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This paper presents an interesting analysis of streamflow and its changes across the USA. The paper i) classifies catchments based on their existing flow regime, and ii) assesses how these different flow regimes are expected to change into the future. Overall this paper seems like an interesting and relevant contribution to HESS, and I enjoyed reading the paper. This short comment is not intended as a full review of the paper, but I hope that sharing the below thoughts may help to strengthen the paper.

**I am aware that there is some degree of (what may be classified as) self-advertising in this comment, but my comments can be addressed without (again) citing the single
self-reference that I provide.**

A key assertion and motivation of this study is that hydrological classifications have not really incorporated “temporal information in clustering hydrological catchments, […] even though such information is potentially very useful”. This statement is used as a motivation to develop a classification that incorporates such information.

Overall, this is a good idea. However, while many studies indeed ignore the temporal aspect, there are existing studies that explicitly incorporate this information into their classifications (leading to very similar types of classifications as presented in this paper).

Grouping based on seasonal regimes have been introduced a long time ago: e.g.:


which classified global streamflow regimes into very similar type classes as done in the presented HESSD manuscript. (However, obviously with a greater variety of classes since the global spectrum of river flows was taken into account).


This Knoben study also includes temporal information of streamflow classes into the final classification, which again is very similar in nature to what is presented in the presented HESSD manuscript. Different to the presented manuscript is that the classification metrics are not based on streamflow themselves directly. However, the classes it produces are shown to have very similar within-class seasonal streamflow regimes,
which seems to make them functionally equivalent to what is presented in the HESSD paper.

In addition, such analyses are also available for the United States, focusing on seasonal streamflow regimes: Coopersmith, E., Yaeger, M. A., Ye, S., Cheng, L., & Sivapalan, M. (2012). Exploring the physical controls of regional patterns of flow duration curves-Part 3: A catchment classification system based on regime curve indicators. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16(11), 4467.

and seasonal streamflow (and all other water components) regimes: Berghuijs, W. R., Sivapalan, M., Woods, R. A., & Savenije, H. H. G. (2014). Patterns of similarity of seasonal water balances: A window into streamflow variability over a range of time scales. Water Resources Research, 50, 5638–5661. (whereby this study, based on largely similar classes, also had similar conclusions regarding class correlations with e.g. aridity, snowiness, flood timing, low flow timing.)

I understand that these studies have already mostly been cited in the main text, but their validity as classifications of seasonal flow regimes that include temporal information has sort of been dismissed by the statement that “The use of catchment characteristics can be problematic because there is often no clear link between these characteristics and streamflow indices”. Yet, all of the above-listed studies (except Pardé maybe) show explicitly how their classifications lead to similar within-class behavior of seasonal streamflow regimes.

I think there is an opportunity to slightly reframe the paper to acknowledge that this study complements existing classifications that also incorporate temporal information of flow regimes, (rather than to imply that nothing (useful) exists in this field). (Or alternatively, be more precise and explicit about what the previous classifications can’t do that yours does).

The use of B-spline basis functions to characterize the streamflow regimes functional behavior in this HESS manuscript seems to be a useful addition to existing literature
that I look forward to seeing published in HESS.