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Abstract

Precipitation isotope data were used to determine the origin of groundwater in the Badain Jaran Desert (BJD) in the study of Wu et al. (2017). Both precipitation and its isotopic composition vary seasonally, so arithmetic averages of precipitation isotope values poorly represent the isotope composition of meteoric water. Their finding that the BJD groundwater is recharged by modern meteoric water from local areas including the southeastern adjacent mountains was based on arithmetic averaging. However, this conclusion is not supported by the corrected mean precipitation isotope values, which are weighted by the precipitation rate. Indeed, the available isotopic evidence shows that modern precipitation on the Qilian Mountains is more likely to be the main source of the groundwater and lake water in the BJD, as found by Chen et al. (2004).

1 Introduction

The Badain Jaran Desert (BJD) is characterized by a unique landscape that contains a large number of lakes and the world’s largest stationary sand dunes maintained by groundwater. However, the origin of the groundwater remains uncertain (Dong et al., 2013). Using stable and radioactive environmental isotopes, Wu et al. (2017) investigated the connection between lakes and groundwater, and the origin of groundwater in the southeastern desert area. They suggested that the BJD groundwater is derived primarily from modern meteoric water from local areas, including the southeastern adjacent small mountains. Based on isotopic evidence, the authors ruled out other hypotheses on the groundwater source, including fossil groundwater (Gates et al., 2008; Ma and Edmunds, 2006; Wang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2010) and snowmelt from the Qilian Mountains, 500 km southwest of the desert (Chen et al., 2004; 2006).

The authors argued that the $^{14}$C dating over-estimated the age (~10 ka) of the BJD groundwater due to interference by additional dead carbon input from ancient carbonates, consistent with the finding of Chen et al. (2014). They reasoned that the average age of groundwater in the BJD should be much younger, since it includes modern meteoric water as indicated by tritium levels (Gates et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2017). However, their averaging of the precipitation isotope data did not account for seasonality, which led to a misconception of the groundwater origin.
Source water identification based on weighted mean precipitation isotope values

The determination of mean precipitation isotope values is of great significance for assessing the contribution of precipitation as a water source to regional hydrological systems and for assessing interactions among different hydrological components. To examine the relationship between the BJD groundwater and local precipitation, the historical precipitation isotope data from a nearby GNIP (Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation, https://nucleus.iaea.org/wiser/index.aspx) station in Zhangye (1986–2003) were used by Wu et al. (2017). The GNIP database provides data on monthly precipitation isotope values as well as monthly rainfall for the Zhangye station. As shown in Figure 1, the monthly mean δD and δ18O values exhibit large seasonal variations, which are mainly controlled by temperature (Zhan et al., 2017). During the summer half year when temperature is higher, the rainfall is more enriched in heavier isotopes.

According to the GNIP data, the mean annual precipitation is about 130 mm, with more than 60% of the total annual rainfall occurring from June to August during which the isotope values are the highest (Figure 1a). Since the annual precipitation is seasonal, the monthly precipitation isotope data should be weighted by the monthly precipitation amount to calculate the annual mean for representing the isotope composition of local precipitation as a potential source of the BJD groundwater. With the data from the GNIP database, the weighted mean values for δD and δ18O of Zhangye’s precipitation are -41.0‰ and -5.73‰, respectively (Figure 1b). Using arithmetic average values, Wu et al. (2017) determined δD and δ18O values around -74‰ and -10.5‰, respectively.

When plotted on the δ18O-δD graph (Figure 1b), the arithmetic average values are close to the intersection of the evaporation line EL1 (for groundwater and lake water in the desert) and the GMWL (Global Meteoric Water Line), which led Wu et al. (2017) to conclude that groundwater and lake water in the BJD originates from modern meteoric precipitation in local areas including the adjacent small mountains. However, if the weighted mean values are used, this conclusion no longer holds. The source water recharging the BJD groundwater and lakes is much more depleted in D and 18O, compared with the isotope composition of local precipitation.
3 Reanalysis on the origin of groundwater in the BJD

Using available data from literature, we reanalyzed the possible origin of groundwater in the BJD. We focus on the BJD southern margin area where the desert lakes are mostly concentrated. The isotope data of the groundwater and lake water lie on the evaporation line \( EL_2 \) (\( \delta D = 4.6 \delta^{18}O - 29.8, r^2 = 0.94 \)), which is reasonably similar to \( EL_1 \) in Wu et al. (2017). The weighted mean isotope values of precipitation in the regions close to the BJD (blue circles) show a decreasing trend with increasing elevation from 1382 to 2569 m a.s.l., reflecting the effect of elevation on isotope fractionation (Poage and Chamberlain, 2001). The intersection of \( EL_2 \) and GMWL (\( \delta D = -83.6^‰, \delta^{18}O = -11.7^‰ \)), which represents the mean isotopic composition of the recharge source for BJD groundwater, is clearly outside the range of precipitation in the local and adjacent regions, indicating another different source water with more depleted isotope composition.

Together with the statistical isotopic values of precipitation in the BJD and the Qilian Mountains (rainfall and snowmelt) from literature data, a significant inverse correlation of \( \delta D \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) values with elevations of the precipitation can be established (Figure 2b&c). The altitude gradients for \( \delta D \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) are \(-2.0^‰/100\text{m}\) and \(-0.26^‰/100\text{m}\), respectively, which are close to the global mean levels (Poage and Chamberlain, 2001). Based on these gradients, the location of water associated with the intersection of \( EL_2 \) and GMWL corresponds to an average elevation of 3914 m a.s.l. (3920 m estimated by \( \delta D \) and 3908 m by \( \delta^{18}O \)). Therefore, the recharge region for groundwater and lake water in the BJD is likely to include areas of elevations higher than 3914 m a.s.l. to produce source water of more depleted isotope composition.

The closest region that could meet this elevation requirement is the Qilian Mountains (average elevation between 4000 and 5000 m a.s.l.), northeast of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (Figure 3a). Nineteen snowmelt and rainfall water samples from 3540 to 5010 m a.s.l. in the glacier zone of the Qilian Mountains were collected by Ren (1999). The statistical isotope compositions of these samples are close to that given by the GMWL-\( EL_2 \) intersection (Figure 2a). Therefore, the isotope evidence points to the Qilian Mountains as a main source region for groundwater and lake water in the BJD, as observed previously (Chen et al., 2004).
In the study of Wu et al. (2017), they ruled out the Qilian Mountains as a recharge area for groundwater in the BJD based on the large isotopic difference between the GMWL-EL2 intersection and data of water samples mainly collected from the Shiyang River watershed (Li et al., 2016), which is located in the eastern lower area of the Qilian Mountains. These data are not included in this comment because the mean elevation of the Shiyang River watershed is only 2487 m a.s.l. (Bourque and Hassan, 2009), lower than even the mean level of the entire mountain. Their argument for excluding the Qilian Mountains as a recharge region is questionable.

The relationship between d-excess and δ18O was also discussed in Wu et al. (2016). The d-excess value (d-excess = δD - 8δ18O < 0) indicates the deviation from the GMWL, reflecting the degree of evaporation, to which the water has been subjected to. Wu et al. (2017) noted the difference in the d-excess value between the Qilian-sourced water (sampled from the northern slope rivers of the Qilian Mountains region) and BJD groundwater, and argued that the Qilian Mountains cannot be the origin of the latter because no evaporation could occur to water underground. Located in the northeastern margin of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, the Qilian Mountains area consists of many northwest–southeast parallel mountain ranges and valleys (Qiu et al., 2016). Although little evidence of evaporation was found in sampled river water from the northern slope area, water in other near-surface water systems (like lakes, wetlands, and soil water reservoir) of longer residence time within the wide Qilian Mountains region would have been subjected to more intense evaporation and produced isotopic signature similar to that of the BJD groundwater. The d-excess results cannot exclude the Qilian Mountains as a recharge region either.

We agree with the concern of Wu et al. (2017) about the accuracy of 14C dating for the BJD groundwater, which provided estimates of very old ages. In a recently published paper (Wang and Chen, 2018), we found considerable overestimation of the groundwater age by the 14C dating method due to neglect of dead carbon brought by deep CO2 emission. The detectable tritium activities as shown in their study and many others (Chen et al., 2006; Gates et al., 2008; Yang and Williams, 2003) indicate a modern precipitation source of the BJD groundwater. The tritium results are not in contradiction with the Qilian Mountains being the recharge region for...
the BJD groundwater. Nevertheless, the exact transit time of the BJD groundwater needs to be further verified.

Groundwater in the BJD has also been postulated to be sourced from the Yabulai Mountain region (Figure 3a). The highest mountain there is 1938 m a.s.l., which is unlikely to provide rainfall input with depleted heavy isotopes as shown in Figure 2. In a recent groundwater resource development project, eight wells were drilled (depths of 135 to 260 m) in the southeastern part of the BJD. The static groundwater levels in these wells show a decreasing trend from southwest to northeast (Figure 3b), indicating an overall movement of groundwater along this direction. The groundwater flow direction is consistent with our opinion of groundwater originating from Qilian Mountains, which is located to the southwest of the BJD.

The reanalysis above suggests that groundwater in the BJD mainly originates from the Qilian Mountains. It should be noted that, the higher average elevation (4000 to 5000 m a.s.l.) of the Qilian Mountains than the mean recharge elevation (3914 m a.s.l.) estimated in this study, as well as the large variation of isotopic composition of groundwater in the BJD, implies a mixture of the Qilian-sourced water (of more depleted isotope compositions from 4000 to 5000 m a.s.l.) with precipitation from other lower areas. Groundwater might have mixed with rainwater from low-elevation areas on its pathway.

4 Concluding remarks

We reanalyzed the precipitation isotope data to determine the original source of the groundwater in the Badain Jaran Desert. These data were averaged arithmetically in the recent study of Wu et al. (2017), whereas weighted averaging is more appropriate. The reanalysis does not support the conclusion of Wu et al. (2017) that the BJD groundwater is sourced from local meteoric water. Indeed, the reanalysis suggests a mean recharge elevation of about 3914 m a.s.l. for the BJD groundwater, which indicates that the precipitation in the Qilian Mountains region is more likely to be a main source of the BJD groundwater, as initially hypothesized by Chen et al. (2004).
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Figure 1. Isotope composition of monthly precipitation in GNIP Zhangye Station (a), and δD-δ¹⁸O plots of groundwater, lake water and annual precipitation in the study area (based on data from Zhangye station) (b). Data in (a) are sourced from the GNIP database while plot (b) is modified from Wu et al. (2017). Further details are provided in the text.
Figure 2. δD-δ¹⁸O plot of water related to the BJD groundwater origin (a), and altitude gradients of related precipitation isotopes (b & c). For precipitation (rainfall and snowmelt), the corresponding sampling elevations (m a.s.l.) are also shown. Statistical mean values are shown together with standard errors where feasible. The weighted means of local rainfall (blue circles) are from Wu et al. (2010) and the GNIP database. Rainfall (yellow circle), lake water (yellow square; 47 samples) and groundwater (yellow triangle; 31 samples) in within the BJD area are based on data from Wu et al. (2017), Ma and Edmunds (2006), Zhao et al. (2012), Gates et al. (2008), Chen et al. (2012) and Yang et al. (2010). Summer rainfall (red circle; 4 samples) and snowmelt (red pentagram; 15 samples) in the Qilian Mountains are based on data from Ren (1999).
Figure 3. Elevation map of the study area (a) and groundwater wells drilled in the BJD (b). Locations for precipitation sampling in different areas are also shown in (a), as well as the elevation (m a.s.l.). The elevations of static groundwater levels in seven of the extraction wells (well #1 is far away from these wells and hence not shown) are indicated by white text in (b). Arrows in (b) show the estimated groundwater flow direction (based on groundwater elevation).