Dear Authors,

I am sorry that the review of your paper took so long. It was hard to find reviewers, also because your paper addresses river engineering issues rather than hydrological. But the main reason why it took so long is because you took a long time to react to the first review by Referee#1. Only after several reminders from my side, you wrote a reply, which I found perfunctory and disappointing. The Referee#1 also indicated this in the reply on your response.

This is not to say that your work is not interesting for the journal. That is why I'll decide to accept your paper only after modifications are made that fully satisfy the observations made by Referee#1. Please take time to do this to our full satisfaction. After you have submitted your revised version, I shall ask the Referee#1 again if he/she is satisfied with your handling of the comments. Depending on this feedback I shall make a decision on acceptance or rejection.

Sincerely,

Hubert Savenije
editor
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