Analytical model captures intratidal variation of salinity in a convergent, well-mixed estuary
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Abstract. Knowledge of the processes governing salt intrusion in estuaries is important since it influences the environment of estuaries as well as its water resource potential in many ways. Analytical models of salinity variation offer a simple and efficient method to study salt intrusion in estuaries. In this paper, an unsteady analytical solution is presented to simulate the spatial-temporal variation of salinity in convergent estuaries. It is derived from a one-dimensional advection-diffusion equation for salinity adopting a constant mixing coefficient and a single-frequency tidal wave. Compared with steady-state solutions, it can directly reflect the influence of the tide and the interaction between the tide and runoff. The deduced analytical solution is illustrated with an application to the Humen estuary of the Pearl River Delta (PRD), and proves to be an efficient and accurate approach to predicate the salt intrusion in convergent estuaries. The unsteady analytical solution is tested against six surveys made at six study sites, to validate its capability of predicing salt intrusion variation. The results show that the proposed unsteady analytical solution can be successfully used to reproduce the spatial distribution and temporal processes governing salinity dynamics in convergent, well-mixed estuaries. Meanwhile, this predictive equation provides a quick and convenient approach to decide upon water fetching works to make good use of water resources.

1 Introduction

The salt intrusion in a river communicating with a sea is largely controlled by the river flow (Keulegen, 1966). The salinity of estuary waters is the result of the balance between river and tidal fluxes, and mixing in between. The natural variability of river and tidal inputs to estuaries has been greatly disrupted as a result of the impact of global climate change and human activities, such as sea level rise, dam construction and channel dredging. These changes cause salt intrusion to become a serious problem in estuaries. It influences water quality, agricultural development in lowland areas, water utilization in upstream catchments, and the aquatic environment in estuaries (Han et al., 2010; Mo et al., 2007; Savenije, 1992). Hence,
the effects of human activities on salt intrusion are of major interest to engineers and scientists. To address this issue worldwide, research efforts devoted to salt intrusion have been conducted in laboratory tanks, with numerical models and using analytical approaches.

Nowadays, numerical models have become the most popular tools to study the basic rules of salinity distribution in estuaries, because it can provide visible results presenting the spatial-temporal variation in detail (e.g. Gong et al., 2012; Lerczak et al., 2006; MacCready, 2004; Wu and Zhu, 2010). However, the application of a numerical model is not an easy task since it requires detailed data of the bathymetry, and from hydrological variables, which are not available for all estuaries in the world. Here, a comparatively simple and convenient analytical model is developed as an efficient method to study the salt intrusion in well-mixed estuaries. Analytical models are widely used because they are very simple, while still retaining the basic physical characteristics involved. In the early 1960s, when systematic methods were developed to explore the factors controlling the instantaneous longitudinal salinity distribution, an expression was developed to compute the salt intrusion length as a function of the estuary length, mean depth, tidal amplitude, tidal period, fresh-water discharge, ocean salinity, and estuary roughness (Ippen and Harleman, 1961). In a subsequent period, analytical models of increasing complexity were developed based on the one-dimensional advection diffusion equation (Cameron and Pritchard, 1963), and on two-dimensional equations (Hansen and Rattray, 1965), capturing the dynamics of buoyancy driven exchange flow and tidal mixing, satisfying salt conservation. Since the 1970s, numerous empirical and semi-empirical one-dimensional analytic models were put forward that correlated the salt intrusion length to the estuarine dynamical conditions and geomorphology, based on the flume experiments and field measurements (e.g. Brockway et al., 2006; Fischer, 1974; Gay and O’Donnell, 2007, 2009; Kuijper and Rijn, 2011; Lewis and Uncles, 2003; Prandle, 1981, 1985; Rigter, 1973; Savenije, 1986). Although the literature on salt intrusion is vast, most studies concentrate on salty water in a prismatic flume for reasons of convenience. In the majority of real estuaries worldwide, however, the channels are convergent. The topography of the estuary is crucial to salt intrusion, because the two main drivers (i.e. river flow and the tidal motion) both depend on the topography. The cross-section area determines the amount of the salty water entering into the estuary, and the efficiency of fresh water carrying salt out of the estuary. So, a fully analytical and predictive model that applies to the natural topography of alluvial estuaries was developed for computation of salt intrusion (Savenije, 1986). It has been well validated in numerous estuaries with the converging banks following an exponential function based on the field measurements (e.g. Eaton, 2007; Ervine et al., 2007; Nguyen and Savenije, 2006; Nguyen et al., 2008; Savenije, 1989; Savenije and Pagès, 1992). In the years 2000-2010, another analytical approach (Brockway et al., 2006) was put forward, which can be considered as a modified and simplified version of the method presented in earlier studies (Prandle, 1981; Savenije, 1986). The dispersion coefficient in Brockway’s model is assumed to be constant while it is assumed to be proportional to the subtidal axial velocity in Savenije’s model. In the theoretical achievements described above, the vast majority of researchers focus on the steady-state problem at a slack water. Here, an unsteady-state model is developed to simulate the intratidal salinity intrusion dynamics, quantifying the peak intrusion length within a tidal cycle.
The aim of this study is to obtain a simple, unsteady analytical solution to the problem of predicting the spatial-temporal variation of salt intrusion in convergent, well-mixed estuaries. This paper is organized as follows. The analytical model is introduced in Section 2, which is followed by the description of the study area in Section 3. In Section 4, the analytical solution is validated against field measurements of salt intrusion conducted in the Pearl River estuary. Subsequently, a discussion about the practical applications is presented in Section 5. The final section contains a summary and conclusions.

2 Methods

The cross-sectional area in this paper is described as an exponential function:

\[
A = A_0 \exp(-x/a)
\]  

(1)

where \(A_0\) is the cross-sectional area at the mouth \((x=0)\), \(x\) is distance along the estuary and \(a\) is the convergence length of the cross-sectional area which can be obtained by curve fitting of the cross-sectional areas. The \(x\)-axis has its origin at the mouth of the estuary and the upstream direction is taken as positive.

The one-dimensional advection-diffusion equation for salinity can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial As}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial AUs}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( AD \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x} \right)
\]  

(2)

where \(s\) is salinity averaged over a cross-section, \(t\) is time, \(u\) is velocity and \(D\) is the longitudinal dispersion coefficient.

Although the assumption of a variable coefficient seems to be more reasonable, models with a constant dispersion coefficient have also proved to be capable of satisfactorily reproducing the salinity distribution (Brockway et al., 2006; Gay and O’Donnell, 2007, 2009; Lewis and Uncles, 2003). Under the assumption that \(D\) is independent of time, salinity can be expanded in a Fourier series and be expressed as (Song et al., 2008):

\[
s = \bar{s} + s_1 \cos(\omega t + \varphi) + s_2 \sin(\omega t + \varphi)
\]  

(3)

where \(\bar{s}\) is the tidal-averaged salinity, \(s_1\) and \(s_2\) are coefficients. For the case of a simple harmonic wave with river discharge, the instantaneous flow velocity \(u\) is considered to consist of a time-dependent component \(u_t = \nu \cos(\omega t + \varphi)\) created by the tide, and a steady component \(u_f = Q_f/A\) contributed by the river flow:

\[
u = u_f + \nu \cos(\omega t + \varphi)
\]  

(4)

where the value of runoff velocity \(u_f\) is negative. Introducing Eqs. (3) and (4) into Eq. (2), and using \(\theta = \omega t + \varphi\) yields:

\[
\left( u_f \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x} - \omega s_1 \right) \sin \theta + \left( \omega s_2 + u_f \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x} \right) \cos \theta + u \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x} + \frac{\nu \partial s_1}{2 \partial x} \right) \cos \theta + D \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{s}}{\partial x^2} - D \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x} \right)
\]  

(5)
As the opposite sides of the equation should be equal for all values of \( \theta \), Eq. (5) yields the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\omega s_1 + u_f \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial x} &= D \frac{\partial^2 s_2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial x} \\
\omega s_2 + u_f \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} &= D \frac{\partial^2 s_1}{\partial x^2} - \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial s_1}{\partial x} \\
u u_f \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} &= \frac{D}{2a} \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x^2} - \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

where \( s, s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) can be further assumed as:

\[
\bar{s} = c_0 \exp \left( m \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

(7)

\[
s_1 = c_1 \exp \left( m \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

\[
s_2 = c_2 \exp \left( m \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

with \( c_0 = \bar{s}_0 \) which is the tidal average salinity at the mouth of estuary.

Substitution of the equation set (7) into the equation set (6) yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\omega s_1 + \left( u_f + \frac{D}{a} \right) m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) s_2 &= D m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \frac{1 + m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)}{a} s_2 \\
\omega s_2 + \nu \frac{m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \bar{s} + \left( u_f + \frac{D}{a} \right) m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) s_1 &= D m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \frac{1 + m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)}{a} s_1 \\
u u_f \frac{\partial \bar{s}}{\partial x} + \frac{m}{2a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) s_1 &= D \frac{m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \frac{1 + m \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)}{a} \bar{s}
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

Then, further elaboration yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\omega c_1 + \left( u_f - \frac{D m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \right) m \frac{\exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)}{a} c_2 &= 0 \\
\omega c_2 + \nu \frac{m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) c_0 + \left( u_f - \frac{D m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \right) m \frac{\exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right)}{a} c_1 &= 0 \\
u u_f \frac{m}{a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) c_0 + \nu \frac{m}{2a} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) c_1 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

Hence, the solutions can be obtained:
The analytic solution of the unsteady state salinity distribution is therefore represented as:

\[
s = \bar{s}_0 \exp \left( \frac{Q_f a}{DA_0} \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\nu u_f}{\omega D} \sin(\omega t + \phi) \right)
\]  

By integrating this unsteady salinity expression over the tidal period \( T \), the salt intrusion under Tidal Average conditions (TA), as defined by Brockway et al. (2006), can be obtained as:

\[
\bar{s} = \bar{s}_0 \exp \left( \frac{Q_f a}{DA_0} \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

A graph of the logarithm of salinity \( \ln(\bar{s}/\bar{s}_0) \) against \( \exp(x/a) \) should be a straight line, with the slope inversely proportional to the longitudinal dispersion coefficient \( D \) (Brockway et al., 2006). The coefficient \( D \) can then be calculated from:

\[
D = \frac{D_A}{kA_0}
\]

where \( k \) is the slope of the fitted line. This approach makes it possible to estimate the longitudinal dispersion coefficient \( D \) based on the measurements of salinity made during a survey.

The tidal velocity amplitude \( \nu \) can be estimated as \( \nu = E \pi / T \) (Savenije, 1993) where \( E \) is the tidal excursion and the harmonic constant \( \omega \) is given as \( \omega = 2 \pi / T \). Introducing \( \nu = E \pi / T \) and \( \omega = 2 \pi / T \) into Eq. (11), and using \( u_f = Q_f / A \)

yields:

\[
s = \bar{s}_0 \exp \left( \frac{Q_f a}{DA_0} \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{E}{2a} \left( - \frac{Q_f a}{DA_0} \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) \right) \sin(\omega t + \phi) \right)
\]

This expression can be used to describe the temporal and spatial variation of salinity, including High Water Slack (HWS) and Low Water Slack (LWS), when the tidal discharge is zero by definition. Since the maximum salinity is reached at HWS and the minimum salinity is reached at LWS (Savenije, 2005), Eq. (14) can be simplified for HWS into:
The tidal excursion $E$, the distance over which a water particle travels up and down the estuary with the flooding and ebbing tide, is assumed to decrease exponentially along the channel:

$$E = E_0 \exp(-x/e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $E_0$ is the tidal excursion at the mouth ($x=0$). Thus, combination of Eqs. (15), (16) and (17) yields:

$$E = \frac{a(s_{\text{max}} - s_{\text{min}})}{s_{\text{max}} - \frac{Q_f a}{DA_0}} \exp(-x/e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $s_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum salinity at the estuary mouth and $s_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum salinity at the estuary mouth. After calibration of the parameters in Eq. (14), the spatial-temporal variation of the salinity distribution in estuaries is readily obtained.

### 3 Study area and data

#### 3.1 Overview of study area

The Pearl River estuary (PRE) is located midway along the northern boundary of the South China Sea. It receives a large amount of fresh water from the Pearl River which has three major branches (i.e. the West River, the North River and the East River) in the upper drainage basin. The annual river discharge, with 80% occurring in the wet season, empties into the South China Sea via eight outlets (Zhao, 1990). The Lingding Bay is created by the inflows of freshwater from the Pearl River through four major discharge outlets, namely Humen, Jiaomen, Hongqimen, Hengmen. Historically, about 50-55% of the river flow enters the Lingding bay, while the remaining freshwater directly flows into the South China Sea through the four southwestern outlets (i.e. Modaomen, Jitimen, Hutiaomen and Yamen; Harrison et al., 2008).

The Humen is the largest river outlet in the Lingding bay and contributes 34.6% of the water discharge i.e. about $603 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ in terms of annual water discharge (Ren et al., 2006). The freshwater input into Lingding bay through the Humen outlet comes from three sources: the East River, the Liuxi River and the North River. The annual river discharge with a peak of 1870 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ measured at Niuxinling station in Liuxi River is about 10 times less than that with a flood peak in excess of 12000 m$^3$s$^{-1}$, measured in the other two rivers (Luo et al., 2002).
The tide in the Pearl River estuary has a mixed semidiurnal-diurnal character (Zhang et al., 2012). Among the eight outlets of the Pearl River estuary, Humen is most strongly dominated by the tide, with an annual average and maximum tidal range of 1.63 m and 2.59 m, respectively, at the mouth of the estuary (Li and Lei, 1998).

As a major tributary of the Pearl River, the Humen channel, has a total length of 128 km and is divided into two waterways: the Guangzhou channel (the upper reach) with an average width of 431 m, and the Shiziyang channel (the lower reach) which is about 2200 m wide (Mai et al., 2001). It is a NW-ES branch of the Pearl River estuary with a width of about 4 km at the mouth, just like an inverted funnel with a narrow neck in the north and a wide mouth opening to the south. The Humen outlet has the highest tidal prism in the Pearl River estuary due to the large-width of the mouth, resulting in a strong tidal motion. Especially during spring tide in the dry season, when the river discharge is lowest, the downstream area becomes saline.

3.2 Data

The information available for this study includes data on topography, salinity, river discharge and on the tidal flow. A field survey for salt intrusion was conducted during the dry season in 2005. It was a project carried out by Guangdong Province Hydrology Bureau and the Pearl Hydrology Bureau from the River Conservancy Commission. In this paper, the field data from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd were used, which were measured at six gauge stations along the channel (Fig. 1). A Global Positioning System was used to confirm the exact measuring locations (Table 1). The Humen waterway is well-mixed in the dry seasons (Luo et al., 2010). The average salinity of vertical profiles was calculated based on the hourly water samples. At each location, the saline water was sampled at two different elevations: at 1/5 and 4/5 times the depth of channel from the bed, and salinity was obtained by using a salimeter. The water discharge and tidal levels at stations along the channel were provided by the Hydrology Bureaus during the field survey. The cross-section was measured at mean sealevel, with the help of an ultrasonic echo-sounder.

Because of the complex river network upstream of the Humen area in the Pearl River estuary, the river discharge is difficult to determine. The total flux through the Humen outlet is composed of three parts which come from three main sources: the East River, the North River and the Liuxi River. The river discharge used in this paper was measured at upstream stations (Sanshui for the North River; Boluo for the East River and Laoyagang for the Liuxi River) from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd. These data were collected from the official databases of the Hydrology Bureaus mentioned above. In the lower reach of the East River and the Liuxi River, respectively, Boluo station and Laoyagang station are located about 80 km upstream from the Humen outlet. The daily discharge measured at the Boluo station varied from 260 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ to 400 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ during the survey period, while it was about 20 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ at the Laoyagang station. The discharge measured at the two stations (Boluo and Laoyagang) totally flowed into the South China Sea through the Humen outlet (Ren et al., 2011). The North River is an important source for the river discharge to the Humen outlet. River discharge from the North river reaches the Humen channel through a network of channels which connects to the western part of the Pearl River delta. Sanshui station is the primary hydrological station in the North River. About 11% of the measured discharge was found to flow into the Humen channel during the
survey in 2005. Shanshui station is located further upstream than the other two stations (Boluo and Layaogang). The response of salinity variation at the estuary mouth to discharge variation at Sanshui station is about 2 days, while the river flow spends about 1 day to travel from Boluo and Laoyagang station to the estuary mouth.

4 Results

4.1 Application to the Humen Estuary

To demonstrate the practical application of the proposed analytical solution, the model has been used to simulate and analyze the spatial-temporal variation of salt intrusion in the Humen Estuary. In the following, the parameters of the analytical solution are obtained from calibration.

The spatial decay of the cross-sectional area of the Humen estuary can be described by the exponential function expressed in Eq. (1). The field data (triangles) and the best-fit line are shown in Fig. 2. The cross-sectional area at the mouth at mean tide, $A_0$, is calculated as 37822 m$^2$ and the convergence length of cross section $a$ is obtained by curve fitting as 16.7 km.

The relative salinity is plotted as $\ln(s/s_0)$ against $\exp(x/a)$ in Fig. 3. There is a straight line fit between these two variables, confirming the constancy of the dispersion coefficient. The dispersion coefficient $D$ can be computed from the slope of the fitted lines. According to Eq. (12), where $k$ is the slope. This approach has shown previously to be efficient (e.g. Brockway et al., 2006; Fang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010). Table 2 shows the results of the fit for all these surveys carried out between Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd (the slope in column 4 and the dispersion coefficient in column 6). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) lies in the range between 0.85 and 0.92, with a mean value of 0.89. The assumption of the dispersion coefficient independent of distance is demonstrated to be reasonable and acceptable in the present case.

The tidal excursion can be approximated as the integral over time of the tidal velocity between the two moments of LWS and HWS. Considering it cumbersome to measure the tidal excursion directly by using boats, we present an approach to estimate the tidal excursion by means of the analytical model of salt intrusion based on the measurements of salinity. The tidal excursion at the mouth of the estuary can be obtained through Eq. (18). For each tidal excursion at each day, the period-average value, maximum value and minimum value of salinity at the mouth are obtained by statistical analysis, and the longitudinal dispersion coefficient $D$ is computed by the linear fitting, as shown previously.

Since the tidal flow is assumed as a simple harmonic wave, the unsteady salinity model here is in its simplest form, with a single frequency. As the tidal propagation celerity in the estuary is assumed to be constant, the tidal phase at each site can be made up of an initial phase $\varphi_0$ at the mouth of the estuary and a phase difference that is the travel time of the tide from the mouth to the study site. Therefore, Eq. (14) can be modified as:

$$s = \bar{s}_0 \exp \left( \frac{Q_0 a}{D A_0} \left( \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \right) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{E_0 Q_0 a}{2a D A_0} \right) \exp \left( \frac{x}{a} \right) e^{-\frac{x}{c}} \sin \left( \omega \left( t - \frac{x}{c} \right) + \varphi_0 \right) \right]$$

(19)
where \( c \) is the tidal propagation celerity. The initial tidal phase \( \phi_0 \) is calculated via a reverse procedure by calibration on the observed salinity at the mouth of estuary. Additionally, the calibrated value of the propagation celerity is reliable and acceptable.

### 4.2. Model Validation

A validation of the unsteady model is offered in two separate parts, i.e. the longitudinal distribution of salinity along the channel and the temporal variation of salinity during the tidal period. In the first part, observations during two characteristic conditions (i.e. HWS and LWS) are chosen to validate against the calculated results of the salinity distribution. In the second part, a model for expressing the change process of salinity during tidal periods is established, according to the measurement on Jan. 31st.

#### 4.2.1. Analysis of longitudinal distribution of salinity

Based on the field measurements from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd, Eqs. (15), (16) and (18) are used to calculate the longitudinal variation of salinity. Conditions of neap tide are considered to last from January 31st to February 2nd. The calibration results are presented in Fig. 4. The good agreement between the computation and the measured data indicates that the performance of the unsteady analytical model is to a certain extent satisfactory in Humen estuary. The analytical model is found to better reproduce the distribution of salinity at high water (HW) than at low water (LW). This can be attributed to different degrees of mixing, which is stronger at HW. As the estuary is assumed to be well-mixed, the analytical model undoubtedly will perform better when mixing is higher. Fluctuations around the theoretical curve may partly be caused by the unequal distribution of salinity over the cross-section, or by the indirect derivation of the salinity at HWS and LWS, which is replaced with the daily maximum and minimum values respectively.

It can be seen that the analytical model substantially overestimates the salinity in the downstream part of the estuary, partly because of the special locations of the stations (some are located at the confluence of rivers). The expression for the distribution analysis of salinity, Eq. (11), is multiplied the tidal average salinity with an extra component that reflects the effect of the tide and the interaction of the tide and river flow. This time-dependent component is a sine function, viz. \( -\omega u_0 / oD\sin(\omega t + \phi) \), thus the calculated salinity at HWS and LWS is always symmetrical about the average values. The symmetry property of salinity has been demonstrated by Savenije (1989) under the assumption that the tidal excursion is independent of distance. After a transformation of variables, the sine function mentioned above is expressed as \( E/2a(-Q_f/a/DA_p\exp(x/a)\sin(\omega t + \phi) \) where the dispersion coefficient plays an important role. To simplify and clarify the interaction between the tidal motion and the river flow, the parameters \( D \) and \( Q_f \) are combined into one single calibration variable, the mixing coefficient \( \alpha \):

\[
\alpha = -\frac{D}{Q_f}
\]  

(20)
which can be obtained in the same way as the dispersion coefficient. In this paper, the mixing coefficient is assumed constant along the channel, to develop a comparatively simple analytical solution within acceptable levels. It is calibrated by the measurements from Dahu station to Huangpuyou station, located at the junction of two reaches in the Humen estuary.

4.2.2. Analysis of periodic variation of salinity

Data from Jan. 31 is used to verify the change of salinity over a tidal cycle. The calibration parameters (i.e. the tidal excursion $E_0$, the initial phase $\phi_0$, and the tidal celerity $c$) are obtained based on the measurements at the mouth of the estuary. In addition, the observations of salinity at hourly intervals along the Humen estuary are used to calibrate the dispersion coefficient in the model, and to analyze the change of salinity with time. The results indicate that the calibrated unsteady analytical model fits the observations well. As shown in Fig. 5, the analytical solution is compared with observation, demonstrating that the proposed unsteady analytical solution is able to reflect the change process of salinity over a tidal cycle. Additionally, the simplification and assumption of the tidal celerity ($c$) and the initial phase at the mouth of estuary ($\phi_0$) in Eq. (19) proves realistic.

The theoretical result of the periodic variation of salinity is not always consistent with the observations. As can be seen in Fig. 5, the analytical model for simulating the temporal process of salinity has a relatively poor performance at the sites near the mouth of estuary, such as Dahu station. By comparing the variation of salinity at different sites (Fig. 5), it shows that salinity variation is more symmetrical further away from the study site. The discrepancies near the mouth may have three reasons. Firstly, lateral residual circulation usually exists at the mouth of an estuary, where the cross section is widest. Secondly, the mouth of estuary is close to Lingding Bay, where the salt dynamics are influenced by coastal and ocean currents. Thirdly, near the outlet, comprehensive salinity measurements are much more difficult to take, due to the impact of tidal flats and complex hydrodynamics, influenced by Coriolis forcing and wind effects. All the influences above are related to the width of the channel, which gradually decreases in the landward direction.

The observations at Machong station show some nonperiodic variation, which may relate to the proximity of the confluence of the East River and the Shiziyang channel. At Dasheng station, about 2.6 km upstream from Machong station and near another confluence, the simulated temporal process of salinity shows a fairly good agreement with the observations. To understand the irregular changes of salinity at Machong station, the daily averaged discharges at Machong and Dasheng stations are analyzed by integrating over the tidal period. The results are presented in Fig. 6 where the positive values represent the mean discharge transporting in the seaward direction. At Machong station, the mean discharge is directed inland, which can be attributed to Stokes transport (Buschman et al., 2010; Hoitink and Jay, 2016). At Dasheng station, only a few kilometers upstream, the mean discharge is seaward, as expected. The tide-averaged discharge thus converges in the estuary during low river flow, which will increase the total water volume in the estuary, and creating a mean water level rise. We expect this process has an impact on the mean salt balance, which explains part of the observed discrepancies.
5. Discussions

5.1. Time lag between salinity extremes and slack water

In estuaries, it is noticed that the maximum salinity appears after HWS and the minimum salinity appears before LWS. But often, the salinity at HWS (or LWS) is approximated by with the maximum (or minimum) salinity, because it is hard to determine the exact value at HWS (or LWS) based on records of salinity. The accuracy of this approximation cannot be inferred from existing steady-state models for salt intrusion, as time variation is neglected. As shown in Fig. 7, the unsteady analytical solution proposed in this paper demonstrates that the phase lag between tidal velocity and salinity transportation is $\pi/2$, which means that the extreme values of salinity appear when the tidal velocity is zero. Our unsteady equation for salinity (i.e. Eq. 11) demonstrates the influence of the river discharge on the occurrence of maximum and minimum salinity relative to HWS and LWS, respectively.

More generally, Eq. (14) offers a simple expression yielding qualitative insight into the role of the river discharge in the spatiotemporal variation of salinity in a well-mixed estuary. The time lag between salinity extremes and slack water is determined by the strength of the river flow, in a way that is consistent with the previous observations that the maximum salinity appears after HWS and the minimum salinity appears before LWS. The estimated river flow velocity at Huangpuyou station is about 1/6 of the tidal flow amplitude, resulting in a time lag between HW (at maximum salinity) and HWS (when total velocity is zero) of less than 30 minutes. At this station, it is acceptable to assume that the salinity reaches the maximum value at HWS and the minimum value at LWS.

5.2. Optimizing water intake

Estuaries are crucial feeding and breeding grounds for many life forms, and serve to supply drinking water. Because of the various survival needs of estuarine flora and fauna, different species have different salinity demands, and salinity may frustrate the production of drinking water. In China, a value of 0.5 ‰ of salinity is considered as the upper limit of drinking water (SWEQ PRC, 2002), while turbot farmed in man-made ponds need to live in the water with less than 12 ‰ of salinity. The unsteady solution proposed in this paper shows to reproduce the intratidal variation of salt intrusion, which allows to estimate the window of opportunity for drinking water intake, and has the potential of application in aquaculture and water fetching works in estuaries.

Due to the serious salt intrusion in recent years, the water intake from Humen estuary is more suitable for saline-water aquaculture rather than the residential use. However, the salinity along the estuarine channel is changing all the time according to the variations of the tides as well as the freshwater discharge. This makes it important to capture the temporal variation of salinity for optimizing the water intake of the man-made ponds around the estuary. The analytical model proposed in this study provides a simple and efficient approach to predicate the variation of salinity, which is economical and practical, with the limited amount of data available.
Close to Dasheng station, there is an aquaculture area with many man-made ponds of different sizes. Optimizing water intake is a key issue here. The practicability of the analytical model is analyzed by illustrating its availability of turbot farming with required salinity of no less than 12‰. The observed salinity data on Jan. 29th is used to calibrate the model, where the determination of three parameters is needed, i.e. tidal-averaged salinity at mouth $\bar{s}_0$, the slope ($k = Q_j/a/DA_0$) and the tidal excursion $E$. The decreasing tend of subtidal salinity is close to a linear relation from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd (Fig. 8b). Thus the tidal-averaged salinity value of the predicted model is set as 90% of that on Jan. 29th, considering the slight change of the subtidal salinity in the five days after Jan. 29th. Moreover, the slope as well as the tidal excursion are assumed to be constant during the whole period from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd. As shown in Fig. 8c, the predicted result obtained by this model is in good agreement with the measured data in this case. Furthermore, if more observed data is available to calibrate the tidal-averaged salinity covering the period from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd, Eq. (14) appears to perform better as shown in Fig. 8d. The available time for water intake can be obtained from the calculation results, when the salinity of water reaches a value higher than 12‰.

Since the fresh water discharge exerts a control on the variation of the slope (Brockway et al., 2012), it is reasonable to assume that the slope remains constant in a short time scale since the fresh water discharge variation has a time scale of days to months (Fig. 8a). The tidal excursion is the integral over time of the tidal velocity between the low water slack and high water slack. It varies from day to day as the tidal wave changes from neap tide to spring tide (Savenije, 2005). Therefore, the tidal excursion is assumed to be independent of time in the neap cycle from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd. Besides, Equation (18) is demonstrated to be a useful equation for the calculation of the tidal excursion, which offers an approach to estimate the tidal excursion with salinity data. The predicted salinity fits well with observed values, indicating that the estimation of the tidal-average salinity during the neap tide is acceptable. However, the prediction accuracy of the model can be higher if more observed tidal-average salinity data is available.

6. Conclusions

An unsteady-state analytical solution of salt intrusion is proposed based on the one-dimensional advection-diffusion equation for salinity, assuming a harmonic tidal wave with a single-frequency and a constant mixing coefficient. The predictive skill of the model has been illustrated from an application to the Humen Estuary, which shows it can offer an efficient approach to predicing the variation of salinity in a convergent, well-mixed estuary. The results show that the analytical model is able to reproduce the intratidal variation of salt intrusion, and can be a useful tool to compute the time windows in which salinity remains below a critical threshold in an estuary.

The influences of the tide and river discharge are adequately reflected in the analytical expression. The longitudinal salinity distributions at two characteristic conditions (i.e. HWS and LWS) were computed for validation. The results indicate that the analytical model can be an efficient and predictable approach if the constant mixing coefficient assumption holds. The salinity dynamics at six study sites has been simulated by the unsteady model based on the measurements and the calibrated...
parameters (i.e. tidal celerity and phase) at the mouth of estuary. The results compared favorably with the outcome of the analytical model. In summary, the unsteady-state analytical model has been quantitatively evaluated by using the field data of Humen Estuary and demonstrated to be a predictive and efficient approach to describe the spatial-temporal variation of salt intrusion in a convergent, well-mixed estuary.
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### TABLES

**Table 1** General information of hydrological stations in the Humen waterway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Distance from the estuary mouth (km)</th>
<th>x-coordinate (m) *</th>
<th>y-coordinate (m) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dahu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>252480</td>
<td>38459960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sishengwei</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>253451</td>
<td>38485163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhangpeng</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>254253</td>
<td>38485607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machong</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>254894</td>
<td>38452466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasheng</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>255143</td>
<td>38451984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huangpuyou</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>255375</td>
<td>3844358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The coordinate system’s origin is set at 22°05’12.9894"N, 113°27’34.9899"E.

**Table 2** Values of the parameters of salt intrusion in Humen estuary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>River discharge $Q_r$ (m³/s)</th>
<th>Tide range $H$ (m)</th>
<th>Slope $k$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Dispersion coefficient $D$ (m²/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29/01/2005</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/01/2005</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>2425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2005</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/2005</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/2005</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2005</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| mean         |                             |                   |           | 0.89 | 2558                             |
Figure 1: Map of the Humen estuary, showing the gauging stations where salinity measurements were taken during a field survey from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd, 2005.
Figure 2: Shape of the Humen waterway, showing the correlation between the cross-sectional area $A$ (m$^2$) and the distance from the estuary mouth $x$ (km). The coefficient of determination $R^2=0.92$. Triangles represent observations and the fitted line represents the exponential in Eq. (1), where the area at the estuary mouth $A_0=37822$ m$^2$ and the area convergence length is 16.7 km.
Figure 3: Plots of $\ln(s/s_0)$ against $\exp(x/a)$ in the Humen estuary. Circles represent observation and the fitted lines represent Eq. (12). The linear relationship between these quantities predicted by Eq. (12) has been confirmed for all surveys, and the figures here show the linear line fitting results from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd. $s$ is the salinity at distance $x$ from the estuary mouth, $s_0$ is the salinity at the mouth and $a$ is the convergence length of the cross-section area.
Figure 4: Calculated salinity distribution compared to measurements from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd, 2005, showing values of measured salinity at high water slack (circle) and low water slack (inverted triangle), and the calibrated salinity curves at high water slack (red curve) and low water slack (blue curve).
Figure 5: Comparison of the simulated values with the measured values on Jan. 31 (neap tide) at each study site, showing that the analytical model captures the temporal variation of salinity. The hourly salinity measurements are represented by inverted triangles, while the simulated salinity varying with time is represented by the red solid line. In the figure, $x$ is the distance from the estuary mouth.
Figure 6: Subtidal discharge measured at Machong station and Dasheng station from Jan. 29th through Feb. 3rd. Positive values mean seaward. The subtidal discharge switches from seaward to landward between Machong and Dasheng stations, which will have an impact on salinity dynamics.
Figure 7: Salinity and tidal flow velocity over a tidal cycle at Huangpuyou station. The measured salinity is represented by triangles and the measured flow velocity is by circles (on Jan. 31st, 2005). The dashes line is the calculated tidal velocity while the dash-dotted line is the total velocity of tide flow and river flow. The red solid curve represents salinity simulated by the unsteady analytical solution, which reproduces the time lag HWS and maximum salinity.
Figure 8: Time for water intake of given salinity that is higher than 12‰. (a) Slight changes of the subtidal discharge; (b) Decreasing trend of subtidal salinity; (c) Predicted salinity in basis of observed data on Jan. 29th; (d) Calibrated salinity in basis of observed data from Jan. 29th to Feb. 3rd, 2005.