model with predicted feedback mechanism in the socio-environmental system (Sivapalan et al. 2012; Di Baldassarre et al. 2013; Elshafei et al. 2014; Di Baldassarre et al. 2015). However, rather than substituting existing quantitative assessments in the socio-hydrology; the outcomes of an ATP analyses provide better understanding of the role of individual processes before making more complex models (Hipsey et al. 2015) and highlights the potential dynamics of scale of legislation, policy and interaction of management authorities in the hydrological system.

To adequately inform existing management practices, we first consider the whole set of clearly stated objectives in a management strategy without prioritising or aggregating these. As a result, we provide the alternative states of ecological processes within the spatial and temporal scales of processes and governance systems (Niemi and McDonald 2004). Studies showed that introducing multiple management aims overcomes a focus on separate ecological objectives that lead to a lack of quantitative boundaries or thresholds for acceptable ecological change (Hallegatte 2009; Kwadijk et al. 2010; Haasnoot et al. 2012; Werners et al. 2013). Studies showed that when defined threshold levels along an environmental gradient are passed which are enforced by law (Walker and Meyers 2004); not all ecological processes would show a direct decline of species or shift in species composition. From a management perspective reversing the ecosystem to a stable state with adequate ecological processes involves measures that need to be far enough to reverse the conditions the ecosystem (Scheffer et al. 2001).
Therefore, informing decision-makers at an early stage prevents costly measures to reverse the system.

Secondly, in the absence of clearly defined thresholds our framework provides active involvement of the management authorities (Haasnoot et al. 2012; Haasnoot et al. 2013) from a multi-purpose perspective (van Slobbe et al. 2016). The ATP analyses stimulate stakeholders to look at the durability of their approach (Kwadijk et al. 2010). Continuous improvement in the processes of adaptive management is an ongoing challenge. Studies demonstrate frameworks for collaborative research in the science-policy interface across several scales (Mitchell and Hollick 1993; Davis et al. 2015).

Threshold definitions for management approaches also reflect the ideas of multiple management authorities when management practices needs to be updated. Without a coupled system there is still potential to provide insight into the impact of management interventions by capturing the combined measures to adapt the current strategy.

4.3. Adapting management strategies

For effective governance developing a better understanding of climate and hydrological impacts is required (Davis et al. 2015). With the involvement of stakeholders in our assessment we can account for the exploration of future hydrological events and provide decision-makers time periods for when the expiry of current policies occur. The ATP assessment includes the option to identify measures and for adequate governance decisions, further exploration of adaptation measures under future climate scenarios needs to be investigated. This could include: 1) physical/engineered measures; 2) adoption of new or amended policy instruments; 3) adoption of policy strategies (combination of options 1 and 2); or 4) implementation of an adaptation strategy (Folke et al. 2005; Nelson et al. 2007; Kwadijk et al. 2010). Critical to successful adaptation requires understanding the scale and level of implementation of existing policies, legislation or management strategies that are often barriers to local scale adaptation.

Our ATP analysis shows that the ecosystem management strategy is not designed to cope with current hydrological variation. The application of the proposed methodology is adequate for ecosystems: without clear boundary conditions and defined thresholds; external drivers that cause regime changes over time; and a rapid assessment is required to provide overview of when management strategies are...
ineffective and to which failing objectives interventions can be taken. However, the limitations of the study include the effects of multiple stressors on the system; a limited focus on new strategies; and including objectives or thresholds that change over time due to socio-economic changes.

5. Conclusion

The extended ATP method presented in this paper provides a combination of a qualitative and quantitative analysis of datasets of a wetland ecosystem. We applied the concept of ‘adaptation tipping points’, to identify when management response became inadequate to prevent decline in ecological integrity. Through a combination with conceptual and visual representation of the ecological processes we proved to be able to identify major trends and transitions in the system in the presence of strong driver of change and variable hydrological conditions.

This approach was useful to determine the effectiveness of an ecosystem management strategy when data availability is limited and social-ecological dynamic models to fully assess the tipping point and potential points for interventions are absent to monitor suitability of management. This study showed that a lack of data, quantitative boundaries or thresholds to define acceptable ecological change can be overcome by inclusion of pre-existing thresholds based on available information about shifts of the wetland’s hydrological regime. This included information about unacceptable adverse ecological changes to the unique set of identifiers, and the input of expert knowledge to determine the critical wetland objectives and thresholds for wetland management. We showed in an early stage information to stakeholders to determine the effectiveness of existing wetland policy that can be used to adapt or accept objectives, thresholds; seen in context with ATPs and undesirable ecological changes. With the absence of SES models the ATPs of underlying ecological processes were seen in relation to undesirable ecological responses. ATPs could establish a proxy indicator for lag-responses in the ecology to timely adapt ecosystem management before ecological processes exceed unaccepted levels.
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Figure 1 The complete Adaptation Tipping Point methodology with an overview of the steps undertaken in this study (blue boxes) and the according data collection and analyses (Adapted from: Kwadijk et al. 2010).

Figure 2 Location of Forrestdale Lake (32° 09’ 30” S; 115° 56’ 16” E) within its groundwater catchment with the indication of increasing urbanisation in the catchment; the multiple management authorities; and protection policies (map projection GDA94).

Figure 3 Growing water demand caused by population growth (ABS 2014) in Perth with decreasing water availability as a result of decreasing rainfall (BoM 2016).

Figure 4 A historical representation of time and scale the traditional human-nature system and water resources system of Forrestdale Lake with indicated key events of the four subsystems: natural resources, infrastructure, socio-economics and institution.

Figure 5 Ecological resilience and legislation: across spatial levels, shows large scale impacts through the catchment that accumulate and result in exceedance of thresholds for ecosystem services.

Figure 6 Comparison of the onset and duration of drought from 1978-2012 at Forrestdale Lake prior and post 1995. Each bar represents a dry period which is defined as 1 month per year (post 1995) and ≤ 3 consecutive months (post 1995).

Figure 7 Ecosystem regime shift on the onset of dry periods with declining water levels and the change of conditions of ecological processes over time. Incremental management and policy compared to non-linear ecosystem responses over time are ineffective when sudden changes occur.
Table 1 Threshold values for the ecological objectives to determine ATPs for surface water (SW) and groundwater (GW) levels in (non)-consecutive months, represented as the mean water level in Australian Height Datum in meters (mAHD).

Table 2 Adaptation tipping points (1 in 5 years exceedance water depth (m) calculated with eq. 1) for each ecological function of Forrestdale Lake with red indicating an ATP has occurred and green not occurred.
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## Ecological objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological objectives</th>
<th>Water level (mAHD)</th>
<th>Threshold definition</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. protect vegetation and mammals; definition of drought</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>3 consecutive months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>EPA (1992); Froend et al. (2004); CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. prevent mosquitoes</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>1 month per year; 1 in 1 year</td>
<td>CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. protect waterbirds</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>6 consecutive months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>EPA (1992); Storey et al. (1993); CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. protect frogs</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>8 months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>Froend et al. (2004); CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. protect tortoises</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>3 months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>Froend et al. (2004); CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. protect macro-invertebrates</td>
<td>SW &lt; 22.0</td>
<td>3 consecutive months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>Froend et al. (2004); CCWA (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. prevent exposure of Acid Sulphate Soils</td>
<td>GW &lt; 21.1</td>
<td>3 consecutive months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>Froend et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. maintain sediment processes</td>
<td>GW &lt; 21.1</td>
<td>3 consecutive months; 1 in 5 years</td>
<td>Froend et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. protect vegetation and mammals</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. prevent mosquitoes</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>21.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. protect waterbirds</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>21.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. protect frogs</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>21.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. protect tortoises</td>
<td>SW &lt; 21.6</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. protect macro-invertebrates</td>
<td>SW &lt; 22.0</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. maintain sediment processes</td>
<td>GW &lt; 21.1</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>