Contrasting seasonal changes in total and intense precipitation in the European Alps from 1903 to 2010
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Abstract

Changes of precipitation over the European Alps are investigated with the regional climate model MAR applied with a 7-km resolution over the period 1903-2010 using the reanalysis ERA-20C as forcing. A comparison with several observational datasets demonstrates that the model is able to reproduce the climatology as well as both the inter-annual variability and the seasonal cycle of precipitation over the European Alps. The relatively high resolution allows to estimate precipitation at high elevations. The vertical gradient of precipitation simulated by MAR over the European Alps reaches 33% km⁻¹ (1.21 mm.day⁻¹.km⁻¹) in summer and 38% km⁻¹ (1.15 mm.day⁻¹.km⁻¹) in winter, on average over 1971-2008 and shows a large spatial variability. A significant (p-value<0.05) increase in mean winter precipitation is simulated in the North Western Alps over 1903-2010, with changes typically reaching 20 to 40% per century. This increase is mainly explained by a stronger simple daily intensity index (SDII) and is associated with less frequent but longer wet spells. A general drying is found in summer over the same period, exceeding 20 to 30% per century in the Western plains and 40 to 50% per century in the Southern plains surrounding the Alps, but remaining much smaller (<10%) and not significant above 1500 m.asl. Below this level, the summer drying is explained by a reduction of the number of wet days, reaching 20% per century over the Northwestern part of the Alps and 30-50% per century in the Southern part of the Alps. It is associated to shorter although more frequent wet spells. Maximum daily precipitation index (Rx1day) takes its highest values in autumn in both the Western and the Eastern parts of the Southern Alps, locally reaching 50 to 70 mm.day⁻¹ on average over 1903-2010. Centennial maxima up to 250 to 300 mm.day⁻¹ are simulated in the Southern Alps, in France and Italy, as well as in the Ticino valley in Switzerland. Over 1903-2010, seasonal Rx1day shows a general and significant increase at the annual timescale and also during the four seasons, reaching local values between 20% and 40% per century over large parts of the Alps and the Apennines. Trends of Rx1day are significant (p-value<0.05) only when considering long time series, typically 50 to 80 years depending on the area considered. Some of these trends are nonetheless significant when computed over 1970-2010, suggesting a recent acceleration of the increase in extreme precipitation, whereas earlier periods with strong precipitation also occurred, in particular during the 1950s/1960s.
1. Introduction

The European Alps are often considered as the “water tower” of continental Europe (Beniston et al., 2018), hosting the headwaters of several major European rivers, such as the Rhine, the Danube, the Po, and the Rhône Rivers. Similar to other mountain regions of the world, this stems from both enhanced precipitation rates compared to surrounding lowlands, and by the specific role played by glaciers and the mountain snow cover in regulating the local and regional hydrological cycle (Wanner et al., 1997, Viviroli et al., 2008; 2019). The high population density and the presence of strong slopes makes these areas particularly prone to natural hazards such as landslides, floods and avalanches, which are strongly connected to meteorological conditions (e.g. Beniston M., 2006; Evin et al., 2019). There is widespread evidence from scientific studies addressing past and future climate conditions, that significant atmospheric warming, largely due to anthropogenic forcing (Hock et al., in press), has been and is projected to occur in the European Alps. This warming is estimated to 1.2°C per century over the 20th century (Auer et al., 2007), which is twice as large as global rates (Brunetti et al., 2009; Gobiet et al., 2014).

Precipitation changes are more difficult to detect because of (i) the difficulty to observe and simulate this variable over mountainous areas, (ii) the stronger influence of internal variability and (iii) the spatial heterogeneity peculiar to complex topography areas.

The longest time series of observed precipitation in the European Alps are available in Switzerland and Italy, with station data that have been homogenized over the last 100 to 150 years (i.e starting from 1900 and even before; Schmidli et al., 2002; Brugnara and Maugeri, 2019). Such a long record is useful to investigate precipitation changes, but station data need to be considered carefully, because the spatio-temporal heterogeneity of the data availability might be a cause of spurious trends (e.g. Masson and Frei, 2016). Interpolation of station data can be used to produce gridded products, commonly used to investigate climate variability. For example, SAFRAN (Durand et al., 2009) and SPAZM (Gottardi et al., 2009) are two reanalyses covering the French Alps, HISTALP (Auer et al., 2007) and EURO4M (Isotta et al., 2014) are a reconstruction of the climate of the European Alps, and E-OBS is a dataset commonly used for model verification over Europe (Cornes et al., 2018). General Circulation Models (GCMs) are also widely used to investigate climate change processes and trends. However, their coarse resolution precludes accurate simulations of small scale processes typical of mountainous areas, such as those inducing the spatial heterogeneity of precipitation and snow cover. It is therefore difficult to study the Alpine climate variability with GCMs (Zubler et al., 2015). Due to their finer resolution (~25 to 1 km) and their more detailed parametrization for physical processes (e.g. precipitation microphysics, surface snow scheme), that can be developed and tuned specifically considering regional geographical features, Regional Climate Models (RCMs) are more adapted to simulate the mountainous climate and can be used to dynamically downscale global climate model or global reanalyses. They have been widely used to simulate the climate in mountainous areas, and in particular temperature (Smiatek et al., 2009), daily and sub-daily precipitation events (Torma et al., 2014; Pieri et al., 2015), precipitation trends (Giorgi et al., 2016) and snow cover (Steger et al., 2013). A fine resolution is critical to simulate correctly...
precipitation. Increasing the resolution from 0.44° to 0.11°, Fantini et al. (2018) demonstrated that a RCM better captures the spatial pattern and the seasonal cycle of precipitation as well as its daily intensities and statistics. The improvement of the model performances allows currently to run RCMs at high resolution, typically 1 to 5 km, in non-hydrostatic configurations, resolving the convective processes, and allowing an accurate description of the snow cover in mountainous areas (e.g. Ban et al., 2014, 2015; Luthi et al., 2019). Such configuration is however challenging to run over more than one to two decades due to computational costs.

Internal climate variability in the North Atlantic basin induces large seasonal to multi-decadal variability of precipitation over Europe (Dell’Aquila et al., 2018). Using gridded observations, Efthymiadis et al. (2007) and Brunetti et al. (2006) described the spatiotemporal distribution of precipitation in the European Alps, highlighting higher interannual variability in the Southern compared to the Northern Alpine areas. Seasonally, higher interannual variability in winter and autumn compared to other seasons has also been observed in the European Alps (Brunetti et al, 2009; Bartolini et al, 2009). At daily to interannual time scales, the variability of precipitation across the Alps is influenced by large-scale atmospheric circulations and particularly by the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). A general picture is that a positive phase of the NAO induces higher precipitation rates over the Northern Alps and lower ones over the Southern Alps, and vice versa for negative phases of the NAO. This general view nonetheless hides important heterogeneities, as local conditions can drive strong variations of local temperature, wind and moisture transport that may amplify or mitigate synoptical atmospheric patterns (Keiler et al., 2010). In addition, the NAO-precipitation relationship over the Alps is not stationary over the last two centuries (Brunetti et al., 2006). At decadal timescales, the Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV) affects the precipitation rates over the Alps as suggested by Brugnara and Maugeri (2019) using correlations with station data. However, the compensating effects of the thermodynamical and dynamical signals of the AMV on the surrounding continents might induce a strong nonlinearity between the AMV and the precipitation rates in Europe (Qasmi et al., 2017).

Over the last decades, precipitation rates have been declining for any period of the year in the Mediterranean area (Giorgi et al., 2008; Mariotti et al., 2015), a signal partly attributed to anthropogenic forcings (Hoerling et al., 2012) and associated with a significant surface drying (Douville et al., 2017). Precipitation trends during the last century are more contrasted over the Alps, with a decrease in the Southeastern Alps consistent with the drying of the Mediterranean area (Schmidli et al., 2002; Auer et al., 2007; Brugnara and Maugeri, 2019) and an increase in the annual precipitation in the North Western Alps, mainly driven by a positive and significant trend in winter and at high elevation (Masson and Frei, 2014; 2016). Napoli et al. (2019) suggested that the contrasted trends of precipitation between high mountains and lowlands over the last decades is explained by the aerosol forcing that cools the atmosphere mainly at low elevations since the air quality is better at high elevations. The contrasted seasonal trends in precipitation needs to be considered separately since their sign can be different from one season to another one. In addition, precipitation trends emerge from the natural variability only when
considering long time series, typically one century (Schmidli et al., 2002). This result is consistent with previous studies claiming that precipitation rates did not show any significant trend in the Alps when considering shorter periods, as found in Durand et al. (2009) in the French Alps over the period 1958-2002.

Dry spells did not show any clear tendency over the last century in the Alps (Schmidli and Frei, 2005; Brugnara and Maugeri, 2019), whereas the length of dry episodes increased in the Mediterranean area (Kuglitsch et al. 2010). On the other hand, Schmidli and Frei (2005) highlighted contrasting seasonal trends of the wet spell duration over the Swiss Alps, with a lengthening in winter and a shortening in summer. Using 104 rain gauge stations in Switzerland, Schmidli and Frei (2005) found an increase of the high quantiles of precipitation by 10% to 30% over 1901-2000, a result evidencing an increase of extreme precipitation in this area, that they relate to global climate change. Considering the annual maximum of daily precipitation (Rx1day), which is often used as an indicator of extreme precipitation, Brugnara and Maugeri (2019) found a similar signal in Switzerland over 1890–2017, whereas they could not highlight a clear trend of extreme precipitation in Northern Italy. Using a convection-resolving RCM at 2.2 km resolution over the European Alps, Ban et al., (2015) confirmed the significant decrease in mean summer precipitation related to global warming, and also find an increase in extreme precipitation events that asymptotically intensifies following the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship. Using model data over the last 400 years and over the 21st century, Brönniman et al. (2018) pointed out that heavy precipitation change should thermodynamically increase with temperature increase at the daily timescale, following the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship. However, they evidenced a limitation of the available moisture in the atmosphere at the seasonal timescale, a signal that limits the increase of heavy precipitation during the summer, and induces a shift of heavy precipitation events from late summer to early autumn.

RCMs are limited-area models that can be forced laterally by atmospheric reanalysis, often available only for the last decades, such as the ECMWF reanalyses ERA40 (1958-2002, Uppala et al., 2004), ERA-Interim (1979-2019, Dee et al., 2011) and ERA5 (1950 onward, Hersbach and Dee, 2016). Recent reanalysis products available for the whole 20th century (e.g. ERA20C, 1900-2010; Poli et al., 2016) now offer the possibility to apply RCMs over longer periods although such a reanalysis, assimilating few data, is less reliable than reanalysis built on the satellite era. Most of the observational and modeling data used to investigate climate change in the European Alps generally do not combine a daily resolution, a centennial availability and a fine spatial resolution, precluding investigations of changes in mean and extreme precipitation. This strongly limits the possibility to detect precipitation trends, especially when considering the large internal variability that may overwhelm long-term trends. In this study, daily precipitation variability and changes in the European Alps (referred to as the Alps in the rest of the text) are investigated over the period 1903-2010, using observational datasets as well as the regional climate MAR model applied with a resolution of 7 km and driven by the ERA20C reanalysis. The material and methods, including a description of the model MAR and different observational datasets are described in Section 2. The model outputs are compared with several observational
datasets in Section 3. Seasonal and annual trends of precipitation indices are estimated over 1903-2010 in section 4. A discussion associated to the conclusions is presented in Section 5.

2. Material and methods

2.1 The MAR model

In this section we provide a succinct description of the atmospheric regional model MAR (http://mar.cnrs.fr/index.php), developed at ULiège (Belgium) and IGE (Grenoble). A more detailed description can be found in Gallée and Schayes (1994), Gallée (1995) and Gallée et al. (2001, 2005). MAR is a hydrostatic primitive equation model with a vertical coordinate defined as sigma coordinates. The radiative transfer through the atmosphere uses the radiative scheme of the ECMWF reanalysis (Morcrette, 2002). MAR includes a detailed scheme of clouds microphysics with six prognostic equations for specific humidity, cloud droplets concentration, cloud ice crystals (concentration and number), concentration of precipitating snow particles and rain drops. The convective adjustment is parameterised according to Bechtold et al. (2001).

MAR is coupled to the one-dimensional land surface scheme SISVAT (Soil Ice Snow Vegetation Atmosphere Transfer, De Ridder and Schayes, 1997; Gallée et al., 2001) that includes a snow multi-layer scheme (Brun et al., 1992) including prognostic equations for temperature, mass, water content and snow properties (dendricity, sphericity and size) as well as an ice module (Lefebre et al., 2003). As pointed out in Messager et al. (2006), the physics of MAR can be adjusted to the region of interest and can be used with relatively high resolutions (40 to 7 km, finer resolutions would not be possible when using the hydrostatic configuration).

MAR is a limited area model that offers the big advantage to be able to be forced by most of reanalyses (including ERA-20C) or CMIP5/CMIP6 global model outputs. MAR has been first designed for polar regions (Gallée, 1995), i.e. Antarctica (e.g., Gallée et al., 1996; Naithani, J. et al., 2002; Gallée et al., 2013, Amory et al. 2015; Agosta et al. 2019) and Greenland (Fettweis et al., 2017). It has also been applied over tropical regions (Messager et al., 2004) to investigate the precipitation variability (Gallée, 2004). MAR has also been used to simulate the climate at midlatitudes (e.g. Wyard et al., 2016), and in particular to study changes of precipitation over Europe (Doutreloup et al., 2019). It has been applied over various mountainous areas, e.g. Himalaya (Ménégoz et al., 2013), Svalbard (Lang et al., 2015), Kerguelen archipelago (Favier et al., 2016; Verfaillie et al., 2019) and Antarctic peninsula (Datta et al., 2017).

2.2 MAR configuration

We used the version 3.9.0 of the MAR model, which is an open source code available at mar.cnrs.fr/. Here, the model has been applied over the European Alps with a domain that extends from 43.5°N to 48°N and 5°E to 13°E and includes 140 x 90 grid points, at 7 km horizontal resolution. This configuration is based on 24 levels in the atmosphere, 7 levels in the soil, and the snow cover is described with a number of layers varying from 1 to 20. Here, MAR is laterally forced with the 6-hourly outputs of the ERA-20C reanalysis and its dynamical and
physical schemes are applied with a time step of 60s. ERA-20C is one of the first reanalyses available from 1900 to 2010, assimilating surface pressure and near-surface winds over the ocean and forced by SST reconstructions (Poli et al., 2016). By assimilating a limited number of variables corresponding to those available relatively homogeneously over 1900-2010, the ERA20-C reanalysis is expected to be homogeneous over this period, with a limited risk of including spurious trend. However this reanalysis is probably less accurate than other products assimilating also humidity and temperature in the free troposphere, a weakness that could induce model biases also in regional experiments as evidenced by Fettweis et al. (2017) over Greenland. MAR has already been successfully tested with the ERA20-C reanalysis as boundary conditions over Europe (Wyard et al., 2017; 2018). After a spin-up of 2 years, the model in general and the surface component in particular are supposed to reach an equilibrium. Therefore, the first years have been excluded from this analysis that hence focuses on the period 1903-2010.

2.3 Observational datasets

Observational data sets are used in this study for two objectives: first, a comparison between the model outputs and the different precipitation datasets have been conducted over 1971-2008, a period for which all the datasets are available (Section 3). Second, an analysis of the trends of several precipitation indices is described using the MAR experiments and the MeteoSwiss station data available since the beginning of the 20th century (Section 4). In addition to the MeteoSwiss station data, the following datasets have been considered:

HISTALP
The HISTALP (“Historical instrumental climatological surface time series”) is the first long-term database of meteorological data collected in the European Alps, initiated in the 1990s (Auer and Bohm, 1994) and completed later on (Auer, et al., 2007). This dataset is available for air temperature at 2 meters, surface pressure, precipitation, sunshine duration and cloudiness through two different configurations: a first one including monthly homogenized time series of local measurements, with the first observations available from 1760 for temperature and 1800 for precipitation and a second one provided as a 1° gridded version. The gridded version, used in our study, is available from 1800 to 2014.

EURO4M-APGD
The Alpine Precipitation Grid Dataset (EURO4M-APGD, Isotta et al., 2014) is a 5kmx5km grid analysis of daily precipitation, extending over the European Alps and covering the period 1971-2008. It is based on rain-gauge networks, encompassing more than 8500 stations from Austria, Croatia, France, Germany, Italy, Slovenia and Switzerland. This dataset was developed in the framework of the European Reanalysis and Observations for Monitoring (EURO4M) initiative and is simply named EURO4M thereafter.
E-OBS
E-OBS is provided by the ECA&D project under the Copernicus Climate Change Service (van den Besselaar et al., 2011). It is a daily gridded dataset over Europe for temperature and precipitation, produced from interpolation of local measurements at two available resolutions: 0.25° and 0.1° (the highest resolution is used in this study). It is available from 1950 and updated each year, and is commonly used for model evaluation (e.g. Guillod et al., 2017). The version 19 of E-OBS offers an estimate of the uncertainty related to the interpolation of local observations by providing a 100-member ensemble for each variable (Cornes et al., 2018).

SAFRAN
The SAFRAN reanalysis combines large scale reanalyses and forecasts with in-situ meteorological observations, including precipitation, in order to provide a reanalysis of meteorological conditions in the French Alps, at the scale of massifs with a typical surface area of 1000 km2 within which meteorological conditions are assumed to be homogenous but vary with elevation by steps of 300 m (Durand et al., 2009). Hence this reanalysis does not use a regular grid but focuses on the elevation dependency of meteorological conditions.

SPAZM
The SPAZM dataset is a gridded product at a 1km resolution for minimum and maximum daily 2m temperature and daily precipitation in the French Alps, available over the period 1948-2009 and based on the interpolation of a dense network of rain gauges and temperature sensors from Météo-France and the French Company for Electricity (EDF; Gottardi et al., 2009). This dataset is provided with calibration parameters allowing to reproduce the stream flow observed in the Rhône valley with a hydrological model. These parameters are useful to correct the snowfall rates that are often underestimated in rain gauge measurements. The solid and liquid precipitation has been computed by considering 100% of solid precipitation below 0°C and 100% of liquid precipitation above 2°C, considering daily temperature. A linear relationship for the ratio liquid/solid precipitation has been applied between 0°C and 2°C. Following the calibration suggested by Picouet (2012), we applied a correction factor for solid precipitation of 1.5 in the Northern Alps and 1.3 elsewhere. The Northern Alps are defined here as the areas located north of Grenoble city (45.1885° N) and higher than 1000m asl. This apparently-arbitrary values have been developed and evaluated in the context of hydrological studies (Gouttevin et al., 2017).

2.4 Statistical analysis and indices
Mean and trends for the precipitation indices described in Table 1 are considered in this study, most of them selected from those recommended by the World Meteorological Organization (Peterson et al., 2001; http://etccdi.pacificclimate.org/list_27_indices.shtml). When comparing MAR outputs with observational datasets based on a higher resolution (EURO4M, SPAZM), these ones are linearly interpolated onto the MAR grid. The gridded data are based on regular grids, except the SAFRAN data that is provided with a georeferenced polygon describing the
location of each massif. Correlation and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) are computed to investigate the differences between datasets. The linear trends are computed with a linear least-square regression and a two-sided p-value is computed to test if the trends are significantly different from zero (Wald test with a t-distribution of the test statistic). Mean values and normalized trends (in percentage of the averaged values) are computed annually and seasonally for the precipitation rates and indices in the following sections. The seasons are computed by averaging indices over December-January-February (DJF) for winter, March-April-May (MAM) for spring, June-July-August (JJA) for summer, and September-October-November (SON) for autumn.

3. Climatology of the MAR experiment and the observational datasets

3.1 Spatial differences

The Total Precipitation amount (TP) averaged over 1971-2008 simulated with MAR is shown in Figure 1a, with annual mean ranging between 300 and 3000 mm. In a general way, TP is stronger in the Northern than in the Southern Alps as expected due to the drier Mediterranean climate in the South. A comparison between the MAR experiment and the EURO4M reanalysis (Figure 1b) shows a good consistency between these two datasets in the lowlands (i.e. below 500m asl), with positive or negative differences barely exceeding 20% in these areas. Above 1500m, this difference is much higher with precipitation rates 40 to 80% higher in the MAR experiment than in the EURO4M dataset. Such a difference is significant with respect to the interannual standard deviation of precipitation that generally does not exceed 30% over the domain of application of MAR (Figure A1). The comparison between MAR and SPAZM (Figure 1c) shows similar differences in the lowlands as with EURO4M (~20%), but a better agreement at high elevation, with differences barely exceeding 40%. Correlation pattern between MAR and EURO4M is 0.59 and reaches 0.63 between MAR and SPAZM, suggesting a slightly better spatial consistency between these last two products. The precipitation rates estimated from SPAZM above 1500m asl are also higher in comparison with those provided by EURO4M (Figure 1d, differences ranging between 20% to 80%). As snowfall rates in SPAZM have been adjusted to fit the hydrological balance over the French Alps, this comparison suggests that the high precipitation rates simulated with MAR above 1500m asl may be realistic. Overall, TP simulated with MAR is relatively similar to TP estimated from SPAZM and show stronger values with respect to EURO4M data over the Alps.

3.2 Vertical gradients

Vertical gradients of TP simulated with MAR are evidenced in Figure 2 (as yearly averages, considering both wet and dry days), including also a comparison with the SAFRAN reanalysis and the MeteoSwiss station data. In the MAR experiment, TP varies from 2 to 4 mm day-1 at 500m asl and from 3 to 5 mm day-1 at 2500m asl in the Southern Alps, and from 2 to 4 mm day-1 at 500m asl and from 3 to 6 mm day-1 at 2500m asl in the Northern French Alps. The vertical gradient estimated from the SAFRAN dataset is smaller than those simulated by MAR. The large spread in the scatter plots of Figures 2a-b is explained by the spatial variability of the vertical
gradient of precipitation, which is stronger in MAR than in SAFRAN. Based on a comparison with high resolution numerical weather forecast model and glacier mass balance in mountain glaciers in the French Alps, it has been shown that SAFRAN most likely underestimates high elevation winter precipitation (above 2000m asl), which lends credence to the higher gradients simulated by MAR (Vionnet et al., 2019). MAR data are compared to the MeteoSwiss station data in Figure 2c-d. A difference between the two datasets in terms of vertical gradients is found both in winter (14% km-1 for the local observations vs 43% km-1 in the MAR data) and in summer (12% km-1 for the local observations vs 20% km-1 in the MAR data). These seasonally contrasted differences suggest a possible underestimation of the precipitation rates estimated from rain gauge measurements in relation with snowfall undercatch issues (Kochendorfer et al., 2017). However, the differences found in summer, i.e. a period with reduced snowfall rates, also suggests an overestimation of the precipitation rates at high elevation in the MAR experiment.

Overall, it is difficult to accurately quantify the model biases at high elevation areas because of the scarceness of the observational data above 2000m asl whereas a large number of the MAR grid cells reaches an elevation ranging between 2000m asl and 3000m asl with a spatial resolution of 7km (Figure 2c-d). The vertical gradient of precipitation simulated by MAR over the entire domain of application reaches 38% km-1 (1.15 mm.day-1.km-1) in winter and 33% km-1 (1.21 mm.day-1.km-1) in summer (Figure 2e-f). Overall, the spatial patterns shown in Figure 2g-h for the MAR experiment and the MeteoSwiss station data suggest that MAR is able to reproduce the seasonal variations of precipitation rates, with large (small) values in summer in the Northeastern (Southwestern) Alps and an opposite pattern in winter.

### 3.3 Interannual variability and seasonal cycle

The temporal evolution of precipitation and its seasonal cycle over the period 1971-2008 is shown in Figure 3 for three sub-regions defined as the Southern Alps (SA, 43.5°N to 45.5°N and 5°E to 7.5°E), the North Western Alps (NWA, 45.5°N to 47°N and 5°E to 7.5°E) and the Northeastern Alps (NEA, 45.5°N to 48°N and 7.5°E to 13°E), corresponding respectively to the orange, blue and purple boxes in Figure 1a. These boxes have been defined according to the data availability of the products used for comparisons as well as regional climatological conditions: SA is largely affected by the Mediterranean dry conditions, NWA precipitations rates are mainly related to Western low pressure systems, whereas NEA is more typical of a continental climate, with wetter conditions in summer than in winter. Over 1971-2008, precipitation rates estimated from the different datasets (EURO4M, SPAZM, E-OBS, HISTALP) show similar features. They range between 2 and 4 mm day-1 in SA and take higher values in NWA and NEA, varying between 3 and 5 mm day-1. Table 2 includes the interannual correlations between the different datasets, that takes values above 0.8, except for HISTALP that correlates neither with EURO4M nor with MAR. The correlations between MAR and the observational datasets range between 0.84 and 0.89, whereas the correlations among these different datasets systematically exceeds 0.9, even reaching 0.99 and 0.97 for EURO4M versus SPAZM in SA and NWA. Such high correlation is probably due to the rain gauge network used to produce these gridded datasets that is partially common between the two products. Overall these correlation values suggest a correct interannual variability in the MAR experiment, but less realistic than those estimated from the
other observational datasets (except HISTALP). The model bias could be related to both model deficiencies and uncertainties in the ERA20C reanalysis used as boundary conditions. The difference between the MAR experiment and the observational products is similar in all the datasets, with a RMSE ranging between 0.26 and 1.14. RSME computed with HISTALP are comparable to the other ones, evidencing that HISTALP is able to provide an estimation of precipitation similar to the other products, even if the interannual variability is poorly reproduced in this dataset compared to the other ones (low correlation). No clear regional and data-dependant specificity in terms of RMSE is discernable in Table 2. It is worth noting that the uncertainty related to data interpolation in E-OBS (orange dashed lines) encompasses the time series corresponding to the other datasets. Such a large uncertainty is probably related to the low number of observations assimilated in E-OBS over the Alps in comparison with the large number of meteorological stations used to build EURO4M and SPAZM. It also points out the large uncertainty of such gridded products in mountainous areas. MAR is closer to SPAZM and EURO4M (smaller RMSE) than to HISTALP and E-OBS (higher RMSE), a finding giving confidence in the realism of the MAR simulation. The different datasets show specific seasonal cycles of precipitation (Figure 3, bottom), with two maximum values in spring and autumn in SA (Mediterranean climate), one maximum value in summer in NEA (continental climate) and a mix between these two regimes over NWA. Overall, the consistency between the different datasets is better in SA and NEA than over NWA, where more discrepancies among the datasets have been found. The comparison between model experiments and observational datasets gives confidence in the precipitation rates simulated with the MAR model forced here by ERA-20C. Longer simulations are therefore considered in the next section to investigate potential trends over the last century.

4. Precipitation trends in the Alps over 1903-2010

4.1 Mean annual and seasonal precipitation

No significant trend in precipitation rates is identified on average when considering the three Alpine sub-regions shown in Figure 3, a finding that could be explained by the shortness of the time series. The seasonal trends of mean precipitation (STP) are investigated now over a longer period, by considering in Figure 4 the MAR experiment (shaded) and the MeteoSwiss station data (dotted) over 1903-2010. The MeteoSwiss data is used here because it is available over the period covered by the MAR experiment (i.e. from 1903). Trends are contrasted both seasonally and altitudinally. In winter, there is a general positive trend in precipitation over the Alps (up to 40% per century), contrasting with a drying simulated in the surrounding plains (~10% per century), both in the Po plain and in the Rhône valley (Figure 4a). In winter, the MAR experiment is consistent with the local observations showing an increase in precipitation over Switzerland, except in the southern part of this country, where some stations show a drying trend. However, when masking the non significant trends (p-value>0.05, Figure 4c), only the positive trends of precipitation remain over the Alpine mountains, with values ranging between 20 and 40% per century in the Northern French Alps and in the Southwestern Switzerland, at an elevation generally higher than 1500m asl. The MeteoSwiss data shows a significant increase in
precipitation at stations located further north in Switzerland, with a magnitude similar to the model values. In summer, a general drying is simulated over the whole model domain (Figure 4b), with values exceeding 40 to 50% per century in the Po and the Rhône valleys. This signal is much less pronounced in the alpine areas located above 1500m asl, where the signal is generally not significant (Figure 4d). The drying is less pronounced over Switzerland in comparison with the Southern Alps. Considering Figure 4b, a drying and even a slight increase in precipitation (<10% per century) is found in the station data over the mountains of Southern Switzerland, whereas a drying is observed in the north of the country (10% to 20% per century). The summer trends locally observed in Switzerland are however barely significant (Figure 4d). Spring and autumn trends show intermediate patterns, with a drying pronounced in the plains, and a slight moistening in the mountainous areas (Figure 4e-f). The trends simulated and observed during spring and autumn are however smaller and barely significant, except in the Po plain where a drying is simulated by MAR and in the North of Switzerland where a significant moistening is significant at some stations (Figure 4g-h).

4.2 Wet spells

Changes of the wet spell features are highlighted in Figure 5, showing the centennial summer and winter trends of WD (Figure 5a-b), SDII (Figure 5c-d), MNWS (Figure 5g-h) and MWSD (Figure 5e-f). In winter and over the Alps, the slight increase in WD, ranging between 0 and 10% per century, is slightly contributing to the increase in the STP (Figure 5a), but this one is mainly explained by an increase in SDII by 10 to 30% per century (Figure 5c). These changes are associated with an increase in the MWSD by 10 to 20% per century (Figure 5e). Finally, the winter trend in the MNWS shows a different pattern from the previous ones (Figure 5g), with a consistent decrease over the Northern Alps and in particular over Switzerland, ranging between 10 and 20% per century in both model and observational data. These changes suggest that the precipitation increase found in winter over the Alpine mountains is related to longer, more intense and less frequent wet spells. The agreement between the model and the observations is relatively good in winter. In summer, a large part of the drying is explained by a reduction of WD, reaching 10 to 20% in the north-western flank of the Alps, and exceeding 40 to 50% per century in all the Southeastern Alps (Figure 5b). The SDII shows smaller changes during this season (Figure 5d), that can be either positive or negative and barely exceeding 10% per century. A general decrease of MWSD is also found in summer (Figure 5f), especially pronounced over the Southeastern flank of the Alps and in the Po plain, a signal consistent between the model and the observations over Switzerland. Finally, the model shows a general increase in MNWS (Figure 5h) in summer, which is particularly strong over the Southeastern flank of the Alps. Over Switzerland, this signal is small and not consistent with the observations that show a minor reduction of MNWS. Overall, these results suggest that the decrease of the mean precipitation rates over the Alps in summer is explained by a dramatic reduction of WD, with shorter and more frequent wet spells without any clear change of SDII.
4.3 Extreme precipitation

The means and the trends of extreme precipitation rates are described by considering Rx1day seasonally (Figure 6) and annually (Figure 7). Extreme precipitation shows contrasted seasonal and spatial climatological patterns (Figure 6a-c-e-g). In winter and spring, it takes higher values over the Southern Alps (both in France and in Italy) than in the Northern Alps (Figure 6a-c). In summer, extreme values are smaller in the Western Alps than in the Eastern Alps. The most intense events are found in autumn, in both the Western and the Eastern parts of the Southern Alps, with mean Rx1day ranging between 50 and 70 mm day-1 in many areas (Figure 6g). Over Switzerland, the climatological pattern of Rx1day is consistent between the model and the observations, except for some local exceptions. In contrast with the trends of mean precipitation (Figure 4), there is a general increase in Rx1day (Figure 6b-d-f-h) that occurs over the four seasons and both in the plains and in the mountainous areas. The trend in the seasonal Rx1day over the period 1903-2010 takes values between 0 and 40% per century, and generally ranges between 20% and 40% per century over the Alpine mountain range. Such increase in percentages, suggests strong changes of local extreme, in particular in the regions where strong events are frequent in autumn (50 to 70 mm day-1, Figure 6g). The magnitude of the change in Rx1day is similar between the model and the observations, but the MeteoSwiss station data show more heterogeneous spatial trends, with some locations where the trends can be small and even slightly negative. The mean increase in Rx1day over the model domain reaches 10, 10, 6 and 13% per century, respectively in winter, spring, summer and fall, confirming a constant increase in Rx1day for all the seasons.

The climatological mean of the annual Rx1day (Figure 7a) is dominated by the seasonal Rx1day computed in autumn that shows a similar pattern (Figure 6g). Centennial Rx1day (Figure 7b, maximum Rx1day computed over 1903-2010) shows a pattern similar to the annual average of Rx1day. The strongest centennial events are found in the Southern Alps, in France and Italy, as well as in the Ticino valley in Switzerland, with extreme values locally reaching 250 to 300 mm day-1. The annual trends are inspected in Figure 7c, highlighting a general increase in extreme precipitation. The significance of this trend is described in Figure 7d, where non-significant trends (p-value>0.05) are masked. The annual samples considered here are obviously larger than the seasonal samples, allowing to detect more efficiently significant trends. In that case, a good consistency is found between the model and the MeteoSwiss station, both of them showing only positive trends, ranging between 20 and 40% per century, and covering large parts of the Alps and the Apennines.

4.4 Statistical significance of the trends

The large interannual to decadal variability of the climate in the Europe-North-Atlantic region, in relation with internal climate variability, makes precipitation trends challenging to detect in the Alps. When considering time series over 1971-2008, i.e. a relatively short period, there is no clear tendency of the mean precipitation over the Alps (Figure 3). By computing trends from the beginning of the 20th century, winter increase and summer decrease of mean precipitation...
emerge from interannual to decadal variability over large areas (Figure 4 c-d). Similarly, the positive trends of the annual Rx1day are significant (p-value<0.05) over large areas of the Alps, in particular where the trends are positive and strong (i.e. >10% per century), whereas all the negative and small trends in both the model data at the MeteoSwiss station data are not significant (Figure 7d). Figure 7e illustrates the trend of the annual Rx1day, considering the average of the MeteoSwiss data (purple), the model data integrated over Switzerland (blue) and the model data integrated over the whole model domain (red). In agreement with the patterns shown in Figure 7c-d, the mean trend in the annual Rx1day in Figure 7 is positive for both the model outputs and the observations, reaching 9.43, 8.74 and 8.40 mm day-1 per century respectively for the MeteoSwiss data, the model over Switzerland and the model over the whole domain. The vertical bars in Figure 7e show the length of the time series required to identify a significant trend over the period 1903-2010, starting from 2010 and going back into the past. Time series has to be considered from 1961, 1932 and 1942 until 2010 to get significant trends (p-value<0.05) for the MeteoSwiss data, the model integrated over Switzerland and the model integrated over the whole domain respectively, which correspond to length of ~50 to ~80 years. We nonetheless see that shorter time series can lead to significant signals as evidenced in Figure 7f showing the p-value computed to estimate the significant level of the trend. The three curves reach values below 0.05 during the years 1960-1970, highlighting significant trends of Rx1day over 1960-2010 for the observations and over 1970-2010 for the model data.

5. Discussions and conclusions

Previous work highlighted a temperature increase in the Alps over the last century, associated with an increase in precipitation over the Northern Alps in winter and a drying in summer (Schmidli et al., 2002; Auer et al., 2007; Masson and Frei, 2014; 2016; Brugnara and Maugeri, 2019). The detailed features of climate change over the Alps remain however partly unknown, in particular because of the lack of observational data at high elevation, and observational issues with snow precipitation. In addition, the large internal variability of the climate system, especially pronounced in Europe, may overwhelm long-term trends that remain challenging to detect. Here, the MAR model has been used at a 7km resolution over the Alps, over the period 1900-2010 laterally forced with the ERA-20C reanalysis. A comparison with several datasets (EURO4M, SPAZM, SAFRAN, E-OBS, HISTALP and local MeteoSwiss data) demonstrates that the model is able to reproduce the climatological precipitation rates as well as both the interannual variability and the seasonal cycle of precipitation over the Alps. The high resolution used in the MAR experiment allowed a relatively correct representation of the topography, with a large number of grid cells covering elevation between 2000m and 3500m, corresponding to areas with few available observations. The vertical gradient of precipitation in the Alps is estimated to 33% km-1 (1.21 mm.day-1.km-1) in summer and 38% km-1 (1.15 mm.day-1.km-1) in winter, with values stronger in the Northern Alps than in the Southern Alps. The spatial variability of this vertical gradient is large, being affected by the local climate conditions.

The model experiment confirms an increase in precipitation during the winter over the North Western Alps, above 500m asl and significant and more pronounced above 1500m asl, with local
values of 20% to 30% per century over the period 1903-2010. This increase in precipitation is related to stratiform precipitation, mainly explained by more intense precipitation during wet days, and it is associated to longer albeit less frequent wet spells, a result consistent with Schmidli and Frei (2005) over Switzerland. The model reproduces the general drying that occurred in summer during the same period, exceeding 40% to 50% per century in the lowlands, whereas it is much smaller (<10% per century) and not significant above 1500m asl. This drying is not related to a decrease of the intensity of precipitation, but it is explained by a dramatic reduction of the number of wet days, with shorter and more frequent wet spells. It is consistent with previous work suggesting that the Alpine region appears as an exception in a drying region, where local convective precipitation increases locally, in relation to surface warming and sufficient moisture available in the soil at high-elevation areas (Giorgi et al., 2016).

The model reproduces the observed climatological patterns of the seasonal maximum precipitation, and in particular the large values occurring in the Southern Alps in autumn, exceeding 50 and even 70 mm day\(^{-1}\) on average over the period 1903-2010. Centennial events reaching 250 to 300 mm day\(^{-1}\) are simulated in the Southern Alps, both in France and in Italy, as well as in the Ticino valley. The seasonal maximum of precipitation shows a general increase over this period and present during the four seasons, reaching values between 20% and 40% per century in the Alps. An increase of strong precipitation has been evidenced in previous studies over the European Alps in relation with higher temperature and moisture rates in the atmosphere following the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship (e.g. Ban et al., 2015). However, this increase might be modulated by the availability of moisture in the low troposphere and at the surface. The drying of the surface may act as a positive feedback enhancing warming signals, that has been identified as one of the causes of the Mediterranean amplification (Brogli et al., 2019). Bronnimann et al. (2018) suggested a limited increase in Rx1day during the summer in the Alps due to moisture limitation, pointing out a shift of this index typically observed at the end of the summer toward early summer and early autumn. Here, the MAR experiment shows an increase of Rx1day both annually and for all seasons, suggesting sufficient moisture available at the surface and in the low troposphere over 1903-2010 in the model experiment. This difference with Bronnimann et al. (2018) could be explained by a relatively high resolution (7km) in our model configuration as well as specific physical parameterisations. Nevertheless, the MAR experiment evidenced a significant increase in the Rx1day only in mountainous areas whereas no significant signal is not found in the lowlands. This result is consistent with Brugnara et al. (2019) who observed an increase in the Rx1day in the mountain areas of Switzerland, where enough moisture would be available, whereas the drying occurring in the Southern flank of the Italian Alps may prevent any increase in Rx1day.

In the MAR experiments, the trends of the seasonal maxima of precipitation integrated over the Alps are significant (p-value<0.05) only when considering long time series, typically 50 to 80 years depending on the area considered. Some of these trends are nonetheless significant when computed over recent decades, from the 1960s/1970s to the 2000s. This suggests a recent acceleration of the increase in extreme precipitation, whereas earlier periods with strong
precipitation also occurred, in particular during the 1950s/1960s. These ones could be explained by internal climate variability and/or the non-linear response of the climate system to anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols. In particular, the cooling related to aerosol forcing, which peaked during the 1970s/1980s (Koch et al., 2011) over Europe could have masked the warming related to greenhouse gases, and temporarily prevented changes of extreme precipitation. Further model investigations should be conducted to disentangle the variability of the Alpine climate related to internal variability and external forcings. This research is needed to anticipate possibly strong precipitation changes in the Alps under the accelerating global climate change.
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### Tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>mm year⁻¹</td>
<td>Total precipitation amount per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP</td>
<td>mm day⁻¹</td>
<td>Total precipitation amount, including wet and dry days, seasonal or annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>Number of wet days (≥1mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDII</td>
<td>mm day⁻¹</td>
<td>Simple daily intensity (TP/WD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx1day</td>
<td>mm day⁻¹</td>
<td>Maximum daily precipitation, seasonal or annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNWS</td>
<td>no unit</td>
<td>Mean number of wet spells per season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWSD</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>Mean wet spell duration, averaged over a season (WD/MNWS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Annual and seasonal precipitation indices analysed in this study. Precipitation is the sum of solid and liquid precipitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Southern Alps (SA)</th>
<th>Northwestern Alps (NWA)</th>
<th>Northeastern Alps (NEA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAR - EURO4M</td>
<td>C=0.89; RMSE=0.46</td>
<td>C=0.88; RMSE=0.37</td>
<td>0.85; RMSE=0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR - SPAZM</td>
<td>C=0.87; RMSE=0.26</td>
<td>C=0.85; RMSE=0.45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR - E-OBS</td>
<td>C=0.84; RMSE=0.69</td>
<td>C=0.86; RMSE=0.83</td>
<td>C=0.79; RMSE=0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR - HISTALP</td>
<td>C=0.02; RMSE=0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>C=0.10; RMSE=0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C=0.22; RMSE=0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO4M - SPAZM</td>
<td>C=0.99; RMSE=0.38</td>
<td>C=0.97; RMSE=0.62</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-OBS - SPAZM</td>
<td>C=0.93; RMSE=0.63</td>
<td>C=0.95; RMSE=1.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-OBS - EURO4M</td>
<td>C=0.94; RMSE=0.29</td>
<td>C=0.95; RMSE=0.54</td>
<td>C=0.93; RMSE=0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO4M - HISTALP</td>
<td>C=0.03; RMSE=0.68</td>
<td>C=0.08; RMSE=0.74</td>
<td>C=0.26; RMSE=0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation Pearson coefficient computed over 1971-2008 (C) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between the time series of precipitation data over 1971-2008 for the three different subareas described in Figure 1. All the correlations are significant (p-value>0.1), except those computed with the HISTALP data.
Figure captions

Figure 1: (a) Annual mean of precipitation (TC, mm year\(^{-1}\)) over 1971-2008 in the Alps simulated with the model MAR applied with a resolution of 7km and laterally forced with ERA-20C. The colored boxes correspond to the Southern Alps (SA, orange), the Northwestern Alps (NWA, blue) and the Northeastern Alps (NEA, purple). Precipitation differences (%) between: (b) the MAR experiment and the SPAZM dataset, (c) the MAR experiment and the EURO4M-APGD observational gridded datasets, and the SPAZM and the EURO4M-APGD datasets. (c) and (d) are shown only for the area where the SPAZM data is available. The 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography in the 7km-resolution model, starting from 500 m.asl and political frontiers are denoted with the black dashed lines. The pattern correlation between MAR outputs and observational data is 0.59 and 0.63 respectively with EURO4M and SPAZM (p-value<1e-200).

Figure 2: Precipitation (STP) averaged over 1971-2008 simulated by MAR and estimated from reanalyses and observations. (a-b-c-d-e-f) show precipitation as a function of the elevation over the Southern (a) and the Northern (b) French Alps in MAR experiments and SAFRAN reanalysis, and estimated over Switzerland from local meteorological stations and from the MAR grid cells covering Switzerland during summer (c) and winter (d). The vertical gradient averaged over the full domain are shown for winter (e) and summer (f). STP averaged over 1903-2010 in the MAR experiment (shaded, mm.day\(^{-1}\)) and observed at MeteoSwiss stations in Switzerland (dots, observations available from the beginning of the XXth century) are shown for summer (g) and winter (h). In (g) and (h), the 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography with a 7km-resolution, starting from 500 m.asl and political frontiers are denoted with the black dashed lines.

Figure 3: Annual mean precipitation (mm day\(^{-1}\)) averaged over the Southern Alps (SA), the Northwestern Alps (NWA) and the Northeastern Alps (NEA) over the period 1971-2008 (top row) and corresponding monthly averaged seasonal cycle over the same period (bottom row). The area covered by the SA, NWA and NEA domains can be visualized in Figure 1. MAR outputs and observational data sets EURO4M, SPAZM, HISTALP and E-OBS are shown (see text for details). E-OBS (orange solid line) is provided with an estimation of the observational uncertainty (orange dashed lines).

Figure 4: Seasonal linear trends (percent per century) of precipitation over 1903-2010 in winter (a-c), summer (b-d), spring (e) and autumn (f). 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography in the 7km-resolution model, starting from 500 m.asl and frontiers are denoted with the black dashed lines. In (c-d) and (g-h), the trend is masked when its p-value is below 0.05 (level of confidence is lower than 95%; white areas for the model outputs and station data excluded).
Figure 5: Winter and Summer 1903-2010 trends (percent per century) of WD (a-b), SDII (c-d), MWSD (e-f) and MNWS (g-h) simulated by the MAR model (shaded) and locally observed in Switzerland (dots). WD is computed as a percentage of the available daily data for observations. Any station from the observational network including missing data is excluded when computing the trends.

Figure 6: Seasonal mean (mm day⁻¹, left) and trends (percent per century, right) over the period 1903-2010 of the seasonal Rx1day simulated by MAR (shaded) and locally observed in Switzerland (dots) for Winter (a-b), Spring (c-d), Summer (e-f) and Autumn (g-h).

Figure 7: Mean (a) and maximum (b) of the annual Rx1day and its trend including (c) and excluding (d) the areas where the p-value is lower than 0.05, over 1903-2010. Annual Rx1day (e) and associated p-value of the trend (f) over the same period averaged over the model domain (red for the entire Alpine region and blue for the Swiss domain only) and for the MeteoSwiss network (purple, average of the MeteoSwiss data station available over 1903-2010). The vertical bars in (e) highlight the year before which the p-value never exceeds 0.05.
Figure 1: (a) Annual mean of precipitation (TC, mm year^{-1}) over 1971-2008 in the Alps simulated with the model MAR applied with a resolution of 7km and laterally forced with ERA-20C. The colored boxes correspond to the Southern Alps (SA, orange), the Northwestern Alps (NWA, blue) and the Northeastern Alps (NEA, purple). Precipitation differences (%) between: (b) the MAR experiment and the SPAZM dataset, (c) the MAR experiment and the EURO4M-APGD observational gridded datasets, and the SPAZM and the EURO4M-APGD datasets. (c) and (d) are shown only for the area where the SPAZM data is available. The 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography in the 7km-resolution model, starting from 500 m asl and political frontiers are denoted with the black dashed lines. The pattern correlation between MAR outputs and observational data is 0.59 and 0.63 respectively with EURO4M and SPAZM (p-value<1e-200).
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Figure 2: Precipitation (STP) averaged over 1971-2008 simulated by MAR and estimated from reanalyses and observations. (a-b-c-d-e-f) show precipitation as a function of the elevation over the Southern (a) and the Northern (b) French Alps in MAR experiments and SAFRAN reanalysis, and estimated over Switzerland from local meteorological stations and from the MAR grid cells covering Switzerland during summer (c) and winter (d). The vertical gradient averaged over the full domain are shown for winter (e) and summer (f). STP averaged over 1903-2010 in the MAR experiment (shaded, mm.day-1) and observed at MeteoSwiss stations in Switzerland (dots, observations available from the beginning of the XXth century) are shown for summer (g) and winter (h). In (g) and (h), the 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography with a 7km-resolution, starting from 500 m.asl and political frontiers are denoted with the black dahsed lines.

Figure 3: Annual mean precipitation (mm day-1) averaged over the Southern Alps (SA), the Northwestern Alps (NWA) and the Northeastern Alps (NEA) over the period 1971-2008 (top row) and corresponding monthly averaged seasonal cycle over the same period (bottom row). The area covered by the SA, NWA and NEA domains can be visualized in Figure 1. MAR outputs and observational data sets EURO4M, SPAZM, HISTALP and E-OBS are shown (see text for details). E-OBS (orange solid line) is provided with an estimation of the observational uncertainty (orange dashed lines).
Figure 4: Seasonal linear trends (percent per century) of precipitation over 1903-2010 in winter (a-c), summer (b-d), spring (e) and autumn (f). 1000 m-spaced black contours show the topography in the 7km-resolution model, starting from 500 m asl and frontiers are denoted with the black dashed lines. In (c-d) and (g-h), the trend is masked when its p-value is below 0.05 (level of confidence is lower than 95%; white areas for the model outputs and station data excluded).
Figure 5: Winter and Summer 1903-2010 trends (percent per century) of WD (a-b), SDII (c-d), MWSD (e-f) and MNWS (g-h) simulated by the MAR model (shaded) and locally observed in Switzerland (dots). WD is computed as a percentage of the available daily data for observations. Any station from the observational network including missing data is excluded when computing the trends.
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Figure 6: Seasonal mean (mm day$^{-1}$, left) and trends (percent per century, right) over the period 1903-2010 of the seasonal Rx1day simulated by MAR (shaded) and locally observed in Switzerland (dots) for Winter (a-b), Spring (c-d), Summer (e-f) and Autumn (g-h).
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Figure 7: Mean (a) and maximum (b) of the annual Rx1day and its trend including (c) and excluding (d) the areas where the p-value is lower than 0.05, over 1903-2010. Annual Rx1day (e) and associated p-value of the trend (f) over the same period averaged over the model domain (red for the entire Alpine region and blue for the Swiss domain only) and for the MeteoSwiss network (purple, average of the MeteoSwiss data station available over 1903-2010). The vertical bars in (e) highlight the year before which the p-value never exceeds 0.05.
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Appendix

Figure A1: Standard deviation of annual precipitation over 1971-2008, in mm.day$^{-1}$ (left) and in percent (right), in the MAR experiment (top) and in the EURO4M-APGD dataset (bottom).